Conclusions and future directions for the REiNS International Collaboration

ABSTRACT
The Response Evaluation in Neurofibromatosis and Schwannomatosis (REiNS) International Collaboration was established with the goal to develop consensus recommendations for the use of endpoints in neurofibromatosis (NF) clinical trials. This supplement includes the first series of REiNS recommendations for the use of patient-reported, functional, and visual outcomes, and for the evaluation of imaging response in NF clinical trials. Recommendations for neurocognitive outcome measures, the use of whole-body MRI in NF, the evaluation of potential biomarkers of disease, and the comprehensive evaluation of functional and patient-reported outcomes in NF are in development. The REiNS recommendations are made based on current knowledge. Experience with the use of the recommended endpoints in clinical trials, development of new tools and technologies, new knowledge of the natural history of NF, and advances in the methods used to analyze endpoints will likely lead to modifications of the currently proposed guidelines, which will be shared with the NF research community through the REiNS Web site www.reinscollaboration.org. Due to the clinical complexity of NF, there is a need to seek expertise from multiple medical disciplines, regulatory agencies, and industry to develop trial endpoints and designs, which will lead to the identification and approval of effective treatments for NF tumor and nontumor manifestations. The REiNS Collaboration welcomes anyone interested in providing his or her expertise toward this effort. Neurology® 2013;81 (Suppl 1):S41–S44

GLOSSARY
NF = neurofibromatosis; PN = plexiform neurofibroma; PRO = patient-reported outcome; QOL = quality of life; REiNS = Response Evaluation in Neurofibromatosis and Schwannomatosis; VS = vestibular schwannoma; WBMRI = whole-body MRI.

Neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1), neurofibromatosis 2 (NF2), and schwannomatosis share the predisposition to the development of multiple mostly benign nerve sheath tumors. However, there are distinct differences in the clinical manifestations between NF1, NF2, and schwannomatosis, and there is substantial variability in the development of disease manifestations within each of the forms of NF.1,2 As increasing numbers of clinical trials for NF-related tumor and nontumor manifestations are ongoing, the need for the development of standardized trial endpoints specifically for NF has emerged (see the introduction to this supplement, Plotkin et al.). The aim of the Response Evaluation in Neurofibromatosis and Schwannomatosis (REiNS) International Collaboration is to develop standardized endpoints for clinical trials for NF to allow for meaningful comparisons of trial results and to accelerate the development of active and beneficial agents.

This supplement provides the first series of recommendations of the REiNS collaborative group for endpoints in NF trials. The guidelines proposed in this supplement—for the evaluation of pain (Wolters et al.), hearing and facial function (Plotkin et al.), vision (Fisher et al.), and imaging of response (Dombi et al.)—can be readily incorporated as endpoints into clinical trials.

RECOMMENDATIONS UNDER DEVELOPMENT FROM REiNS WORKING GROUPS The Neurocognitive, Whole-body MRI, and Biomarker Working Groups are currently preparing recommendations. Learning
disabilities and cognitive impairments are common in patients with NF1 and result in substantial disability. Therefore, the initial focus of the PRO Working Group was to provide recommendations for the evaluation of pain intensity (Wolters et al., this supplement). Recommendations are in preparation for pain interference, functional disability, and disease-specific and general quality of life (QOL). This task is challenging given the wide age range of affected individuals (from infants to older adults) and the extensive morbidities arising from tumor and nontumor manifestations in NF.

In this supplement, the Tumor Measurement Working Group proposes volumetric tumor measurements as opposed to standard 1- or 2-dimensional measurements for NF1-related PN and NF2-related vestibular schwannomas (VS). Furthermore, the group defines tumor progression and tumor response based on much smaller changes than solid tumor response criteria. These response criteria were chosen to maximize the identification of active agents and to minimize the duration of patients' exposure to inactive agents. However, there is a need for additional studies to validate and compare methods of volumetric analysis and to identify the percent changes that can be reliably measured for specific tumor types. Plans are under way to compare currently used methods of volumetric analysis of PN and VS to evaluate whether these can be used interchangeably in clinical trials. We will apply currently established response criteria for pediatric and adult CNS tumors—Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology (RANO) and Response Assessment in Pediatric Neuro-Oncology (RAPNO)—to NF-related CNS tumors such as gliomas and meningiomas, if feasible.

In solid tumors, CT is frequently used to measure change in tumor size. This method may have advantages for NF patients with metal implants, which limit the utility of MRI for volumetric analysis. Studies evaluating the utility of CT for response evaluation in NF are thus another goal of the Tumor Measurement Working Group.
The measurement of small decreases in tumor size allows for identification of active agents. Ultimately, studies that analyze the relationship between tumor size and changes in functional and patient-reported outcomes will be required to assess whether imaging changes can serve as a surrogate for morbidity. For example, while the degree of hearing loss in NF2 does not correlate directly with the size of VS, there may be a correlation between tumor size and morbidity for other tumors. Finally, consideration should be given to evaluating the utility of functional imaging, such as PET or magnetic resonance spectroscopy, in the assessment of response to targeted therapies in future research studies.

Our ultimate goal is to accelerate the identification of active and clinically beneficial agents for NF. The recent US Food and Drug Administration approval of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) inhibitor everolimus for patients with tuberous sclerosis complex and subependymal giant cell astrocytomas (SEGA)14 and the Janus kinase inhibitor (JAK1/2) ruxolitinib for intermediate and high-risk myelofibrosis15 serve as potential examples for future NF trials. These drugs were approved based on nonstandard outcomes. Everolimus was approved based on a reduction in tumor volume in patients enrolled in a single-arm trial. Ruxolitinib was approved based on 2 placebo-controlled trials using reduction in spleen volume as a primary endpoint and reduction in patient-reported symptoms as a key secondary endpoint.

Overall, the number of patients with NF available for participation in clinical trials is small. It is thus important that the most appropriate trial designs and endpoints are utilized. Early interaction and collaboration with regulatory agencies and industry will be critical to this process as trials with new endpoints and designs are developed.
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