N20. Two more recent studies on N20 in cardiac arrest patients have found 0% false-positive rates (reporting on 13 and 33 patients, respectively).^{3,6}

In view of these recent studies, we agree with Dr. Rothstein that SSEPs are a valuable prognostic parameter, even in hypothermia patients. Pooled analysis of the mentioned SSEP studies on hypothermia patients^{1,2,3,6} gives a 1.2% false-positive rate (1/85), which falls within the 95% confidence interval for nonhypothermia patients.⁸

However, a potential bias remains. As prognostic parameters established in nonhypothermia patients are used for treatment decisions, studies on hypothermia patients could become a self-fulfilling prophecy⁶; if bilateral negative N20 leads to withdrawal of care, its predictive value for poor outcome might be overestimated.

While recent studies—including our own—suggest that only a small minority of patients may recover despite absent N20, it is important to establish this fact more reliably. Finally, the timing of SSEP recordings after cardiac arrest should be reevaluated to avoid false decisions in individual patients.

Christoph Leithner, Christoph J. Ploner, Dietrich Hasper, Christian Storm, Berlin, Germany

Disclosure: See original article for full disclosure list.

Copyright © 2010 by AAN Enterprises, Inc.

1. Leithner C, Ploner CJ, Hasper J, Storm C. Does hypothermia influence the predictive value of bilateral absent N20 after cardiac arrest? *Neurology* 2010;74:965–969.
2. Tiainen M, Kovala TT, Takkunen OS, Roine RO. Somatosensory and brainstem auditory evoked potentials in cardiac arrest patients treated with hypothermia. *Crit Care Med* 2005;33:1736–1740.
3. Bouwes A, Binnekade JM, Zandstra DF, et al. Somatosensory evoked potentials during mild hypothermia after cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Neurology* 2009;73:1457–1461.
4. Robinson LR, Micklesen PJ, Tirschwell DL, Lew HL. Predictive value of somatosensory evoked potentials for awakening from coma. *Crit Care Med* 2003;31:960–967.
5. Rothstein TL. The role of evoked potentials in anoxic-ischemic coma and severe brain trauma. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 2000;17:486–497.
6. Rossetti AO, Oddo M, Loggoscino G, Kaplan PW. Prognostication after cardiac arrest and hypothermia: a prospective study. *Ann Neurol* 2010;67:301–307.
7. Steffen IG, Hasper D, Ploner CJ, et al. Mild therapeutic hypothermia alters neuron specific enolase as an outcome predictor after resuscitation: 97 prospective hypothermia patients compared to 133 historical non-hypothermia patients. *Crit Care* 2010;14:R69.
8. Wijdicks EF, Hijdra A, Young GB, Bassetti CL, Wiebe S, Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. Practice parameter: prediction of outcome in comatose survivors after cardiopulmonary resuscitation (an evidence-based review): report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 2006;67:203–210.

CORRECTION

Clinical and biochemical features of aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase deficiency

In the article “Clinical and biochemical features of aromatic L-amino acid decarboxylase deficiency” by L. Brun et al. (*Neurology*® 2010;75:64–71), there was a dosage error in the article text, in the Discussion, related to the dosage of trihexyphenidyl. On page 69, the section beginning with the 6th sentence of the second full paragraph on that page should read: “The therapy with trihexyphenidyl should start at a dosage of 0.03 mg/kg per day, divided in 3 doses. The dosage should then be increased by 0.03 mg/kg per day each week until the child shows any improvement, the child develops side effects, or a limit of 0.5 mg/kg per day is reached. In adolescents and adults, total daily doses exceeding 15 mg should be used with caution.” The authors regret the error. This error was corrected online on July 28, 2010.

Neurology[®]

Clinical and biochemical features of aromatic l-amino acid decarboxylase deficiency

Neurology 2010;75;576

DOI 10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181f2efd1

This information is current as of August 9, 2010

Updated Information & Services

including high resolution figures, can be found at:
<http://n.neurology.org/content/75/6/576.full>

Subspecialty Collections

This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s):

All Genetics

http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/all_genetics

Case control studies

http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/case_control_studies

MRI

<http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/mri>

Multiple sclerosis

http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/multiple_sclerosis

Permissions & Licensing

Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at:

http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions

Reprints

Information about ordering reprints can be found online:

<http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise>

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright . All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.