Michael J. Potchen, MD
Sam D. Kampondeni,
MMed
Khalid Ibrahim, MS
Joseph Bonner, PhD
Karl B. Seydel, MD
Terrie E. Taylor, DO
Gretchen L. Birbeck, MD

Correspondence to
Professor Birbeck:
Gretchen_Birbeck@URMC.
Rochester.edu

NEUROINTERP: A METHOD FOR FACILITATING NEUROIMAGING RESEARCH ON CEREBRAL MALARIA

Radiologic data are increasingly important in clinical care guidelines for neurologic disorders and in the conduct of clinical trials assessing novel therapies. The infrastructure and expertise for neuroradiologic evaluations remain scarce in resource-limited settings, but where available, MRI and CT capacity can offer new insights into common, globally devastating diseases. In vivo data for frequently fatal tropical conditions such as cerebral malaria have been largely limited to autopsy studies, which only provide information on nonsurvivors at a single point in time. New imaging facilities in sub-Saharan Africa offer opportunities for expanded research on tropical neurologic disorders.¹ However, data management challenges hamper the research utility of radiologic evaluations.

Traditional methods of capturing radiographic data from neuroradiologic evaluations provided for clinical purposes rely on unstructured narrative descriptions. Narratives are unsuitable for research analysis for several reasons: the text data are not structured for statistical analysis; reports are rarely standardized among radiologists or among institutions; determining whether an unmentioned feature is absent or inadvertently disregarded in the narrative is frequently impossible; searching for unambiguous words in text reports to develop a database (e.g., swelling vs edema) is haphazard; and, as with many physician-driven technologies, clinical interpretations may have considerable inter- and intrareader variability.

To improve the utility of MRI in clinical research of cerebral malaria, we devised a data entry application and workflow instrument, NeuroInterp. As an instrument, NeuroInterp prompts radiologists to systematically glean data from their evaluations. As a workflow instrument, NeuroInterp ensures that serial readings are evaluated by multiple readers with discordant data identified and adjudication addressed. This methodologic approach to developing a data management instrument for MRI data could also be

applied to other tropical conditions whose radiographic correlates have not been well-characterized.

The NeuroInterp instrument. Development of the NeuroInterp instrument began in 2008, when, as part of a long-standing NIH-funded study of pediatric cerebral malaria, brain MRI acquisition became part of the standard evaluation of comatose patients admitted to the pediatric research ward at Queen Elizabeth Central Hospital in Blantyre, Malawi.¹

CT and autopsy studies of cerebral malaria suggested a number of likely radiographic findings, including acute edema, chronic atrophy, inflammatory lesions, and ischemia.^{2,3} To develop appropriate items for inclusion in the NeuroInterp platform for pediatric cerebral malaria findings, 2 years of narrative-based findings were summarized and distilled into discrete items for structured entry. Two fellowship-trained radiologists, one in neuroradiology (M.J.P.) and one in MRI (S.D.K.), read all studies. The radiologists reviewed all MRIs from the research ward and collaboratively developed a systematic scoring procedure for the potential cerebral malaria cases that included graded measures of cerebral edema, periventricular white matter changes, cortical abnormalities, and brainstem changes, among others.⁴ These included variables denoting anatomical localization and characterization of specific MRI pulse sequence characteristics, such as presence or absence of T2 abnormalities and/or diffusion-weighted imaging changes. The variables for each feature were created to permit unambiguous and mutually exclusive options (e.g., “Were there T2 signal abnormalities present within the supratentorial cortex? [yes/no]”). Equivocation options of “not applicable” were also included for most features. Some features cannot be endorsed with confidence. To address the ambivalence, we included a field to capture the reviewer confidence on the presence or absence of the feature in question (<25%, 25%–50%, 50%–75%, >75%). Fields were also created to capture the change in a clinical feature over the course of serial readings in the same patient. Fields capturing longitudinal data were given the

From Michigan State University (M.J.P., K.L., J.B., K.B.S., T.E.T., G.L.B.); Blantyre Malaria Project (T.E.T., K.B.S.); and Queen Elizabeth Central Hospital (S.D.K.), Blantyre, Malawi.

Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

following answer options: progressed, remained the same, or regressed. Importantly, this was an iterative process whereby new insights into relevant findings led to additional items for inclusion in NeuroInterp and/or further delineation of existing variables until item saturation when no additional new findings were routinely identified.

The NeuroInterp application. To begin the data entry application, we generated metadata to describe each of the fields required by the instrument. The metadata as a set contain the caption or description, the field or variable name, the data type (number or text), and the answer options (e.g., 1 = yes; 2 = no). The data entry forms for NeuroInterp are built on a proprietary research information management system called RIX. This platform generates hyper-text markup language (similar to Web pages) forms based on the metadata with fields required by the instrument. Each data entry form is dedicated to one radiologist's evaluation of one neuroradiologic interpretation for one patient. The metadata can be used to generate forms to capture structured data as guided by the instrument.

The NeuroInterp workflow. To begin the workflow, radiologists assemble the files for the study, launch the NeuroInterp form, find or create a patient, review the

files, and enter their findings as prompted by the form, which follows the instrument paradigm. Periodically, an adjudicating radiologist views a report showing which patients have 2 readings and await a discordance review (see figures 1 and 2). From the report, a patient is selected and the adjudicator (in collaboration or solo) makes a judgment for a final answer for each discordant field.

Discordance algorithms for identifying cases for adjudication were developed to compare endorsed values from 2 readers and identify discordant values. When a field has identical values for each field, the value is the automatic final answer. Fields that capture an unambiguous endorsement of the presence or absence of a specific feature allow no discordance between readers. Fields that capture the reader's confidence in an unambiguous endorsement have greater tolerance for discordance. For these fields, the workflow allows the "worse case" value as the automatic final answer. Fields that capture continuous measures such as volume also have a higher tolerance for discordance. For these fields, the average value between the 2 endorsed answers becomes the automatic final answer unless the values exceed the accepted variability and are therefore noted to be discordant. When discordance is detected between answers for fields with no or limited tolerance, the

Figure 1 Workflow for NeuroInterp

MSU = Michigan State University; PACS = picture archiving and communication system.

Figure 2 NeuroInterp work screen for reading and adjudication

A

Rix User: Michael Poltchen
Study: NeuroInterp

Site: Neuro
Subjects: New Search

Subject: [3072](#)
Action: View Data

- [First MRI reading - EL](#)
- [First MRI reading - Malawi](#)
- [First MRI reading - Final](#)

Form: First MRI reading - Final

Subject: 3072

Malawi - MSU MRI Research Database

First MRI reading - Final

1. Date of MRI exam
04/12/2011 (MM/DD/YYYY)

2. What is the volume of the brain parenchyma?
-2 (severe atrophy) to +5 (severe edema with herniations)

-2	-1	0	1	2	3	4	5
☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☒	☐	☐

How confident are you?

< 25%	25% - 50%	50% - 75%	> 75%
☐	☐	☐	☒

3. What is the measurement (mm) of the CSF space around the brainstem on the mid-sag plane?
1st measurement
6.1 (mm)

2nd measurement
12.8 (mm)

Sum of measurements
18.9 (mm)

4. Are the brain abnormalities seen in the frontal regions ...

☐ ... less than posterior
☒ ... same as Posterior
☐ ... greater than Posterior

B

Total Subjects	312
Awaiting Malawi	1
Awaiting East Lansing	2
Awaiting Adjudication	1
Awaiting Justification	0
Complete	0

Caption	EL Reading	Malawi Reading	
Date of MRI exam	20110107	20110107	20110107
2. What is the volume of the brain parenchyma? -2 (severe atrophy) to +5 (severe edema with herniations)	6	4	5
How confident are you?	4	4	4
3. What is the measurement (mm) of the CSF space around the brainstem on the mid-sag plane? 1st measurement	4.2	4	4.1
2nd measurement	10	8.8	9.6
Sum of measurements	13	14	13.5
4. Are the brain abnormalities seen in the frontal regions...	2	2	2
How confident are you?	3	4	3.5
5. Are the brain abnormalities seen in the posterior fossa...	1	2	Discrepant
How confident are you?	4	4	4
6. Are there areas of T2 signal abnormalities seen in the supratentorial periventricular white matter?	2	2	2
How confident are you?	4	4	4

adjudicating user must choose a final answer upon review of the neuroradiologic images/files/studies. Open-ended text fields require a review of both readers' evaluations to enter a final adjudicated answer.

NeuroInterp—The final product. The NeuroInterp instrument guides structured evaluation of scaled quantitative assessments of neurologic structures.

The NeuroInterp application has a Web-based data entry form providing data validation upon entry and the workflow ensures that each neuroradiologic study is evaluated twice before applying a question-specific discordance algorithm and a discrepancy adjudication utility. NeuroInterp has been used to collect readings from 338 patients with adjudication required for approximately 15% of variables, with most circumstances of adjudication related to

disagreement on variables detailing degrees of abnormality or involvement.

To date, NeuroInterp has facilitated the systematic study of the radiologic findings of cerebral malaria survivors with neurologic sequelae⁵ as well as a study of normative brain MRI findings in a representative community-based sample of Malawian children.⁶ NeuroInterp offers a feasible approach to the challenges of radiologic data acquisition and management, especially for conditions with little prior descriptive data available. We are presently enhancing NeuroInterp further with instructional images to facilitate reader training and improve interreader reliability. Plans are also under way to transition from propriety software to open source software, which should allow more broad use of this data management tool in cerebral malaria imaging research.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Michael J. Potchen: conceptualized the NeuroInterp program and worked on early prototypes, developed the first draft of the manuscript, reviewed and edited subsequent versions, and approved the submitted version. Sam D. Kampondeni: worked on early prototypes of NeuroInterp and provided substantive feedback into early development, provided critical intellectual reviews and editing on early versions of the manuscript, and approved the submitted version. Khalid Ibrahim: technical and logistical support for the full development of NeuroInterp and provided substantive feedback into early development, provided critical reviews and edited early versions of the manuscript, and approved the submitted version. Joseph Bonner: technical and logistical support for the full development of NeuroInterp and provided substantive feedback into early development, reviewed and edited early versions of the manuscript, and approved the submitted version. Karl B. Seydel and Terrie E. Taylor: assisted with regular assessments of the needed data from NeuroInterp as the product was being developed and provided substantive feedback into early development, reviewed and edited early versions of the manuscript, and approved the submitted version. Gretchen L. Birbeck: conceptualized the NeuroInterp program and worked on early prototypes, developed the first draft of the manuscript, reviewed and edited subsequent versions, and approved the submitted version.

STUDY FUNDING

Early prototypes of NeuroInterp were supported through a CDFP grant from Michigan State University (09-CDFP-1771). The definitive development of NeuroInterp was supported by award number R21NS069228 from the Fogarty International Center and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the NIH.

DISCLOSURE

M.J. Potchen has received research funding from the US NIH and the Dana Foundation. S.D. Kampondeni, K. Ibrahim, and J. Bonner have received funding from the US NIH. K.B. Seydel has received research funding from the US NIH and the Dana Foundation. T.E. Taylor has received research funding from the US NIH. G.L. Birbeck has received research funding from the US NIH and the Dana Foundation. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

REFERENCES

1. Potchen M, Kampondeni S, Birbeck G, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in Malawi: contributions to clinical care, medical education and biomedical research. *Malawi Med J* 2011;7:60–64.
2. Taylor TE, Fu WJ, Carr RA, et al. Differentiating the pathologies of cerebral malaria by postmortem parasite counts. *Nat Med* 2004;10:143–145.
3. Potchen MJ, Birbeck GL, Demarco JK, et al. Neuroimaging findings in children with retinopathy-confirmed cerebral malaria. *Eur J Radiol* 2010;74:262–268.
4. Potchen MJ, Kampondeni SD, Seydel KB, et al. Acute brain MRI findings in 120 Malawian children with cerebral malaria: new insights into an ancient disease. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2012;33:1740–1746.
5. Kampondeni S, Birbeck G, Beare N, et al. Findings in brain injured survivors of pediatric cerebral malaria. Presented at the Annual Meeting for the Radiologic Society of North America; 2012; Seattle.
6. Potchen M, Kampondeni S, Mallewa M, et al. Clinically normal is structurally normal: findings from Malawi's Brain Imaging in Normal Kids (BRINK) Study. Presented at the Annual Meeting for the Radiologic Society of North America; 2012; Seattle.

Neurology[®]

NeuroInterp: A method for facilitating neuroimaging research on cerebral malaria

Michael J. Potchen, Sam D. Kampondeni, Khalid Ibrahim, et al.

Neurology 2013;81;585-588

DOI 10.1212/WNL.0b013e31829e6ed5

This information is current as of August 5, 2013

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2013 American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/81/6/585.full
References	This article cites 4 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/81/6/585.full#ref-list-1
Citations	This article has been cited by 6 HighWire-hosted articles: http://n.neurology.org/content/81/6/585.full##otherarticles
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Access to care http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/access_to_care All epidemiology http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/all_epidemiology All Pediatric http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/all_pediatric Diagnostic test assessment http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/diagnostic_test_assessment Health systems http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/health_systems MRI http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/mri Parasitic infections http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/parasitic_infections
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2013 American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.