

**Editors' Note:** Charrel questions the history and diagnosis of the patient presented in Oechtering and Petzold's article on hydrocephalus following Toscana virus meningoencephalitis. The authors defend their approach and clarify the patient's travel history while educating readers about sandfly behavior in the Umbrian region of Italy. Merckies argues that the negative results of the Tafamidis for transthyretin amyloid study were influenced by methodologic aspects including the rationale and weight of the endpoints. He explains that reanalyzing the data using the Rasch method may yield more accurate results and concludes that modern clinimetric-based outcome measures studies should precede interventional trials.

*Chafic Karam, MD, and Robert C. Griggs, MD*

#### ACUTE HYDROCEPHALUS DUE TO IMPAIRED CSF RESORPTION IN TOSCANA VIRUS MENINGOENCEPHALITIS

**Remi N. Charrel, Marseilles, France:** Oechtering and Petzold<sup>1</sup> report a patient with acquired hydrocephalus due to Toscana virus (TOSV) after visiting Umbria, Italy. First, TOSV is only transmitted by sandflies (*Phlebotomus perniciosus* and *P. perfiliewi*), so it is confusing to report multiple tick bites without mentioning sandflies. Second, the time period should be mentioned since sandflies circulate seasonally, with population density peaking in late August–September in Umbria. Third, the statement “... travel history unremarkable” is puzzling since TOSV is present in an extremely wide geographic area including southern Europe, North Africa, and the Middle East, so potential past infection should be considered. Fourth, the techniques for immunoglobulin G (IgG) and immunoglobulin M (IgM) detection and titration are not included, and the very high IgG titers are surprising so early after the clinical onset (less than 10 days). Fifth, according to the WHO definition, the biological parameters are compatible with a probable TOSV infection, not with confirmed acute TOSV (absence of seroconversion and of direct detection of TOSV).<sup>2</sup> Reactivation of past TOSV infection could explain the serologic profile. Among pathogens causing hydrocephalus, lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus infection is plausible and has been shown to cause acquired hydrocephalus.<sup>3</sup>

**Author Response: Gabor C. Petzold, Bonn; Johanna Oechtering, Berlin:** We thank Dr. Charrel for his comments. First, we clearly state that TOSV is transmitted by sandflies. However, when faced with a patient with acute meningoencephalitis after a camping trip, most neurologists (and arguably most doctors in general) will consider tick-borne diseases such as borreliosis or tick-borne encephalitis when multiple tick bites are reported. Our case demonstrates that a history of tick bites can be misleading in some patients, and we therefore found it important to report the complete patient history. Second, our patient took his trip to Umbria in August, which is the peak of sandfly population density in that region. Third, the patient had not traveled to any other countries where TOSV is endemic. Therefore, his travel history was unremarkable. Fourth, IgG and IgM were detected by indirect immunofluorescence assay. While we agree that the IgG titers are unusually high, the unremarkable past travel history makes reactivation of a previous infection unlikely. Fifth, we agree that our patient fulfills the diagnosis of probable TOSV infection according to WHO criteria. Finally, we had tested our patient serologically for lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus, but the results were negative.

© 2013 American Academy of Neurology

1. Oechtering J, Petzold GC. Acute hydrocephalus due to impaired CSF resorption in Toscana virus meningoencephalitis. *Neurology* 2012;79:829–831.
2. Arboviral diseases, neuroinvasive and non-neuroinvasive: 2011 case definition. Available at: [http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph\\_surveillance/nndss/casedef/arboviral\\_current.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/osels/ph_surveillance/nndss/casedef/arboviral_current.htm). Accessed August 13, 2012.
3. Charrel RN, Retornaz K, Emonet S, et al. Acquired hydrocephalus caused by a variant lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;166:2044–2046.

#### TAFAMIDIS FOR TRANSTHYRETIN FAMILIAL AMYLOID POLYNEUROPATHY: A RANDOMIZED, CONTROLLED TRIAL

**Ingemar S.J. Merckies, Hoofddorp, the Netherlands:** Coelho et al.<sup>1</sup> reported no significant changes in this trial even though transthyretin (TTR) was stabilized in all patients receiving Tafamidis. However, various methodologic aspects may have influenced the results.

Neither of the primary endpoints has been evaluated in familial amyloid polyneuropathy (FAP) to

determine their complete clinimetric properties.<sup>2</sup> In addition, a rationale for the 2-point cutoff in the Neuropathy Impairment Score–Lower Limbs (NIS-LL) (range 0–88) was not provided. This cutoff differs from the internationally proposed unifying theory of  $1/2 \times \text{SD}$  of the theoretical range of a measure, which should have provided an NIS-LL cutoff of 7.<sup>3</sup>

Both endpoints are classical-test-theory (CTT)–based and constructed by an arbitrary recruitment of items, without examining the impact (weight) of each item.<sup>4</sup> All items have Likert-type response options (e.g., NIS muscle examination: 0/1/2/3/3.25/3.5/3.75/4) assuming a true numerical distance between the options. Also, a sumscore is computed assuming a linear setting. These assumptions are incorrect since the scales are ordinal based.<sup>4</sup>

For example, if the authors reanalyzed the Tafamidis trial using Rasch analyses, it would provide a better reflection of possible groups' differences.<sup>5</sup> Rasch

bypasses CTT deficiencies by transforming ordinal scores into interval/linear measures.<sup>5</sup>

Modern clinimetric-based outcome measures studies should precede interventional trials in FAP.

© 2013 American Academy of Neurology

1. Coelho T, Maia LF, Martins da Silva A, et al. Tafamidis for transthyretin familial amyloid polyneuropathy: a randomized, controlled trial. *Neurology* 2012;79:785–792.
2. Streiner DL, Norman GR. *Health Measurement Scales: A Practical Guide to Their Development and Use*. New York: Oxford University Press; 1995.
3. Norman GR, Sloan JA, Wyrwich KW. Interpretation of changes in health-related quality of life: the remarkable universality of half a standard deviation. *Med Care* 2003;41:582–592.
4. DeVellis RF. Classical test theory. *Med Care* 2006;44(suppl 3):S50–S59.
5. Tennant A, Conaghan PG. The Rasch measurement model in rheumatology: what is it and why use it? When should it be applied, and what should one look for in a Rasch paper? *Arthritis Rheum* 2007;57:1358–1362.

## **Neurology<sup>®</sup> WriteClick: Join the Debate!**

The editors encourage comments about recent articles through WriteClick:

Go to [www.neurology.org](http://www.neurology.org) and click on the “WriteClick” tab at the top of the page. Responses will be posted within 72 hours of submission.

Before using WriteClick, remember the following:

- WriteClick is restricted to comments about studies published in *Neurology* within the last eight weeks
- Read previously posted comments; redundant comments will not be posted
- Your submission must be 200 words or less and have a maximum of five references; reference one must be the article on which you are commenting
- You can include a maximum of five authors (including yourself)

---

Author disclosures are available upon request ([journal@neurology.org](mailto:journal@neurology.org)).

# Neurology®

## Tafamidis for transthyretin familial amyloid polyneuropathy: A randomized, controlled trial

Ingemar S.J. Merkies

*Neurology* 2013;80;1444-1445

DOI 10.1212/01.wnl.0000429338.33391.87

**This information is current as of April 8, 2013**

<b>Updated Information &amp; Services</b>	including high resolution figures, can be found at: <a href="http://n.neurology.org/content/80/15/1444.2.full">http://n.neurology.org/content/80/15/1444.2.full</a>
<b>References</b>	This article cites 4 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at: <a href="http://n.neurology.org/content/80/15/1444.2.full#ref-list-1">http://n.neurology.org/content/80/15/1444.2.full#ref-list-1</a>
<b>Permissions &amp; Licensing</b>	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: <a href="http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions">http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions</a>
<b>Reprints</b>	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: <a href="http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise">http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise</a>

*Neurology*® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2013 American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

