Editors’ Note: In this week’s WriteClick, Koehler and Erftemeijer and author Sohrab continue a lively debate over the artist Frans Hals’ intent in employing a wavy borderline around certain figures in some of his later paintings. Sharma and Khandelwal comment on authors Shamy and Jaigobin’s finding that 79% of surveyed neurologists would not attempt thrombolysis in patients with dementia.
—Megan Alcauskas, MD, and Robert C. Griggs, MD

THE COMPLEXITIES OF ACUTE STROKE DECISION-MAKING: A SURVEY OF NEUROLOGISTS

Mohit Sharma, Priyank Khandelwal, New York: Drs. Shamy and Jaigobin found that 79% of surveyed neurologists would not thrombolyze patients with dementia. We are surprised by this finding. Pre-existing dementia is not a contraindication to the use of tissue plasminogen activator (tPA). Even with strong evidence, clinical practice is heavily influenced by personal experiences and beliefs. Neurologists who have been practicing for more than 10 years are more likely to thrombolyze patients with dementia, which provides insight about the thought process among young neurologists.

The authors did not inquire whether patients’ race, ethnicity, and educational status influenced the decision, because minorities are less likely to receive thrombolysis. This variation could be even more pronounced in developing/underdeveloped countries, where patients pay for thrombolysis themselves. Also, it would be interesting to know whether first responders—other than neurologists—who run a stroke code in community-based hospitals have different views regarding tPA administration.

Drs. Shamy and Jaigobin highlighted one of the many ethical and legal issues concerning the use of tPA that could eventually be detrimental to the patient’s prognosis.

Author Response: Michel C.F. Shamy, Ottawa: We thank Drs. Sharma and Khandelwal for their comments. As they suggested, we suspect that experiences, beliefs, and biases present in developing countries are similar to those influences in developed countries. Moreover, resource limitations may amplify biases and health disparities. We agree that additional factors such as race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, educational status, do-not-resuscitate status, and the ability to pay may also influence decision-making.

Regarding tPA use in patients with dementia, we suspect that this may be more complex. Patients with a good chance of improvement should not be deprived of tPA without good reason. While patients with dementia deserve to be treated with the best medical care, this care may not always mean heightened intervention. Dementia may be considered a terminal (i.e., palliative) diagnosis, and it may not always be ethically or economically appropriate to provide patients with aggressive and costly interventions like IV tPA. Physicians are allowed—and should have—opinions on issues like these, and they should be openly discussed.
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BLURRY AS TREMOR

Peter J. Koehler, Heerlen; Antoon Erftemeijer, Haarlem, the Netherlands: Although Dr. Sohrab’s suggestion is interesting, we present a more likely explanation of the blurry garment worn by one of the “Regentesses of the Old Men’s Almshouse” (1664) by Frans Hals. One of us (A.E.) is curator at the Frans Hals Museum, Haarlem, the Netherlands, and studied the original painting more precisely. The woman (third from the left) is wearing a different white garment than the other Regentesses. Frans Hals tried to reproduce the semi-transparency of the garment; the “tremulous” edge was necessary to reproduce the transparency and the borders needed to be vague. This technique was also applied in “Portrait of
a Seated Woman” (ca. 1660–1666 in Christ Church, Oxford) with a similar garment. This method can also be seen in other works, including the upper part of the ruff in the portrait of “Nicolaes van der Meer” in the Frans Hals Museum. One of Hals’ goals was to indicate liveliness by suggestion of movement. Dr. Sohrab is, we think, misled by Hals, and probably Hals would have been very proud of this.

Author Response: Sayyed A. Sohrab, Ann Arbor, MI:
I thank Dr. Koehler and A. Erftemeijer for their comments on my article1 and their alternative explanations. I agree that the style of neckwear in the standing Regentess is different, yet Hals’ execution in this painting is not the same as in the other paintings mentioned. More importantly, the outline of the Regentess’ right hand (A) indicates shaking as well (figure); the “wavy” double upper and double lower borders clearly indicate a tremor coming from her torso.2 In addition, if the viewer compares this hand to the hand of the sitting figure on the right side (B), there is a difference. I agree that “one of Hals’ goals was to indicate liveliness by suggestion of movement,” but this figure is evidently in a “morbid” rather than lively motion. A similar point has been made by John Berger3 regarding the “drunken” regent in the exact same position in a counterpart painting “Regents of the Old Men’s Almshouse.” It is interesting that the focal points of Hals’ last 2 paintings—and of his only remaining patrons—are either drunkenly weary or shiveringly blurry. Rather than feeling misled by a resourceful genius, a second reading of Berger’s interpretation is in order.
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