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Abstract

A 74-year-old woman presented with acute-onset right ptosis and binocular diplopia. CT scan showed low-density lesions in the bilateral basal ganglia and adjacent to lateral ventricles. Intracranial aneurysm was not detected. This case highlights the importance of neurologic localization of ophthalmoplegia based on physical examination and the microanatomy of the oculomotor nerve.
Section 1

A 74-year-old woman with a history of hypertension presented with right ptosis and binocular diplopia when she woke up in the morning. She denied prodromal fever or headache. She also denied history of diabetes or recent head trauma. She was admitted to the emergency department of local hospital. On physical examination, her body mass index was 26.8 kg/m². The visual acuity was 20/30 for both eyes. Upward movement of the right eye was limited (Figure 1). Eyeball movement toward other directions and pupillary reactivity were normal. Her vertical diplopia also worsened on upward gaze. No tremor, ataxia, choreiform movement, or limb weakness was found. Other neurologic examinations were unremarkable. CT scan showed low-density lesions in bilateral basal ganglia adjacent to lateral ventricles. Cranial CT angiography (CTA) did not detect intracranial aneurysm or stenosis.

The patient was referred to our hospital for further evaluation 6 days later. Laboratory investigations including full blood count, electrolytes, blood glucose, renal and liver function, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, and antinuclear antibodies were unrevealing. The level of triglycerides was 2.14 mmol/L (normal range 0.45–1.69). Repeated electrical stimulation was negative.

Questions for Consideration:
1. Where is the lesion responsible for the monocular ptosis and binocular diplopia?
2. What is the differential diagnosis for a monocular ptosis along with diplopia?
3. What further testing would you perform?

Figure 1 Extraocular Movement Examination

(A) Right ptosis. (B–E) Extraocular movements: (B) Impaired elevation of right eye on upgaze. (C–E) Normal bilateral depression, abduction, and adduction. (F–G) Normal bilateral pupillary light reflex.
Section 2

The distribution and temporal progression of the paralytic extraocular muscles hold clues to the anatomical location of the lesion. Critical characteristics of ophthalmoplegia include unilateral or bilateral, persistent or fluctuant, and the distribution of paralytic muscles. Monocular, nonfluctuating, and isolated extraocular muscle paralysis suggests lesions in a peripheral nerve instead of muscle or neuromuscular junction, which would paralyze muscles that do not follow nerve innervation pattern.1

Coexistence of right ptosis and vertical binocular diplopia suggests levator palpebrae and superior rectus palsy on the right side.

The central caudal subnucleus of the third cranial nerve (CNIII) nucleus gives rise to crossed and uncrossed fibers that innervate bilateral levator palpebrae superioris,2 that is, supranuclear and nuclear palsy of the CNIII results in bilateral ptosis. The lesion for unilateral ptosis and upward palsy is therefore located to structures peripheral to oculomotor nucleus. Before entering the superior orbital fissure, the oculomotor nerve separates as superior and inferior branches. Levator palpebrae and superior rectus are innervated by the superior branch. Ksiazek proposed a 3-dimensional anatomic model for oculomotor nerve.3 The topographic arrangement of oculomotor fascicles is arranged as shown by Figure 2. Such model supports the notion that a divisional oculomotor nerve

Figure 2 Illustration of the CNIII Microanatomy in the Cistern Space and the Diagram of the CNIII Nerve Fascicular Topography in the Midbrain (Not to Scale)

(A) Illustration of the CNIII microanatomy. The gray shaded area demonstrates the region of the neurovascular conflict in our patient showing the possibly involved CNIII fascicles, which is not in accordance with the symptomatology. (B) Diagram of the topographic fascicular arrangement of the CNIII nerve. The gray shaded area shows the midbrain lesion in our patient. CNIII = third cranial nerve; IO = inferior oblique; IR = inferior rectus; LP = levator palpebrae; MR = medial rectus; P = pupillary fibers; SR = superior rectus.
Paresis may occur from damage at any location along the course of the oculomotor nerve once it leaves the nuclei, instead of just cavernous sinus or orbit, where superior and inferior divisional palsy have classically been located.\(^4\) In this sense, the presence of monocular ptosis and vertical binocular diplopia localizes the lesion to the any course of the oculomotor nerve from the fascicle to the orbit part.

Differential diagnoses of persistent unilateral oculomotor nerve palsy include intrinsic (such as diabetes, infarction, and CNS infection) and extrinsic causes (such as aneurysm, trauma, cavernous sinus lesion, subarachnoid hemorrhage, and neurovascular conflict).\(^5\) Neurovascular contact is a scenario in which a vessel contacts a nerve with the disappearance of CSF signal in between. It is most often seen in asymptomatic individuals. In comparison, neurovascular conflict is defined as a direct perpendicular compression between an artery and the root entry/exit zone of a cranial nerve. The diagnosis can only be made when there is nerve deviation or indentation.\(^5\) Based on different etiologies, painful ophthalmoplegia can be caused by intrinsic or extrinsic factors. CTA of this patient did not reveal any intracranial vascular abnormalities. Neither was there laboratory evidence for hyperglycemia or CNS infection. Oculomotor damage due to cavernous sinus lesion and painful ophthalmoplegia is often accompanied by paralysis of trigeminal, trochlear, and abducens nerves.

Isolated partial oculomotor nerve paresis is extremely rare in these 2 conditions. The nonfluctuating nature of ophthalmoplegia in this patient and negative repeated electrical stimulation test make neuromuscular junction disorders unlikely.

Further investigatory tests were performed. Synoptophore test revealed right superior rectus palsy, confirming physical examination findings. Brain MRI revealed hyperintensity of periventricular and deep white matter matching the results of CT scan, suggesting previous lacunar stroke. Diffusion-weighted image (DWI, slice thickness 5 mm) showed a diffusion restricted lesion of 8 mm diameter involving the medial part of the right cerebral peduncle (Figure 3). Three-dimensional constructive interference in steady state (3D-CISS) MRI (slice thickness 0.4 mm) revealed subtle swelling and deviation of right oculomotor nerve in interpeduncular cistern. The right superior cerebellar artery (SCA) compresses from behind the oculomotor nerve, resulting in absence of CSF signal in between (Figure 3). High-resolution magnetic resonance vessel wall imaging (HRMR-VWI, slice thickness 0.6 mm) showed stenosis and a plaque in the origin of right PCA (Figure 3).

**Question for Consideration:**

1. Which is the culprit lesion for the partial oculomotor palsy?
Lacunar stroke (LS) is a type of ischemic stroke with lesion diameter ranging from 3 to 20 mm. It is attributed to occlusion of small deep penetrating branches of cerebral arteries. Etiology of LS includes lipohyalinosis, atherosclerosis, branch arteriomatous disease (BAD), and embolism. Fisher first coined the term ‘lipohyalinosis’ as fibrinoid material accumulation and collagenous sclerosis of the wall of small vessels. Lipohyalinosis is considered secondary to uncontrolled hypertension. For an alternative pathomechanism of LS, BAD was first described by Caplan as a pathologic finding of occlusion at the origin of a deep penetrating artery due to a microatheroma or a parent vessel atheromatous plaque. Lesions associated with BAD are located proximally along the perforator artery, usually larger than cerebral small vascular disease-related LS. Diagnosis of BAD was previously based on the vascular territory, size, and/or shape of the infarcts as identified by conventional imaging methods. More advanced HR-MRI can show artery wall changes and aid BAD diagnosis. LS can also be attributed to embolism arising from the carotid, aortic arch or heart, or watershed infarction between adjacent vascular territories. The lesion of our patient meets the size and the noncortical and nonwatershed location of LS. The atheromatous plaque in the right PCA most likely resulted in occlusion of a perforating artery from PCA and the infarction which compromises the fibers that innervate superior rectus and levator palpebrae (Figure 2).

As discussed above, our patient fulfilled the criteria of neurovascular conflict. Nevertheless, this conflict does not accord with the symptomatology of this patient according to the topography of oculomotor nerve. So the final diagnosis of this patient is fascicular oculomotor nerve palsy caused by midbrain lacunar ischemic stroke secondary to BAD of the ipsilateral PCA.

Monocular partial oculomotor palsy bears many differential diagnoses. Meticulous history questioning and physical examination pave way for correct localization of the lesion. Careful selection of pertinent investigation would limit the economic cost and ensure identification of the underlying cause. More advanced imaging such as 3D-CISS and HR-MR-VWI enables illustration of fine changes of intracranial structures that are otherwise undetected by conventional imaging tools.
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