multiple system atrophy with autonomic failure. Neurology 1990;40:677–679.

7. Wenning GK, Tison F, Seppi K, et al. Development and validation of the Unified Multiple System Atrophy Rating Scale (UMSARS). Mov Disord 2004;19:1391–1402.

8. Higo R, Nito T, Tayama N. Swallowing function in patients with multiple-system atrophy with a clinical predominance of cerebellar symptoms (MSA-C). Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 2005;262:646–650.

9. Xie A, Rutherford R, Rankin F, et al. Hypocapnia and increased ventilatory responsiveness in patients with idiopathic central sleep apnea. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;152:1950–1955.

10. Badr MS, Kawak A. Post-hyperventilation hypopnea in humans during NREM sleep. Respir Physiol 1996;103: 137–145.

11. Xie A, Skatrud JB, Dempsey JA. Effect of hypoxia on the hypopnoeic and apnoeic threshold for CO_2 in sleeping humans. J Physiol 2001;535:269–278.

12. Tsuda T, Onodera H, Okabe S, et al. Impaired chemosensitivity to hypoxia is a marker of multiple system atrophy. Ann Neurol 2002;52: 367–371.

CORRECTION

Amelioration of osteoporosis and hypovitaminosis D by sunlight exposure in stroke patients

In the article "Amelioration of osteoporosis and hypovitaminosis D by sunlight exposure in stroke patients" by Y. Sato et al. (*Neurology*® 2003;61:338–342), the data regarding the number of falls per subject were incorrect. The Results section should have stated that the number of falls per subject was 0.9 ± 1.5 in the untreated group and 0.7 ± 1.3 in the sunlight-exposed group. After the correction and recalculation, there is still no significant difference between the two groups in the number of falls per subject during the study period. The authors regret the error.

CORRECTION

A composite score to predict short-term disease activity in patients with relapsing-remitting MS

In the article "A composite score to predict short-term disease activity in patients with relapsing-remitting MS" by M.P. Sormani et al. (*Neurology*® 2007;69:1230–1235), there is a discrepancy between the data in the text and the data in table 2. The hazard ratios (HRs) of prior 2-year relapses and Gd-enhancing lesions are reversed in the text compared to those reported in table 2 (page 1232: table 2 and Results section). The correct values are those reported in table 2. Therefore, the second sentence in the Results section should be changed to the following: "The variables included in the final model as independent predictors of relapse occurrence were the number of enhancing lesions (HR = 1.03, 95% CI = 1.02 to 1.05, $p < 0.001$) and the number of relapses during the previous 2 years (HR = 1.22, 95% CI = 1.11 to 1.33, $p < 0.001$)." The authors regret the error.

Neurology®

This information is current as of March 17, 2008

AMERICAN ACADEMY OF NEUROLOGY®