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C. Chris Kao, Nashville, TN: Klein et al.1 provide evidence that the network of structural connectivity can be reconstructed from stimulation contact sites to remote targets in deep brain stimulation (DBS) of the ventral intermediate nucleus of thalamus (VIM) in humans. Essential tremor (ET) is the most common tremor disorder and Parkinson disease (PD) is often accompanied by tremors. ET and PD can both be treated with DBS but the difference is that STN was used as a target in PD and VIM in ET. There is evidence that STN stimulation-induced motor improvement was sustained at 10 years in PD,2 and habitation of tremor suppression can be developed in VIM-DBS in ET.3 As the stimulation effect of the 2 targets is different, the mechanisms must be investigated. In addition, the differences

in the reproducible networks of structural connectivity must be determined. Subthalamic nucleus (STN) and VIM stimulation activate different remote targets, and this might relate to the tolerance of VIM-DBS in ET but long-term effect of STN-DBS in PD. Further studies are needed to explore the reproducible network reconstruction of STN and VIM and to understand the mechanism of stimulation.
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It is likely that these observations are due to differences of the neuronal circuitry, including remote connections of these regions. Optogenetic studies in parkinsonian rodents have found that stimulation of axons projecting to the STN, in contrast to stimulation of its cell bodies, mediates suppression of motor symptoms.4 This study underlines the importance of modulating the STN’s connectional network for clinical efficacy in the treatment of PD.

A diffusion tractography study analyzed remote connections of the STN in a set of normal volunteers.5 The authors reconstructed a remote network of connectivity that is very different from the VIM network, and comparable to previous studies of STN connectivity in nonhuman animals. These differences between VIM and STN networks may explain the disparate clinical effects of DBS of these targets. However, data regarding the connectivity of the target point in STN patients are not yet available.
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