Summary of evidence-based guideline: Periprocedural management of antithrombotic medications in patients with ischemic cerebrovascular disease


ABSTRACT

Objective: To assess evidence regarding periprocedural management of antithrombotic drugs in patients with ischemic cerebrovascular disease. The complete guideline on which this summary is based is available as an online data supplement to this article.

Methods: Systematic literature review with practice recommendations.

Results and recommendations: Clinicians managing antithrombotic medications periprocedurally must weigh bleeding risks from drug continuation against thromboembolic risks from discontinuation. Stroke patients undergoing dental procedures should routinely continue aspirin (Level A). Stroke patients undergoing invasive ocular anesthesia, cataract surgery, transrectal ultrasound-guided prostate biopsy, spinal epidural procedures, and carpal tunnel surgery should probably continue aspirin (Level B). Some stroke patients undergoing vitrectomy surgery, EMG, transbronchial lung biopsy, colposcopy or polypectomy, upper endoscopy and biopsy, and sphincterotomy, and abdominal ultrasound-guided biopsies should probably continue aspirin (Level C). Stroke patients requiring warfarin should routinely continue it when undergoing dental procedures (Level A) and probably continue it for dermatologic procedures (Level B). Some patients undergoing EMG, prostate procedures, inguinal herniorrhaphy, and endothermal ablation of the great saphenous vein should possibly continue warfarin (Level C). Whereas neurologists should counsel that warfarin probably does not increase clinically important bleeding with ocular anesthesia (Level A), other ophthalmologic studies lack the statistical precision to make recommendations (Level U). Neurologists should counsel that warfarin might increase bleeding with colonoscopic polypectomy (Level C). There is insufficient evidence to support or refute periprocedural heparin bridging therapy to reduce thromboembolic events in chronically anticoagulated patients (Level U). Neurologists should counsel that bridging therapy is probably associated with increased bleeding risks as compared with warfarin cessation (Level B). The risk difference as compared with continuing warfarin is unknown (Level U). Neurology® 2013;80:2065-2069

GLOSSARY

AC = anticoagulation; AP = antiplatelet; RR = relative risk; TE = thromboembolic.

Neurologists are frequently asked to recommend whether practitioners should temporarily stop anticoagulation (AC) and antiplatelet (AP) agents in patients with prior strokes or TIsAs undergoing invasive procedures. The balance of risks of recurrent vascular events with discontinuation of these agents vs increased periprocedural bleeding with continuation is often unclear, leading to variability in care and possibly adverse outcomes.

This article summarizes the findings, conclusions, and recommendations of an evidence-based guideline regarding periprocedural management of patients with a history of ischemic cerebrovascular disease receiving AC or AP agents. The full text of the guideline is available as a data supplement on the Neurology® Web site at www.neurology.org. Four questions are addressed:

Supplemental data at www.neurology.org
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1. What is the thromboembolic (TE) risk of temporarily discontinuing an antithrombotic medication?
2. What are the perioperative bleeding risks of continuing antithrombotic agents?
3. If oral AC is stopped, should bridging therapy be used?
4. If an antithrombotic agent is stopped, what should be the timing of discontinuation?

DESCRIPTION OF THE ANALYTIC PROCESS

The American Academy of Neurology Guideline Development Subcommittee (see appendices e-1 and e-2) convened an expert panel to develop the guideline. Literature searches of MEDLINE and EMBASE through August 2011 were performed (see appendices e-3 and e-4). The searches identified 5,904 citations yielding 133 relevant articles, which were rated for their risk of bias (see appendix e-5), and recommendations were made that were linked to the evidence (see appendix e-6).

Articles were included if they studied patients taking oral antithrombotic agents for primary or secondary cardiovascular disease or stroke prevention (including articles relating to atrial fibrillation), studied at least 20 subjects, included a comparison group, assessed risks of continuing or discontinuing an agent, and clearly described interventions and outcome measures. Cardiovascular and cerebrovascular procedures were excluded because of confounding issues. Bleeding was classified according to GUSTO criteria. Moderate or severe bleeding was considered clinically important. All studies are presented in the evidence table (table e-1), including Class III studies that did not inform recommendations.

ANALYSIS OF EVIDENCE

What is the TE risk of temporarily discontinuing AP agents? Based on one Class I study and 2 Class II studies that addressed TE risks of temporarily discontinuing AP agents, aspirin discontinuation is probably associated with increased stroke or TIA risk. Estimated stroke risk varies with the duration of aspirin discontinuation: relative risk (RR) was 1.97 for 2 weeks, odds ratio was 3.4 for 4 weeks, and RR was 1.40 for 5 months (one Class II study each).

What is the TE risk of temporarily discontinuing AC? Studies of AC discontinuation enroll subjects with various AC indications, each with different TE risks. No studies meeting inclusion criteria with sufficient sample size to support conclusions compared TE risks in subjects continuing warfarin with those discontinuing warfarin (with or without periprocedural heparin bridging). One Class I study found that the TE event risk of warfarin discontinuation is probably higher if AC is stopped for ≥7 days (RR 5.5, 95% confidence interval 1.2–24.2) (one Class I study).

What are the perioperative bleeding risks of continuing antithrombotic agents? Table 1 summarizes the evidence-based conclusions developed from the systematic review of the literature. Only procedures for which we found evidence appear in table 1. For specific details, including quantitative descriptions of risks, refer to the full guideline document (www.neurology.org).

If oral AC is stopped, should bridging therapy be used? There is insufficient evidence to support or refute a difference in TE events when heparin bridging is used (vs discontinuation of oral AC without bridging); however, most studies suggest that heparin bridging is probably associated with an increased risk of periprocedural bleeding in general (2 Class I studies, one Class II study, and one Class III study showing increased risk, with one additional Class I study showing no substantial increased risk).

There is insufficient evidence to support or refute differences in TE risk between management strategies of continuing oral AC vs heparin bridging. One Class I study found that the risk of bleeding is probably similar between low-molecular-weight heparin bridging and AC continuation in dental procedures.

If an antithrombotic agent is stopped, what should be the timing of discontinuation? Data are insufficient to support any conclusions.

CLINICAL CONTEXT

The antithrombotic effect duration of aspirin and clopidogrel is estimated to be 7 days. The duration of action of a single dose of warfarin is estimated at 2 to 5 days. Hence, to reverse the antithrombotic effect, it is generally recommended that AP agents be stopped 7 to 10 days, and warfarin 5 days, preprocedure. Shorter discontinuation periods were used in many of the reviewed studies.

Stopping antithrombotics increases the risk of TE events. The exact magnitude of this risk increase is unknown. To minimize this risk, it seems reasonable to minimize the duration of antithrombotic discontinuation.

When considering the risks and benefits of antithrombotic discontinuation, it is important to consider both the frequency of undesirable outcomes and their long-term consequences. TE events occur infrequently, but the associated morbidity and mortality rates are high. In contrast, most reported bleeding outcomes are relatively mild. Decisions regarding periprocedural antithrombotic therapy depend on weighing these competing risks in the context of individual patient characteristics.

Patient preferences must inform these risk–benefit judgments. In a study comparing preferences of patients with atrial fibrillation with those of physicians, patients were willing to experience a mean of 17.4 excess-bleeding events with warfarin and 14.7 excess-bleeding events.
with aspirin to prevent a stroke. Sample clinical scenarios for guideline application are presented in appendix 1.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. It is axiomatic that clinicians managing antithrombotic medications periprocedurally weigh bleeding risks from drug continuation against TE risks from discontinuation at the individual patient level, although high-quality evidence on which to base this decision is often unavailable. In addition, even when evidence is insufficient to exclude a difference in bleeding or shows a small increase in clinically important bleeding with antithrombotic agents, physicians may reasonably judge that the risks and morbidity of TE events exceed those associated with bleeding.

2. Neurologists should counsel both patients taking aspirin for secondary stroke prevention and their physicians that aspirin discontinuation is probably associated with increased stroke and TIA risk (Level B). Estimated stroke risks vary across studies and according to duration of aspirin discontinuation.

3. Neurologists should counsel patients taking AC for stroke prevention that the TE risks associated

### Table 1 Summary of evidence-based conclusions regarding bleeding risks associated with continuing antithrombotics for various procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect on clinically important bleeding risk and confidence in evidence</th>
<th>Aspirin(b)</th>
<th>Warfarin(b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly likely not to increase bleeding risk</td>
<td>Dental procedures</td>
<td>Dental procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely not to increase bleeding risk</td>
<td>Invasive ocular anesthesia</td>
<td>Dermatologic procedures [small risk]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cataract surgery</td>
<td>Invasive ocular anesthesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dermatologic procedures</td>
<td>TRUS-guided prostate biopsy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spinal/epidural needle procedures</td>
<td>Carpal tunnel syndrome surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibly does not increase bleeding risk</td>
<td>Vitrectomies</td>
<td>EMG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EMG</td>
<td>Prostate procedures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transbronchial biopsy</td>
<td>Inguinal herniorrhaphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colonoscopic polypectomy</td>
<td>Endothermal ablation of the saphenous vein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Upper-gastrointestinal endoscopic biopsy</td>
<td>Sphincterotomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ultrasound-guided biopsies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient evidence to determine whether bleeding risk is increased or not increased</td>
<td>TURP</td>
<td>Ophthalmologic procedures (other than anesthesia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibly increases bleeding risk</td>
<td>Colonoscopic polypectomy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Likely to increase bleeding risk</td>
<td>Orthopedic hip procedures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: TRUS = transrectal ultrasound; TURP = transurethral resection of the prostate.  
\(a\) See complete guideline document (www.neurology.org) for specific descriptions of the procedures.  
\(b\) The experience with aspirin and warfarin cannot be confidently extrapolated to other antithrombotic medications.
11. Patients taking warfarin should be counseled that warfarin continuation is probably associated with an increased risk of clinically important bleeding with ocular anesthesia (Level B). However, AC practices during ophthalmologic procedures may be driven by the postanesthesia procedure. Although bleeding events were rare, ophthalmologic studies (other than those regarding ocular anesthesia) lack the statistical precision to exclude clinically important bleeding risks with warfarin continuation. Thus, there is insufficient evidence to make practice recommendations regarding warfarin discontinuation in ophthalmologic procedures (Level U).

12. Patients taking warfarin should be counseled that warfarin continuation is probably not associated with an increased risk of clinically important bleeding with ocular anesthesia (Level B). However, AC practices during ophthalmologic procedures may be driven by the postanesthesia procedure. Although bleeding events were rare, ophthalmologic studies (other than those regarding ocular anesthesia) lack the statistical precision to exclude clinically important bleeding risks with warfarin continuation. Thus, there is insufficient evidence to make practice recommendations regarding warfarin discontinuation in ophthalmologic procedures (Level U).

13. Warfarin might be associated with no increased clinically important bleeding with EMG, prostate procedures, inguinal herniorrhaphy, and endothermal ablation of the great saphenous vein. Thus, patients undergoing these procedures should possibly continue warfarin (Level C).

14. Patients taking warfarin should be counseled that warfarin continuation might increase bleeding with colonoscopic polypectomy (Level C). Thus, patients undergoing this procedure should possibly temporarily discontinue warfarin (Level C).

15. Neurologists should counsel patients that there is insufficient evidence to make recommendations regarding appropriate periprocedural management of nonwarfarin oral AC (Level U). Warfarin recommendations cannot be extrapolated with certainty to other AC agents.

16. There is insufficient evidence to determine differences in TE in chronically anticoagulated patients managed with heparin bridging therapy relative to oral AC discontinuation or continuation. Patients taking warfarin should be counseled that bridging therapy is probably associated with increased bleeding risks in procedures in general relative to AC cessation (Level B). Bridging probably does not reduce clinically important bleeding relative to continued AC with warfarin in dentistry, but bleeding risk differences between patients managed with continued warfarin vs bridging therapy in other procedures are unknown. Given that the benefits of bridging therapy are not established and that bridging is probably associated with increased bleeding risks, there is insufficient evidence to support or refute bridging therapy use in general (Level U).
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APPENDIX 1
Sample clinical scenarios for guideline application. Clinical scenario 1: Patient A is a 60-year-old man with a history of hypertension and hypercholesterolemia who had a stroke 1 year ago attributed to intracranial large-artery atheroscle-
rosis. He has mild residual left hemiparesis and his secondary stroke preven-
tion therapy includes risk factor control and aspirin 325 mg daily. He is due for routine colonoscopy screening. His neurologist reviews the guideline and 
assesses that the patient’s risk for recurrent stroke includes his known intra-
cranial large-artery atherosclerotic event. Given that the patient may not need polypectomy with his colonoscopy, the neurologist recommends that the patient be continued aspirin therapy. The AAN Guideline Author Con-
flict of Interest Policy can be viewed at www.aan.com.
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