1. Gonzalez-Duarte A, Higuera-Calleja J, Flores F, Davila-Maldonado L, Cantu-Brito C. Cyclophosphamide treatment for unrelenting CNS vasculitis secondary to tuberculous meningitis. *Neurology* 2012;78:1277–1278.
2. Hsieh EY, Chia LG, Shen WC. Locations of cerebral infarctions in tuberculous meningitis. *Neuroradiology* 1992;34:197–199.
3. Lammie GA, Hewlett RH, Schoeman JF, Donald PR. Tuberculous cerebrovascular disease: a review. *J Infect* 2009;59:156–166.
4. Belorgey L, Lalani I, Zakaria A. Ischemic stroke in the setting of tuberculous meningitis. *J Neuroimaging* 2006;16:364–366.
5. Misra UK, Kalita J, Maurya PK. Stroke in tuberculous meningitis. *J Neurol Sci* 2011;303:22–30.

ROLE OF DATSCAN AND CLINICAL DIAGNOSIS IN PARKINSON DISEASE

Tao Xie, Peter Warnke, Un Jung Kang, Chicago:

We read with interest the article by Dr. de la Fuente-Fernandez¹ and the editorial by Drs. Perlmuter and Eidelberg.² We agree with the authors that the overall accuracy of a clinical diagnosis is numerically identical to the accuracy of DaTSCAN, which questions the DaTSCAN as a diagnostic tool.³ However, DaTSCAN has important and unique clinical value. One example is the diagnosis of early-onset Parkinson disease (PD), often with dystonia in addition to parkinsonism,⁴ which mimics dopa-responsive dystonia (DRD). The empirical levodopa/carbidopa trial may not differentiate one from the other because they both respond very well and both may develop dyskinesia. DaTSCAN is normal in DRD,⁵ while it shows decreased DAT binding in PD. Another scenario is to confirm the diagnosis of subtle early PD in a patient with severe essential tremor (ET) warranting deep brain stimulation (DBS) treatment, as 7% of patients with PD have prior ET.⁶ An abnormal DaTSCAN would lead us to select the subthalamic nucleus instead of ventral intermediate nucleus (VIM) as the DBS target given the lack of effect of VIM on antici-

pated progression of PD symptoms such as rigidity and bradykinesia.

Author Response: Raul de la Fuente-Fernandez, Ferrol, Spain: I appreciate the comments by Xie et al. on the potential diagnostic utility of DaTSCAN in 2 specific clinical scenarios. In the case of early-onset PD vs DRD, it might be best to use gene testing. Risks associated with radiation exposure are particularly high in young individuals.¹ In severe ET plus subtle early PD, it should be decided if the treatment is directed to symptom severity (tremor) or the possibility of later occurrence of new symptoms (rigidity and bradykinesia). Then, as recent evidence suggests, the subthalamic nucleus could be considered a better target for neurosurgical treatment of ET than the VIM.^{7,8}

Copyright © 2012 by AAN Enterprises, Inc.

1. de la Fuente-Fernandez R. Role of DaTSCAN and clinical diagnosis in Parkinson disease. *Neurology* 2012;78:696–701.
2. Perlmuter JS, Eidelberg D. To scan or not to scan: DaT is the question. *Neurology* 2012;78:688–689.
3. Kang UJ, Xie T. Diagnostic biomarkers of Parkinson's disease: what gain at what cost? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012;83:769.
4. Schrag A, Schott JM. Epidemiological, clinical, and genetic characteristics of early-onset parkinsonism. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;5:355–363.
5. Jeon BS, Jeong JM, Park SS, et al. Dopamine transporter density measured by [¹²³I]beta-CIT single-photon emission computed tomography is normal in dopa-responsive dystonia. *Ann Neurol* 1998;43:792–800.
6. Louis ED, Frucht SJ. Prevalence of essential tremor in patients with Parkinson's disease vs. Parkinson-plus syndromes. *Mov Disord* 2007;22:1402–1407.
7. Hamel W, Herzog J, Kopper F, et al. Deep brain stimulation in the subthalamic area is more effective than nucleus ventralis intermedius stimulation for bilateral intention tremor. *Acta Neurochir* 2007;149:749–758.
8. Sandvik U, Koskinen LO, Lundquist A, Blomstedt P. Thalamic and subthalamic deep brain stimulation for essential tremor: where is the optimal target? *Neurosurgery* 2012;70:840–845, discussion 845–846.

CORRECTION

Caffeine for treatment of Parkinson disease: A randomized controlled trial

In the article “Caffeine for treatment of Parkinson disease: A randomized controlled trial” by R. Postuma et al. (*Neurology*[®] 2012;79:651–658), there is an omission in the study funding at the bottom of page 651. The statement should have read: “Supported by grants from the Canadian Institute of Health Research, the Webster Foundation, and the Drummond Foundation.” The authors regret the omission.

Author disclosures are available upon request (journal@neurology.org).

Neurology[®]

Role of DaTSCAN and clinical diagnosis in Parkinson disease

Tao Xie, Raul de la Fuente-Fernandez, Peter Warnke, et al.

Neurology 2012;79;1744

DOI 10.1212/01.wnl.0000422079.28545.80

This information is current as of October 15, 2012

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://n.neurology.org/content/79/16/1744.1.full>

References

This article cites 8 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:
<http://n.neurology.org/content/79/16/1744.1.full#ref-list-1>

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:
http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:
<http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise>

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright Copyright © 2012 by AAN Enterprises, Inc.. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.