

Practice Parameter update: The care of the patient with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: Multidisciplinary care, symptom management, and cognitive/behavioral impairment (an evidence-based review)

Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology



R.G. Miller, MD, FAAN
C.E. Jackson, MD, FAAN
E.J. Kasarskis, MD, PhD,
FAAN
J.D. England, MD,
FAAN
D. Forshew, RN
W. Johnston, MD
S. Kalra, MD
J.S. Katz, MD
H. Mitsumoto, MD,
FAAN
J. Rosenfeld, MD, PhD,
FAAN
C. Shoosmith, MD, BSc
M.J. Strong, MD
S.C. Woolley, PhD

Address correspondence and
reprint requests to American
Academy of Neurology, 1080
Montreal Avenue, St. Paul, MN
55116
guidelines@aan.com

ABSTRACT

Objective: To systematically review evidence bearing on the management of patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).

Methods: The authors analyzed studies from 1998 to 2007 to update the 1999 practice parameter. Topics covered in this section include breaking the news, multidisciplinary clinics, symptom management, cognitive and behavioral impairment, communication, and palliative care for patients with ALS.

Results: The authors identified 2 Class I studies, 8 Class II studies, and 30 Class III studies in ALS, but many important areas have been little studied. More high-quality, controlled studies of symptomatic therapies and palliative care are needed to guide management and assess outcomes in patients with ALS.

Recommendations: Multidisciplinary clinic referral should be considered for managing patients with ALS to optimize health care delivery and prolong survival (Level B) and may be considered to enhance quality of life (Level C). For the treatment of refractory sialorrhea, botulinum toxin B should be considered (Level B) and low-dose radiation therapy to the salivary glands may be considered (Level C). For treatment of pseudobulbar affect, dextromethorphan and quinidine should be considered if approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (Level B). For patients who develop fatigue while taking riluzole, withholding the drug may be considered (Level C). Because many patients with ALS demonstrate cognitive impairment, which in some cases meets criteria for dementia, screening for cognitive and behavioral impairment should be considered in patients with ALS (Level B). Other management strategies all lack strong evidence.

Neurology® 2009;73:1227-1233

GLOSSARY

ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; **ALS-FTD** = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with a dementia meeting the Neary criteria for frontotemporal dementia; **ALSbi** = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with behavioral impairment; **ALSci** = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis with cognitive impairment; **BTxA** = botulinum toxin type A; **BTxB** = botulinum toxin type B; **DM** = dextromethorphan; **FDA** = Food and Drug Administration; **FTD** = frontotemporal dementia; **NIV** = noninvasive ventilation; **PEG** = percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy; **Q** = quinidine.

Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a relentlessly progressive paralyzing disease. Most patients with ALS die within 2 to 5 years of onset. The mainstay of ALS management is symptomatic treatment and palliative care. More treatments are now available to ease the burden of the disease, and they are increasingly utilized by patients and clinicians, at least in part because of the expanding base of evidence showing effectiveness. Much more needs to be done in

this regard, but there has been substantial progress in the past decade.

This is part 2 of the revision of the 1999 practice parameter on the care of patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).¹ Consensus-based general principles of ALS management have been developed to guide clinicians in managing patients with ALS.¹ Only evidence-based recommendations based on ALS studies are included in this revision.

See also page 1218

Supplemental data at
www.neurology.org

From the Department of Neurology (R.G.M., D.F., J.S.K., S.C.W.), California Pacific Medical Center, San Francisco; University of Texas Health Science Center of San Antonio (C.E.J.); University of Kentucky (E.J.K.), Lexington; Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center (J.D.E.), New Orleans; Department of Neurology (W.J., S.K.), University of Alberta, Canada; Neurological Institute (NI-9) (H.M.), New York, NY; Division of Neurology (J.R.), UCSF, Fresno, CA; and London Health Sciences Center (C.S., M.J.S.), London, Ontario, Canada.

Appendices e-1–e-5, tables e-1–e-5, and references e1–e15 are available on the *Neurology*® Web site at www.neurology.org.

Approved by the Quality Standards Subcommittee on November 5, 2008; by the Practice Committee on March 8, 2009; and by the AAN Board of Directors on July 30, 2009.

Disclosure: Author disclosures are provided at the end of the article.

DESCRIPTION OF THE ANALYTIC PROCESS

We searched OVID, MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, Science Citation Index, BIOETHICSLINE, International Pharmaceutical Abstracts, OVID Current Contents, Medline-Proquest, EIFL, and INVEST from 1998 through September 2007 combining the words ALS, Lou Gehrig's disease, and motor neuron disease with the following words using AND: sialorrhea, pseudobulbar palsy, pseudobulbar affect, emotional lability, palliative care, diagnosis, telling the diagnosis, breaking the news, advance directives, botulinum toxin A, botulinum toxin B, parotid irradiation, anticholinergic drugs, amitriptyline, glycopyrrolate, benztropine, transdermal hyoscyamine, atropine, trihexyphenidyl hydrochloride, propranolol, metoprolol, dextromethorphan, quinidine, opioids, opiates, oxygen, hospice, dyspnea, pain, lorazepam, anxiety, sleep, depression, cramps, spasticity, insomnia, deep venous thrombosis, communication devices, multidisciplinary clinic, specialty clinic, cognitive impairment, dementia, frontotemporal dementia, executive dysfunction, fatigue, and constipation. We reviewed the abstracts of these articles and examined 142 articles in their entirety. The diagnostic and therapeutic classification schemes used to grade the articles are summarized in appendices e-4a and e-4b on the *Neurology*[®] Web site at www.neurology.org. Recommendations were based on the levels of evidence as described in appendix e-5.

ANALYSIS OF EVIDENCE *Breaking the news. How should a physician tell patients that they have ALS?* Telling the patient and family the diagnosis of ALS is challenging for clinicians and patients. Two studies analyzed patient perceptions of this experience (Class III and Class IV).^{2,3} Patients reported lack of empathy, insufficient explanation of the diagnosis and the course of the illness, and lack of information on where to get help.

Conclusion. There have been no controlled trials of breaking the news in ALS.

Recommendation. There is insufficient evidence to support or refute any specific method of disclosing the diagnosis in ALS (Level U).

Clinical context. Useful strategies have been developed for disclosing a diagnosis of cancer (appendix e-1).⁴

Multidisciplinary clinic. Does multidisciplinary management improve outcomes? In specialized multidisciplinary clinics, patients with ALS receive comprehensive care from a physician, physical therapist, occupational therapist, speech pathologist, dietitian, social worker, respiratory therapist, and nurse case manager. Studies suggest varying degrees of adherence to the practice parameters (Class III).⁵ Specialized clinics coordinate care and interface with a primary care physician and

community-based services. Patients who attend specialized ALS clinics are younger and have longer symptom duration than neurology clinic patients, indicating possible referral bias (Class II).⁶⁻⁹

Patient care and survival were examined for 97 patients attending specialized ALS clinics in Italy compared with 124 patients in neurology clinics (Class II).⁶ There was increased utilization of riluzole, percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (PEG), and noninvasive ventilation (NIV) in the ALS clinics, and fewer hospital admissions. Mean survival was longer in specialized ALS clinics (1,080 days vs 775 days, $p = 0.008$). Using the COX multivariate analysis, attending an ALS specialized clinic independently predicted longer survival.

Prolonged survival (7.5 months, $p < 0.0001$) was found for patients in Ireland attending multidisciplinary ALS clinics (Class II).⁹ Patients at ALS clinics were younger and more likely to receive riluzole (99% vs 61%). Multidisciplinary care was an independent predictor of survival ($p = 0.02$) and reduced the risk of death by 47% in a 5-year study (Class II).⁹ Dutch patients in multidisciplinary ALS clinics ($n = 133$) were compared with 75 patients receiving general care (Class III).¹⁰ Patients were well-matched and data were collected by a blinded nurse. Patients in multidisciplinary clinic received more aids and appliances (93.1% vs 81.3%, $p = 0.008$) and had higher quality of life (SF-36[®] Health Survey, $p < 0.01$). Beneficial effects derived from a single visit to a multidisciplinary clinic, suggesting better coordination of care.

By contrast, another Class II study documented no increase in survival from multidisciplinary clinic.¹¹ Riluzole use was higher in multidisciplinary clinic (61% vs 43%, $p = 0.02$) but very few patients received PEG (6% vs 2%) or NIV (2% in each group). There was a nonsignificant 10% increase in survival in those attending a multidisciplinary clinic after 12 months. Low utilization of palliative care, case management, PEG, NIV, and riluzole, compared to the 2 positive studies above, may account for the lack of survival benefit in this study.

Conclusions. Two Class II studies and 1 Class III study show that multidisciplinary clinics specializing in ALS care are probably effective in several ways: increased use of adaptive equipment; increased utilization of riluzole, PEG, and NIV; improved quality of life; and lengthened survival. However, 1 Class II study with low use of treatments found no survival benefit.

Recommendations. Specialized multidisciplinary clinic referral should be considered for patients with ALS

to optimize health care delivery (Level B) and prolong survival (Level B), and may be considered to enhance quality of life (Level C).

Symptomatic management. Effective management of symptoms is one of the primary goals of ALS patient care.

What are the most effective treatments for sialorrhea? Sialorrhea, or drooling, is embarrassing and is associated with aspiration pneumonia. The prevalence is estimated at 50%, and 70% of patients receiving oral medications for treatment reported benefit (Class III).¹² In a small trial, amitriptyline and botulinum toxin type A (BTxA) seemed equally effective, although 3 of 5 patients treated with amitriptyline experienced side effects (Class III).¹³

In a double-blind, controlled trial of botulinum toxin type B (BTxB) in 20 patients with ALS with refractory sialorrhea (Class I),¹⁴ patients were randomized to 2,500 U of BTxB or placebo into bilateral parotid and submandibular glands. Treated patients reported a global improvement of 82% at 2 and 4 weeks compared to 38% in placebo ($p < 0.05$). At 12 weeks, 50% of patients receiving BTxB were improved compared to 14% receiving placebo. There were no important adverse events.

Radiation therapy for medically refractory sialorrhea reduced salivary production, but side effects included erythema, sore throat, and nausea (Class III).¹⁵ A “satisfactory response” was observed and saliva secretion rate diminished with a single dose of 7–7.5 Gy bilaterally (Class III).¹⁶

Conclusions. In patients with medically refractory sialorrhea, BTxB injections into the parotid and submandibular glands are probably effective (1 Class I study). There are inadequate data on the effectiveness of BTxA and amitriptyline (1 Class III study). Low-dose irradiation is possibly effective for sialorrhea (2 Class III studies).

Recommendations. In patients with ALS who have medically refractory sialorrhea, BTxB should be considered (Level B) and low-dose radiation therapy to the salivary glands may be considered (Level C).

Clinical context. In ALS and other diseases, anticholinergic medications are generally tried first to reduce sialorrhea, although effectiveness is unproven.¹ Botulinum toxin has been effective in controlled trials in parkinsonism as well as ALS.¹⁷

What pharmacologic measures reduce pseudobulbar affect? Pseudobulbar affect, excessive laughing or crying, or involuntary emotional expression disorder affects 20%–50% of patients with ALS, especially in pseudobulbar palsy.¹⁸ Although it is not a mood disorder, antidepressants are frequently employed.

A fixed-dose combination of dextromethorphan (DM)/quinidine (Q) (30 mg DM/30 mg Q BID) for

treatment of pseudobulbar affect in ALS (Class I)¹⁹ reduced the frequency and severity of laughing and crying behaviors compared to either DM ($p < 0.001$) or Q alone ($p < 0.001$). Side effects were dizziness, nausea, and somnolence, which accounted for termination of treatment in 24% with DM/Q compared to 6% with DM and 5% with Q. DM/Q is not yet approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Conclusions. The combination of DM/Q is probably effective for pseudobulbar affect in ALS (1 Class I study), although side effects may limit its usefulness.

Recommendation. If approved by the FDA, and if side effects are acceptable, DM/Q should be considered for symptoms of pseudobulbar affect in patients with ALS (Level B).

What pharmacologic interventions reduce fatigue? Fatigue may be a symptom of depression, poor sleep, abnormal muscle activation, immobility, or respiratory dysfunction. Fatigue was a side effect of therapy in 26% of patients taking riluzole vs 13% taking placebo ($p = 0.07$; number needed to harm = 8) (Class III).²⁰ Asthenia occurred in 18% of patients taking riluzole vs 12% of patients taking placebo in a larger study ($p = 0.004$; number needed to harm = 17) (Class III).²¹

Conclusions. There are no controlled studies of pharmacologic agents relieving fatigue in ALS. Riluzole possibly causes fatigue in some patients (2 Class III studies).

Recommendation. In patients developing fatigue while taking riluzole, once risks of fatigue vs modest survival benefits have been discussed, withholding the drug may be considered (Level C).

What interventions reduce cramps? Cramps have been a secondary outcome measure in ALS clinical trials of gabapentin (Class III),²² vitamin E (Class III),²³ and riluzole (Class III).²⁰ There was no benefit from these agents.

By survey, quinine was widely used for cramps (Class IV),²⁴ but there are no studies in ALS. Recently, the FDA warned against using quinine for cramps and removed unapproved quinine drugs from the market.²⁵

Conclusions. Studies of gabapentin, vitamin E, and riluzole for treating cramps were all negative (Class III). There are safety concerns about quinine.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute any specific intervention for the treatment of cramps in ALS (Level U).

What interventions reduce spasticity? Treating spasticity might improve gait and relieve painful spasms. Moderate exercise led to a small decline in the Ashworth Spasticity Scale over 3 months, compared to a worsening with no exercise ($p = 0.005$) (Class III).²⁶

Vitamin E 5,000 mg daily plus riluzole had no beneficial effect on spasticity as a secondary outcome measure (Class III).²⁷

Conclusion. Evidence is insufficient to recommend exercise or medication for treating spasticity in ALS (Class III).

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute exercise or medication for treating spasticity in ALS (Level U).

Clinical context. In multiple sclerosis and cerebral palsy, benzodiazepam, baclofen, dantrolene, and tizanidine are effective in reducing spasticity-related symptoms.²⁸

What pharmacologic interventions reduce depression? The prevalence of depression in ALS ranges from 0 to 44%,²⁹ although systematic studies suggest 10% in advanced ALS (Class III).³⁰

Conclusion. There have been no controlled trials of treatment for depression in ALS.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute specific treatments for depression in ALS (Level U).

Clinical context. There is consensus among experts that depression should be treated in patients with ALS³¹; however, there are no controlled studies of benefit or harm.

What pharmacologic interventions reduce anxiety? Recognition and treatment of anxiety in ALS can be challenging since similar symptoms can be related to dyspnea.

Conclusion. There have been no trials of treatment for anxiety in ALS.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute specific treatment for anxiety in ALS (Level U).

What pharmacologic interventions reduce insomnia? Insomnia is common in ALS and may be a symptom of early respiratory weakness, underlying anxiety, depression, or pain.³² There is a concern that sedative/hypnotic agents may suppress the respiratory drive in patients with ALS.

Conclusion. There have been no studies of treatment for insomnia in ALS.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute specific treatment for insomnia in ALS (Level U).

Cognitive and behavioral impairment. There is now considerable evidence for cognitive and behavioral manifestations in ALS. Specific ALS phenotypes include pure motor degeneration (ALS), ALS with cognitive impairment (ALSci), ALS with behavioral impairment (ALSbi), and ALS with a dementia meeting the Neary criteria for frontotemporal dementia (FTD) (ALS-FTD). FTD, as defined by Neary et al.,³³ has insidious onset, gradual progression, altered social con-

duct, emotional blunting, and loss of insight. These criteria are required for the diagnosis of FTD, which is supported by neuropsychological abnormalities, language dysfunction, and poor self care. ALSci reflects frontotemporal dysfunction with deficits in attention, cognitive flexibility, and word generation, with relative sparing of visuospatial function and memory. ALSbi refers to changes in social interactions unrelated to a psychiatric condition.

The domain of cognitive and behavioral impairment in ALS is a rapidly evolving field and there is little consensus regarding diagnostic criteria and assessment methods.

What is the prevalence and natural history of cognitive and behavioral impairment in ALS? Estimates of cognitive impairment range from 10% (Class III)³⁴ to 75% (Class III).³⁵ A population-based sample produced an estimate of 28% (Class II).³⁶ The prevalence of impairment meeting criteria for dementia ranged from 15% (Class III)³⁷ to 41% (Class II).³⁸ Behavioral impairment (irritability and social disinhibition) was identified in 39% (Class III).³⁹

Three studies (Class III)^{39,40,e1} documented mild cognitive decline over 6 months, while others (Class III)^{e2,e3} found no change over 12 months. It is not known whether patients can progress from ALSci or ALSbi to ALS-FTD. However, 15% of patients presenting with FTD later develop motor neuron degeneration (Class III).^{e4}

Conclusions. A significant proportion of patients with ALS demonstrate cognitive impairment and some have dementia (2 Class II, multiple Class III studies). Neither behavioral impairment in ALS nor the natural progression of cognitive or behavioral impairments has been adequately studied.

Recommendation. Screening for cognitive and behavioral impairment should be considered in patients with ALS (Level B).

How is cognitive or behavioral impairment in ALS diagnosed? Cognitive impairment in ALS is best identified through neuropsychological assessment using standardized measures and normative data. The Mini-Mental State Examination is less sensitive to the cognitive impairment seen in ALS and does not examine for behavioral dysfunction.

There is no consensus regarding the best screening tests for cognitive impairment in ALS. Two 1-minute word generation tests had 65% sensitivity, 90% specificity, and 88% positive predictive value in detecting possible, probable, or definite FTD by Neary criteria (Class II).³⁶ A 1-minute letter fluency measure (F words) had 73% sensitivity, 88% specificity, and 79% accuracy to detect ALSci (Class III).^{e5} An abbreviated

neuropsychological battery demonstrated 88% accuracy (Class III).³⁷

Conclusion. Neuropsychological assessment is possibly effective for identifying cognitive impairment in ALS (1 Class II, 1 Class III).

Recommendation. Screening tests of executive function may be considered to detect cognitive impairment in patients with ALS prior to confirmation with formal neuropsychological evaluation (Level C).

What is the effect of cognitive or behavioral impairment on management of patients with ALS? In patients with ALS with frontal lobe impairment, noncompliance with PEG (72%) and NIV (75%) was greater, and survival was shorter by 11 months, compared to cognitively normal patients with ALS (Class III).^{e8}

Conclusion. Insufficient data exist on the effect of cognitive or behavioral impairment on the management of patients with ALS.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute the impact of cognitive and behavioral impairment on management in ALS (Level U).

What treatments are effective for cognitive or behavioral impairment in ALS? No studies were identified that evaluated pharmacologic treatment for cognitive or behavioral impairment in ALS. One Class III study^{e9} found an improvement in cognition after NIV initiation.

Conclusions. Data are inadequate regarding the effect of pharmacologic treatment or NIV for cognitive or behavioral impairment in ALS.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute treatment of cognitive or behavioral impairment in ALS (Level U).

Communication. What treatments for dysarthria optimize communication in ALS? Communication is fundamental to effective participation in life, especially sharing social closeness.¹ Strategies for communication with patients with ALS include an alphabet board, computerized systems, Morse code, utilization of the anal sphincter, and infrared eye movements.

Conclusion. No controlled studies examined communication in ALS.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute treatment to optimize communication in ALS (Level U).

Palliative care. Palliative care is the holistic management of symptoms in patients with terminal illness. Palliative care does not preclude active treatment or life-prolonging interventions. Hospice is a major provider of care in the final stages of ALS. Palliative care addresses advance directives and psychosocial and spiritual issues.¹

What treatments reduce pain and dyspnea in the terminal phase of ALS? Pain and dyspnea are common

correlates with suffering (Class IV)^{e10} and a desire for physician-assisted suicide in late-stage ALS (Class IV).^{e11}

Conclusion. No controlled studies examined treating pain or dyspnea in late-stage ALS.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute specific treatments for pain and dyspnea in late-stage ALS (Level U).

Do hospice care, spiritual interventions, or advance directives improve quality of life in the terminal phase of ALS? Systematic studies of hospice, spirituality, and advance directives in ALS are lacking.

Conclusion. No controlled studies examined hospice, spiritual care, or advance directives in ALS.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute hospice, spiritual care, or advance directives in ALS (Level U).

What is the optimal method of withdrawing both noninvasive and invasive ventilation in ALS? Case series offer practical advice for withdrawing both invasive and noninvasive ventilation from patients with ALS (Class IV).^{e12,e13}

Conclusion. There are no controlled studies examining withdrawal of ventilation in ALS.

Recommendation. There are insufficient data to support or refute specific strategies for withdrawal of ventilation in ALS (Level U).

Clinical context. Protocols based on consensus for withdrawal of mechanical ventilation in intensive care units (Class IV)^{e14} include counseling and symptom control with opioids, benzodiazepines, and anticholinergic medications.^{e15} We could find no controlled studies in any disease.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH This evidence-based review indicates some progress in evaluating new therapies for patients with ALS. More high-quality studies have been reported leading to more confident recommendations regarding multidisciplinary clinics and symptomatic therapy for pseudobulbar affect and sialorrhea. However, future research in the following areas is still greatly needed.

Breaking the news

1. Validate measures that can be applied to studies of diagnostic disclosure.
2. Evaluate attitudes of neurologists and patients to strategies for breaking the news.
3. Conduct controlled studies of the effects of different disclosure strategies on patient satisfaction, preserving hope, and outcomes.

Multidisciplinary clinic

1. Examine referral bias to clinics.
2. Examine factors essential to benefits in clinics, optimal visit frequency, cost effectiveness of staff, and economic factors in care.

Symptomatic management

1. Conduct controlled trials of pharmacologic therapy for spasticity, cramps, constipation, sialorrhea, pseudobulbar affect, pain, depression, anxiety, fatigue, and therapeutic exercise.
2. Examine irradiation and botulinum toxin for sialorrhea in controlled trials.

Cognitive and behavioral impairment

1. Develop consensus criteria for cognitive and behavioral impairment to ensure consistency in diagnosis and research.
2. Identify screening tests for cognitive and behavioral impairment.
3. Evaluate the natural history of, and treatments for, cognitive and behavioral impairment, and their impact on compliance and survival.

Communication

1. Validate criteria to examine communication strategies.
2. Design clinical trials to compare different strategies for communication in ALS.

Palliative care. Design controlled trials of terminal symptom management, advance directives, hospice, and spiritual care.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank additional members of the ALS Practice Parameter Task Force: Thomas Getchius, Laura Moses, AAN staff; Gary Gronseth, MD; Sharon Matland; Valerie Cwik; Larry Brower; and Sid Valo.

DISCLOSURE

Dr. Miller serves on the editorial board of the *ALS Journal*; received a speaker honorarium from the AANEM; served as a consultant to Celgene, Knopp Neurosciences Inc., Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Taiji Biomedical, Inc., Sanofi-Aventis, Novartis, and Neuraltus; and receives research support from the NIH [R01 NS 44887 (PI)] and the Muscular Dystrophy Association (PI). Dr. Jackson serves as a consultant to Knopp Neurosciences Inc. and receives research support from Knopp Neurosciences Inc., Inmed Inc., Solstice Neurosciences, Inc., the ALS Association, and NIH NINDS [U01 NS042685-0 (site PI), R01NS045087-01A2 (site PI), and N01-AR-2250 (site PI)]. Dr. Kasarskis serves as an Associate Editor for *Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis*; has received honoraria from the American Institute for Biological Studies (grant reviews); served as a consultant to Acceleron Pharma; holds equity in Amgen; and receives research support from the NIH/NINDS [R01-NS045087 (PI) and 1U01 NS049640 (site PI)]. Dr. England serves as an Associate Editor for *Current Treatment Options in Neurology*; received a speaker honorarium from Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd.; and serves as a consultant to Talecris. Ms. Forshew has served on a scientific advisory board for the ALS Association and receives research support from the Muscular Dystrophy Association. Dr. Johnston reports no disclosures. Dr. Kalra receives research support from the ALS Association of America and the ALS Society of Canada. Dr. Katz has received research support from Pfizer Inc. Dr. Mitsumoto served on scientific advisory boards for Avanir Pharmaceuticals, Knopp Neurosciences Inc., Neuralstem, Inc., Aisai Communication Technology Co., Ltd., and Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.; and receives research support from Avanir Pharmaceuticals, Teva Pharmaceutical Industries Ltd., Knopp Neurosciences Inc., Sanofi-Aventis, Athena Diagnostics, Inc., BioScrip, and the NIH/NINDS [DNA repository as a supplement and NIEHS Center grant]. Dr. Rosenfeld serves on the editorial board of *Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis* and has served as a consultant to Solstice Neurosciences, Inc.

and Avicena Group, Inc. Dr. Shoesmith receives research support from the Muscular Dystrophy Association and her spouse is employed by Biovail Pharmaceuticals Canada. Dr. Strong serves on the editorial board of *Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis*. Dr. Woolley has received research support from Pfizer Inc., Eisai Inc., and the ALS Association (co-I).

DISCLAIMER

This statement is provided as an educational service of the American Academy of Neurology. It is based on an assessment of current scientific and clinical information. It is not intended to include all possible proper methods of care for a particular neurologic problem or all legitimate criteria for choosing to use a specific procedure. Neither is it intended to exclude any reasonable alternative methodologies. The AAN recognizes that specific patient care decisions are the prerogative of the patient and the physician caring for the patient, based on all of the circumstances involved. The clinical context section is made available in order to place the evidence-based guideline(s) into perspective with current practice habits and challenges. No formal practice recommendations should be inferred.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The American Academy of Neurology is committed to producing independent, critical and truthful clinical practice guidelines (CPGs). Significant efforts are made to minimize the potential for conflicts of interest to influence the recommendations of this CPG. To the extent possible, the AAN keeps separate those who have a financial stake in the success or failure of the products appraised in the CPGs and the developers of the guidelines. Conflict of interest forms were obtained from all authors and reviewed by an oversight committee prior to project initiation. AAN limits the participation of authors with substantial conflicts of interest. The AAN forbids commercial participation in, or funding of, guideline projects. Drafts of the guideline have been reviewed by at least three AAN committees, a network of neurologists, *Neurology*[®] peer reviewers and representatives from related fields. The AAN Guideline Author Conflict of Interest Policy can be viewed at www.aan.com.

Received April 3, 2009. Accepted in final form July 21, 2009.

REFERENCES

1. Miller RG, Rosenberg JA, Gelinas DF, et al. Practice parameter: the care of the patient with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology: ALS Practice Parameters Task Force. *Neurology* 1999;52:1311–1323.
2. McCluskey L, Casarett D, Siderowf A. Breaking the news: a survey of ALS patients and their caregivers. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord* 2004;5:131–135.
3. Borasio GD, Sloan R, Pongratz DE. Breaking the news in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 1998;160(suppl 1):S127–133.
4. Numico G, Anfossi M, Bertelli G, et al. The process of truth disclosure: an assessment of the results of information during the diagnostic phase in patients with cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2009;20:941–945.
5. Bradley WG, Anderson F, Gowda N, Miller RG. Changes in the management of ALS since the publication of the AAN ALS practice parameter 1999. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord* 2004;5:240–244.
6. Chio A, Bottacchi E, Buffa C, Mutani R, Mora G. Positive effects of tertiary centres for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis on outcome and use of hospital facilities. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2006;77:948–950.
7. Lee JR, Annegers JF, Appel SH. Prognosis of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and the effect of referral selection. *J Neurol Sci* 1995;132:207–215.
8. Sorenson EJ, Mandrekar J, Crum B, Stevens JC. Effect of referral bias on assessing survival in ALS. *Neurology* 2007;68:600–602.

9. Traynor BJ, Alexander M, Corr B, Frost E, Hardiman O. Effects of a multidisciplinary ALS clinic on survival. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2003;74:1258–1261.
10. Van den Berg JP, Kalmijn S, Lindeman E, et al. Multidisciplinary ALS care improves quality of life in patients with ALS. *Neurology* 2005;65:1264–1267.
11. Zoccollella S, Beghi E, Palagano G, et al. ALS multidisciplinary clinic and survival : Results from a population-based study in Southern Italy. *J Neurol* 2007;254:1107–1112.
12. Bradley WG, Anderson F, Bromberg M, et al. Current management of ALS: comparison of the ALS CARE Database and the AAN Practice Parameter. *Neurology* 2001;57:500–504.
13. Levitsky G. Pharmacological therapy of sialorrhea in patients with motor neuron disease. *ZH Neurol Psikhiar Im SS Kovsakova* 2005;105:19–22.
14. Jackson CE, Gronseth G, Rosenfeld J, et al. Randomized double-blind study of botulinum toxin type B for sialorrhea in ALS patients. *Muscle Nerve* 2008;39:137–143.
15. Harriman M, Morrison M, Hay J, Revonta M, Eisen A, Lentle B. Use of radiotherapy for control of sialorrhea in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Otolaryngol* 2001;30:242–245.
16. Andersen PM, Gronberg H, Franze L, Funegard U. External radiation of the parotid glands significantly reduces drooling in patients with motor neuron disease with bulbar paresis. *J Neurol Sci* 2001;191:111–114.
17. Molloy L. Treatment of sialorrhea in patients with Parkinson's disease: best current evidence. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2007;20:493–498.
18. McCullagh S, Moore M, Gawel M, Feinstein A. Pathological laughing and crying in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: an association with prefrontal cognitive dysfunction. *J Neurol Sci* 1999;169:43–48.
19. Brooks BR, Thisted RA, Appel SH, et al. Treatment of pseudobulbar affect in ALS with dextromethorphan/quinidine: a randomized trial. *Neurology* 2004;63:1364–1370.
20. Bensimon G, Lacomblez L, Meininger V. A controlled trial of riluzole in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *ALS/Riluzole Study Group N Engl J Med* 1994;330:585–591.
21. Lacomblez L, Bensimon G, Leigh PN, Guillet P, Meininger V. Dose-ranging study of riluzole in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis/Riluzole Study Group II. *Lancet* 1996;347:1425–1431.
22. Miller RG, Moore DH, 2nd, Gelinas DF, et al. Phase III randomized trial of gabapentin in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurology* 2001;56:843–848.
23. Desnuelle C, Dib M, Garrel C, Favier A. A double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial of alpha-tocopherol (vitamin E) in the treatment of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *ALS riluzole-tocopherol Study Group Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord* 2001;2:9–18.
24. Forsshew DA, Bromberg MB. A survey of clinicians' practice in the symptomatic treatment of ALS. *Amyotroph Lateral Scler Other Motor Neuron Disord* 2003;4:258–263.
25. Drug products withdrawn from sale for reasons other than safety or effectiveness: quinine-containing drug products; enforcement action dates. *Federal Register* 2006 Dec 15; 71(241):75557–75560.
26. Drory VE, Goltsman E, Reznik JG, Mosek A, Korczyn AD. The value of muscle exercise in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 2001;191:133–137.
27. Graf M, Ecker D, Horowski R, et al. High dose vitamin E therapy in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis as add-on therapy to riluzole: results of a placebo-controlled double-blind study. *J Neural Transm* 2005;112:649–660.
28. Abbruzzese G. The medical management of spasticity. *Eur J Neurol* 2002;9 (suppl 1):30–34; discussion 53–61.
29. Kurt A, Nijboer F, Matuz T, Kubler A. Depression and anxiety in individuals with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: epidemiology and management. *CNS Drugs* 2007;21:279–291.
30. Rabkin JG, Albert SM, Del Bene ML, et al. Prevalence of depressive disorders and change over time in late-stage ALS. *Neurology* 2005;65:62–67.
31. Andersen PM, Borasio GD, Dengler R, et al. EFNS task force on management of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: guidelines for diagnosing and clinical care of patients and relatives. *Eur J Neurol* 2005;12:921–938.
32. Hetta J, Jansson I. Sleep in patients with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *J Neurol* 1997;244:S7–S9.
33. Neary D, Snowden JS, Gustafson L, et al. Frontotemporal lobar degeneration: a consensus on clinical diagnostic criteria. *Neurology* 1998;51:1546–1554.
34. Poloni M, Capitani E, Mazzini L, Ceroni M. Neuropsychological measures in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and their relationship with CT scan-assessed cerebral atrophy. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1986;74:257–260.
35. Frank B, Haas J, Heinze HJ, Stark E, Munte TF. Relation of neuropsychological and magnetic resonance findings in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: evidence for subgroups. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 1997;99:79–86.
36. Rakowicz WP, Hodges JR. Dementia and aphasia in motor neuron disease: an underrecognised association? *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1998;65:881–889.
37. Ringholz GM, Appel SH, Bradshaw M, Cooke NA, Mosnik DM, Schulz PE. Prevalence and patterns of cognitive impairment in sporadic ALS. *Neurology* 2005;65:586–590.
38. Lomen-Hoerth C, Murphy J, Langmore S, Kramer JH, Olney RK, Miller B. Are amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients cognitively normal? *Neurology* 2003;60:1094–1097.
39. Murphy JM, Henry RG, Langmore S, Kramer JH, Miller BL, Lomen-Hoerth C. Continuum of frontal lobe impairment in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2007;64:530–534.
40. Robinson KM, Lacey SC, Grugan P, Glosser G, Grossman M, McCluskey LF. Cognitive functioning in sporadic amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: a six month longitudinal study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2006;77:668–670.

Endorsed by the American Academy of Neuromuscular and Electrodiagnostic Medicine.

Neurology[®]

Practice Parameter update: The care of the patient with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: Multidisciplinary care, symptom management, and cognitive/behavioral impairment (an evidence-based review): Report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology

R. G. Miller, C. E. Jackson, E. J. Kasarskis, et al.

Neurology 2009;73;1227-1233

DOI 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181bc01a4

This information is current as of October 12, 2009

| | |
|---|--|
| Updated Information & Services | including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/73/15/1227.full |
| Supplementary Material | Supplementary material can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/suppl/2009/10/11/73.15.1227.DC1 http://n.neurology.org/content/suppl/2010/02/12/73.15.1227.DC3 http://n.neurology.org/content/suppl/2009/10/11/73.15.1227.DC2 |
| References | This article cites 40 articles, 14 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/73/15/1227.full#ref-list-1 |
| Citations | This article has been cited by 12 HighWire-hosted articles: http://n.neurology.org/content/73/15/1227.full##otherarticles |
| Permissions & Licensing | Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions |
| Reprints | Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise |

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright . All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

