

MS disease activity in RESTORE

A randomized 24-week natalizumab treatment interruption study

OPEN  

Robert J. Fox, MD
Bruce A.C. Cree, MD,
PhD, MCR
Jerome De Sèze, MD
Ralf Gold, MD
Hans-Peter Hartung, MD
Douglas Jeffery, MD,
PhD
Ludwig Kappos, MD
Michael Kaufman, MD
Xavier Montalbán, MD,
PhD
Bianca Weinstock-
Guttman, MD
Britt Anderson, PhD
Amy Natarajan, MS
Barry Ticho, MD, PhD
Petra Duda, MD, PhD

Correspondence to
Dr. Fox:
foxr@ccf.org

ABSTRACT

Objective: RESTORE was a randomized, partially placebo-controlled exploratory study evaluating multiple sclerosis (MS) disease activity during a 24-week interruption of natalizumab.

Methods: Eligible patients were relapse-free through the prior year on natalizumab and had no gadolinium-enhancing lesions on screening brain MRI. Patients were randomized 1:1:2 to continue natalizumab, to switch to placebo, or to receive alternative immunomodulatory therapy (other therapies: IM interferon β -1a [IM IFN- β -1a], glatiramer acetate [GA], or methylprednisolone [MP]). During the 24-week randomized treatment period, patients underwent clinical and MRI assessments every 4 weeks.

Results: Patients ($n = 175$) were randomized to natalizumab ($n = 45$), placebo ($n = 42$), or other therapies ($n = 88$: IM IFN- β -1a, $n = 17$; GA, $n = 17$; MP, $n = 54$). Of 167 patients evaluable for efficacy, 49 (29%) had MRI disease activity recurrence: 0/45 (0%) natalizumab, 19/41 (46%) placebo, 1/14 (7%) IM IFN- β -1a, 8/15 (53%) GA, and 21/52 (40%) MP. Relapse occurred in 4% of natalizumab patients and in 15%–29% of patients in the other treatment arms. MRI disease activity recurred starting at 12 weeks ($n = 3$ at week 12) while relapses were reported as early as 4–8 weeks ($n = 2$ in weeks 4–8) after the last natalizumab dose. Overall, 50/167 patients (30%), all in placebo or other-therapies groups, restarted natalizumab early because of disease activity.

Conclusions: MRI and clinical disease activity recurred in some patients during natalizumab interruption, despite use of other therapies.

Classification of evidence: This study provides Class II evidence that for patients with MS taking natalizumab who are relapse-free for 1 year, stopping natalizumab increases the risk of MS relapse or MRI disease activity as compared with continuing natalizumab. *Neurology*® 2014;82:1491–1498

GLOSSARY

EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; **GA** = glatiramer acetate; **Gd+** = gadolinium-enhancing; **IFN- β -1a** = interferon β -1a; **MP** = methylprednisolone; **MS** = multiple sclerosis; **PML** = progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy; **QOL** = quality of life; **SDMT** = Symbol Digit Modalities Test; **VAS** = Visual Analogue Scale.

Natalizumab (Tysabri, Biogen Idec Inc., Cambridge, MA) has demonstrated efficacy in the treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS).^{1,2} In patients who are anti-JC virus antibody-positive, natalizumab treatment duration increases the risk of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML),^{3–5} although PML in patients with MS may have a better prognosis than PML in HIV-infected patients.⁶

Planned dosage interruption has been proposed, hypothetically, as a way to mitigate PML risk.^{7–15} To date, there have been no prospective controlled studies of the effects of natalizumab treatment interruption. Some studies suggest that withdrawal of natalizumab treatment for ≥ 3 months may be associated with return of MS disease activity.^{7–17} Following natalizumab

Editorial, page 1484

Supplemental data
at *Neurology.org*

From the Mellen Center for Multiple Sclerosis (R.J.F.), Cleveland Clinic, OH; University of California San Francisco Multiple Sclerosis Center (B.A.C.C.); Hôpital Civil (J.D.S.), Strasbourg, France; St. Josef Hospital (R.G.), Ruhr University, Bochum; Department of Neurology (H.-P.H.), Heinrich-Heine-University, Düsseldorf, Germany; The MS Center at Advance Neurology at Cornerstone Health Care (D.J.), Advance, NC; Departments of Neurology and Biomedicine (L.K.), University Hospital Basel, Switzerland; MS Center (M.K.), Carolinas Medical Center, Charlotte, NC; Vall d'Hebron University Hospital (X.M.), Barcelona, Spain; Jacobs MS Center and Pediatric MS Center of Excellence (B.W.-G.), Jacobs Neurological Institute, Buffalo, NY; Infusion Communications (B.A.), Haddam, CT; and Biogen Idec Inc. (A.N., B.T., P.D.), Weston, MA.

Go to *Neurology.org* for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article. The Article Processing Charge was paid by Biogen Idec Inc.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial No Derivative 3.0 License, which permits downloading and sharing the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially.

discontinuation, MS disease activity exceeded prenatalizumab disease activity in some studies^{9,15,16,18,19} but not in others.^{13,14,20,21} The timing of the return of disease activity and optimal monitoring and treatment strategies for patients discontinuing natalizumab are not known.


The objectives of RESTORE, a randomized, partially placebo-controlled study, were to explore the course of MS disease activity and the effects on pharmacokinetic, pharmacodynamic, and immune parameters in patients undergoing an interruption of natalizumab therapy for up to 24 weeks as compared with those in patients remaining on natalizumab. It also assessed the effects of alternate therapies during natalizumab interruption. RESTORE was an exploratory study and was neither designed nor powered for any specific endpoint or to detect an effect of natalizumab treatment interruption on PML occurrence.

We present the clinical and MRI outcomes during natalizumab treatment interruption and after restarting natalizumab in RESTORE.

METHODS Study design. In this phase 4, randomized, multicenter, partially placebo-controlled, parallel-group exploratory study, patients with MS receiving natalizumab were randomized into 3 treatment arms in a 1:1:2 ratio: natalizumab:placebo:alternate immunomodulatory therapy (other therapies: IM interferon β -1a [IM IFN- β -1a] [Avonex, Biogen Idec Inc., Cambridge, MA], glatiramer acetate [GA] [Copaxone, Teva Neuroscience, Kansas City, MO], or methylprednisolone [MP]). In the other-therapies group, patients and their neurologist selected the immunomodulatory therapy on an individual basis; as such, the distribution of patients receiving IM IFN- β -1a, GA, and MP was not randomized, and the groups were unbalanced (figure 1). Planned enrollment was approximately 160 patients randomized 1:1:2 natalizumab:placebo:other therapies. As an exploratory study, the sample and randomization allocation were chosen to evaluate trends in radiologic and clinical disease recurrence in each group. Patients from 31 sites in North America and Europe were randomized using a centralized interactive voice response system at the baseline visit, and randomization was stratified by country and pretreatment disease activity (high vs low). High disease activity was defined as ≥ 2 relapses within 12 months prior to initiating natalizumab therapy. The study was performed between March 2010 and November 2011.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. Each site's institutional review board reviewed and approved the study protocol and amendments, and all participants provided written informed consent. The study was

Figure 1 Study design



Patients provided signed consent at week -4 and underwent screening. Patients were enrolled at their next monthly visit (day/week 0) if they did not have subclinical disease activity as evidenced by gadolinium-enhancing lesions on MRI and met all other eligibility criteria. At day/week 0, natalizumab-treated patients were randomized into 1 of 3 treatment arms in a 1:1:2 ratio: natalizumab (no natalizumab treatment interruption), placebo (natalizumab treatment interruption), or other therapies (IM interferon β -1a [IFN- β -1a], glatiramer acetate [GA], or methylprednisolone [MP] as determined by the patient or the investigator; natalizumab treatment interruption). All patients received natalizumab infusion on day 0. IM IFN- β -1a or GA was started on day 0, following natalizumab infusion, and MP was started at week 12 (other-therapies group). Placebo infusions began (placebo group) or natalizumab infusions continued (natalizumab group) at week 4. If a patient in any treatment arm developed protocol-defined multiple sclerosis disease recurrence, treatment with high-dose corticosteroids or restarting natalizumab, at the investigators' discretion, was permitted. Following the 28-week randomized treatment period, placebo and other therapies were discontinued and natalizumab was restarted in the placebo and other-therapies groups and continued through week 52 (study end) in the follow-up period. Clinical, MRI, and laboratory evaluations were performed every 4 weeks during the randomized treatment period starting at week 0, at the time of suspected relapse, and at the week 52 follow-up visit. Expanded Disability Status Scale was assessed at day 0, at week 28, at the time of suspected relapse, and at the week 52 follow-up visit.

performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and International Conference on Harmonisation Guideline on Good Clinical Practice and is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT01071083.

Primary research question. This analysis of patients with MS who were relapse-free for 1 year on natalizumab therapy was conducted to compare clinical and MRI outcomes during natalizumab treatment interruption with those in patients remaining on natalizumab. While the effects of alternative therapies during natalizumab interruption were also assessed, RESTORE was not designed to compare the efficacy of the different alternative therapies.

Classification of evidence. This study provides Class II evidence that for patients with MS taking natalizumab who are relapse-free for 1 year, stopping natalizumab increases the risk of MS relapse or MRI disease activity as compared with continuing natalizumab. Because of differences in baseline characteristics and the open-label design, the comparisons with the alternative immunotherapies group provide Class IV evidence.

Patients. RESTORE enrolled patients between 18 and 60 years of age with relapsing forms of MS who had been treated with natalizumab for at least 12 months prior to randomization and who had no relapses during those 12 months.

Exclusion criteria included the presence of gadolinium-enhancing (Gd+) lesions on screening MRI; presence of antinatalizumab antibodies at screening; history of significant infectious illness or significant disease other than MS; and inability to undergo monthly MRI scans for 6 months. Patients were also excluded if they received immunosuppressive treatment within 24 months prior to randomization; treatment with IV immunoglobulin, plasmapheresis, or cytopheresis within 12 months prior to randomization; or treatment with systemic corticosteroids within 3 months prior to randomization.

Interventions. At the baseline visit (day 0), all patients received a standard 300-mg natalizumab infusion. Starting at week 4, patients randomized to natalizumab or placebo received infusions every 4 weeks through week 24 in a double-blind fashion. Patients randomized to other therapies who chose IM IFN- β -1a or GA received their first injections on day 0. Patients randomized to other therapies who chose MP received infusions every 4 weeks starting at week 12. All 3 other therapies were administered open-label. Clinical, MRI, and laboratory evaluations were performed every 4 weeks during the randomized treatment period starting at week 0, at the time of suspected relapse, and at the final visit.

At week 28, patients resumed open-label infusions of natalizumab and stopped placebo or other therapy. Participants were followed for an additional 24 weeks, concluding the study at week 52.

Treatment for MS disease recurrence. If a patient experienced protocol-defined evidence of MS disease recurrence during the randomized treatment period, the investigator had the option of administering high-dose corticosteroid treatment as per local standard of care or restarting open-label natalizumab infusions. Because natalizumab discontinuation has been linked to severe clinical relapses in some studies,^{15,18,19} disease recurrence criteria incorporated subclinical measures of radiographic disease activity as detected by every-4-week brain MRI scans. This method allowed physicians to use radiographic criteria to initiate treatment with corticosteroids or restart natalizumab.

Disease recurrence criteria were (1) 1 Gd+ lesion of >0.8 cm³ in volume, (2) 2 or more Gd+ lesions of any size, as reported by the Central MRI Reading Center (NeuroRx Research,

Montreal, Canada), or (3) a relapse defined as new or recurrent neurologic symptoms not associated with fever or infection, lasting at least 24 hours and associated with any of the following: an increase of ≥ 1 grade in ≥ 2 functional scales of the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS); an increase of ≥ 2 grades in one functional scale of the EDSS; an increase of ≥ 1.0 in the overall EDSS score if the previous overall EDSS score was 0.0–5.5; or an increase of ≥ 0.5 if the previous overall EDSS score was >5.5 .

Patients who restarted natalizumab during the randomized treatment period entered the follow-up period immediately and were followed on open-label natalizumab for 24 weeks. The end of the follow-up period for these patients was considered “week 52,” even though their total study time may have been less than 52 weeks.

Assessments. The objective of this study was to assess radiographic and clinical disease activity in patients with MS undergoing up to a 24-week interruption of natalizumab therapy. The time course to return of radiologic or clinical evidence of MS activity was assessed by Gd+ lesions on cranial MRI and clinical relapse.

Evaluations of quality of life (QOL) using a Visual Analogue Scale (VAS), fatigue using the Modified Fatigue Impact Scale, and cognition using the Symbol Digit Modalities Test (SDMT) were performed at the same time points as clinical, MRI, and laboratory evaluations (every 4 weeks during the randomized treatment period starting at week 0, at the time of suspected relapse, and at the final visit). EDSS was assessed at day 0, at week 28, at the time of suspected relapse, and at the final visit. EDSS and SDMT evaluations were performed by clinical staff blinded to treatment assignment. The Central MRI Reading Center that performed MRI assessments was blinded to treatment allocation. Investigators assessed patient safety by physical examination (including vital signs), concomitant therapy and procedure recording, laboratory tests, and adverse event and serious adverse event recording.

Statistical analysis. All efficacy analyses were performed on an evaluable population that completed the study through at least week 4 and did not incur any of the following major protocol deviations: testing positive for anti-IFN- β -1a antibodies and choosing IFN- β -1a as an alternative immunomodulatory therapy, not receiving the day 0 (baseline) natalizumab dose, and being previously randomized into the study.

Baseline comparisons were performed using a 1-way analysis of variance for continuous variables and Fisher exact test for proportions. Other proportions are presented with exact binomial confidence intervals and were compared using Fisher exact test. Kaplan-Meier analyses were performed and *p* values from log-rank tests are provided.

RESULTS Patient demographics and disease characteristics.

A total of 175 patients were enrolled. Forty-five patients (26%) were randomized to natalizumab, 42 patients (24%) were randomized to placebo, and 88 patients (50%) were randomized to other therapies. In the other-therapies group, 17 of 88 patients (19%) received IM IFN- β -1a, 17 of 88 patients (19%) received GA, and 54 of 88 patients (61%) received MP. Forty-three of 45 natalizumab patients (96%), 35 of 42 placebo patients (83%), and 73 of 88 other-therapies patients (83%) completed the study. Reasons for withdrawing from the study included withdrawal of consent, refusal to

return for the protocol-defined monthly visits, and inclusion/exclusion criteria violations.

Except for baseline EDSS score, baseline demographics and disease characteristics were similar across the groups (table 1). Seventy-three percent of RESTORE patients had prior IFN- β -1a use, 37% had prior GA use, and 33% had prior IFN- β -1b use.

MRI disease activity. During the randomized treatment period, 49 of 122 patients (40%) randomized to placebo or other therapies had MRI activity meeting disease recurrence criteria, while none of the patients randomized to natalizumab had MRI activity meeting the criteria ($p < 0.001$). Thirty-four percent (23/68) of patients with high disease activity prior to natalizumab treatment had MRI activity meeting criteria during the randomized treatment period; the proportion was 26% (26/99) for those with low disease activity prior to natalizumab treatment ($p = 0.305$; table 2). No MRI activity meeting defined disease recurrence criteria was detected prior to week 12. A total of 49 patients developed MRI findings that met defined criteria for disease recurrence; 3 patients (6%) at week 12, 37 patients (76%) at week 16 or 20, and 9 patients (18%) after week 20 (figure 2A).

Relapse. Twenty-three of 122 patients (19%) off natalizumab and 2 of 45 patients (4%) on natalizumab experienced relapses during the randomized treatment period ($p = 0.026$). Relapses occurred in 21% (14/68) of patients with high disease activity and in 11% (11/99) of patients with low disease activity prior to starting natalizumab ($p = 0.122$; table 2). Of 25 relapses

occurring during the randomized treatment period, 2 (8%) occurred between weeks 4 and 8, 9 (44%) occurred between weeks 8 and 16, and 14 (56%) occurred between weeks 16 and 28 (figure 2B). Two patients with high disease activity (in GA and MP groups) experienced a relapse in both the randomized treatment period and in the follow-up period.

Natalizumab restart. During the randomized treatment period, 50 patients restarted open-label natalizumab, including 12 patients who experienced a clinical relapse without MRI activity and 7 patients who had both a clinical relapse and MRI activity. Thirty-one of 40 patients (78%) who met MRI criteria without experiencing a clinical relapse restarted natalizumab; an additional 2 patients were treated with steroids. Time to restarting natalizumab is shown in figure 2C.

QOL, fatigue, and cognition. Measures of QOL, fatigue, and cognition were exploratory measures and analyzed separately for patients who continued on their randomized treatment through week 28 and for those who restarted natalizumab earlier due to disease recurrence. Patients experiencing disease activity showed a mean decrease from baseline in VAS score at the time of relapse (results are provided in table e-1 and figure e-1 on the *Neurology*[®] Web site at Neurology.org).

Follow-up. At the week 52 follow-up visit, after 24 weeks of open-label natalizumab, one patient in the placebo group had 1 Gd+ lesion on MRI; no other patients in any group had MRI activity. Five percent of patients (8/155) had relapses during the

Table 1 Baseline demographics, disease characteristics, and prior therapies

Characteristic	Natalizumab (n = 45)	Placebo (n = 42)	IM IFN- β -1a (n = 17)	GA (n = 17)	MP (n = 54)
Age, y, mean \pm SD	41.2 \pm 9.7	40.0 \pm 10.4	45.1 \pm 9.9	44.1 \pm 7.9	40.1 \pm 10.0
Female patients, %	82	74	82	82	72
Race, white, %	82	93	100	100	93
EDSS score at baseline, mean \pm SD ^a	3.0 \pm 1.8	3.3 \pm 1.8	2.8 \pm 1.6	4.5 \pm 2.1	3.0 \pm 1.4
High disease activity prior to natalizumab, % ^b	42	45	24	47	35
Disease duration, y, mean \pm SD	10.1 \pm 5.9	10.1 \pm 7.2	10.4 \pm 4.5	7.8 \pm 4.9	8.9 \pm 6.7
No. of prior natalizumab infusions, median (range)	29 (12-49)	31 (13-51)	25 (12-46)	25 (13-45)	28 (13-50)
Prior therapies, n (%)					
IFN- β -1a	35 (78)	30 (71)	12 (71)	11 (65)	39 (72)
GA	18 (40)	18 (43)	6 (35)	8 (47)	15 (28)
IFN- β -1b	13 (29)	11 (26)	6 (35)	5 (29)	22 (41)
Corticosteroids	6 (13)	7 (17)	2 (12)	2 (12)	2 (4)
Mitoxantrone	6 (13)	4 (10)	0	1 (6)	3 (6)
Methotrexate	1 (2)	4 (10)	1 (6)	0	3 (6)

Abbreviations: EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; GA = glatiramer acetate; IFN- β -1a = interferon β -1a; MP = methylprednisolone.

^aAll baseline characteristics were well-balanced among the study groups (nominal $p > 0.05$) with the exception of EDSS score at baseline ($p = 0.016$).

^bHigh disease activity was defined as ≥ 2 relapses occurring within the 12 months prior to initiating natalizumab therapy.

Table 2 Patients with disease recurrence during the randomized treatment period

	All patients, n (%) (95% CI)	High disease activity, n (%) (95% CI) ^a	Low disease activity, n (%) (95% CI)
Patients with MRI disease recurrence^b			
Total	49/167 (29) (22.6–36.9)	23/68 (34) (22.8–46.3)	26/99 (26) (17.9–36.1)
Natalizumab	0/45 (0) (0–7.9)	0/19 (0) (0–17.6)	0/26 (0) (0–13.2)
Placebo	19/41 (46) (30.7–62.8)	11/19 (58) (33.5–79.7)	8/22 (36) (17.2–59.3)
Other therapies			
IM IFN-β-1a	1/14 (7) (0.2–33.9)	0/4 (0) (0–60.2)	1/10 (10) (0.3–45.5)
GA	8/15 (53) (26.6–78.7)	5/7 (71) (29.0–96.3)	3/8 (38) (8.5–75.5)
MP	21/52 (40) (27.0–54.9)	7/19 (37) (16.3–61.6)	14/33 (42) (25.5–60.8)
Patients with relapse			
Total	25/167 (15) (9.9–21.3)	14/68 (21) (11.7–32.1)	11/99 (11) (5.7–19.0)
Natalizumab	2/45 (4) (0.5–15.1)	2/19 (11) (1.2–33.1)	0/26 (0) (0–13.2)
Placebo	7/41 (17) (7.2–32.1)	2/19 (11) (1.2–33.1)	5/22 (23) (7.8–45.4)
Other therapies			
IM IFN-β-1a	4/14 (29) (8.4–58.1)	2/4 (50) (6.8–93.2)	2/10 (20) (2.5–55.6)
GA	4/15 (27) (7.8–55.1)	3/7 (43) (9.9–81.6)	1/8 (13) (0.3–52.7)
MP	8/52 (15) (6.9–28.1)	5/19 (26) (9.1–51.2)	3/33 (9) (1.9–24.3)

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; GA = glatiramer acetate; Gd+ = gadolinium-enhancing; IFN-β-1a = interferon β-1a; MP = methylprednisolone.

^aHigh disease activity was defined as ≥2 relapses occurring within the 12 months prior to initiating natalizumab therapy.

^bMRI criteria were defined as 1 new Gd+ lesion of >0.8 cm³ or 2 or more Gd+ lesions of any size as determined by the central reader.


follow-up period; 5 of the 8 patients were not receiving natalizumab during the randomized treatment period, and 3 patients had a relapse within 4 weeks of reinitiation of open-label natalizumab treatment. Nineteen of 175 patients (11%) did not receive natalizumab during the follow-up period, and one patient was excluded from the analysis.

Safety. During the randomized treatment and follow-up periods, the majority of patients in all groups had at least one adverse event: randomized treatment period: natalizumab, 84% (n = 38); placebo, 83% (n = 35); IM IFN-β-1a, 88% (n = 15); GA, 88% (n = 15); MP, 78% (n = 42); follow-up period: natalizumab, 58% (n = 25); placebo, 51% (n = 19); IM IFN-β-1a, 69% (n = 9); GA, 73% (n = 11); MP, 50% (n = 24). Adverse events were primarily mild or moderate in severity, and the most frequently reported adverse events were generally consistent with MS and disease-modifying therapy product labels, but also included upper respiratory tract infection, nasopharyngitis, and influenza-type illness (table e-2). Four patients withdrew from the study during the randomized treatment period due to severe fatigue (placebo), moderate muscular weakness (placebo), mild hypoesthesia (IM IFN-β-1a), and a serious adverse event of brain abscess (MP). There were no deaths during the study.

DISCUSSION Natalizumab is often used to treat patients whose disease has been inadequately controlled with other therapies, and natalizumab-treated patients are at significant risk of disease recurrence following treatment cessation. RESTORE is the largest randomized prospective study to date analyzing MS disease recurrence during natalizumab treatment interruption in a subpopulation of patients who had been stable on natalizumab for over a year with no Gd+ lesions at baseline. Disease recurred in a large proportion of RESTORE patients who discontinued natalizumab treatment, and radiologic disease recurrence was more frequent in patients with high disease activity (based on relapses) prior to natalizumab therapy than in patients with low disease activity prior to starting natalizumab.²² The safety evaluations were generally consistent with the labeled risk profile for each of the respective marketed products, notably for natalizumab.

Similar to smaller observational studies and retrospective analyses,^{8,10–12,15,18} RESTORE demonstrated that natalizumab treatment interruption resulted in occurrence of MRI disease activity as early as 12 weeks, and of clinical disease activity as early as 4–8 weeks, after the last natalizumab dose. The earlier recurrence of clinical disease vs radiologic disease may also reflect the more subjective nature of clinical relapse reporting, although our study design required objective EDSS changing for defining a clinical

Figure 2 Time to MRI disease recurrence, relapse, and restarting natalizumab prior to week 28 due to disease recurrence



Time to (A) MRI disease recurrence, (B) relapse, and (C) restarting natalizumab prior to week 28 due to disease recurrence. GA = glatiramer acetate; IFN-β-1a = interferon β-1a; MP = methylprednisolone. p Values from log-rank tests are as follows: (A) $p < 0.0001$, (B) $p = 0.0843$, (C) $p < 0.0001$.

relapse. While most studies report that disease recurs an average of ≥ 3 months after the last natalizumab dose,^{7,9-15,17,18,20} relapses occurring during the first 1-3 months have also been observed.^{7,11,12,14,15,20}

The emergence of MRI disease activity is consistent with RESTORE pharmacodynamic data, which showed that pharmacodynamic markers were consistent with levels in non-natalizumab-treated patients at 16 weeks after the last dose.²²

In RESTORE, GA starting after the last dose of natalizumab and monthly MP starting 12 weeks after the last natalizumab dose did not appear to be effective in disease suppression, as compared with continued natalizumab treatment. Starting MP at 12 weeks may have been too late to prevent disease activity, although most patients randomized to MP did not have disease recurrence until after 12 weeks. There appeared to be less recurrence of MRI disease activity with IM IFN-β-1a than with other open-label treatments, although the IM IFN-β-1a group had a lower proportion of patients with high disease activity prenatlizumab than the other groups. However, patients were not randomly assigned to specific treatments within the other-therapy group, and RESTORE was neither designed nor adequately powered to compare the efficacy of the different alternative immunomodulatory therapies. Therefore, larger controlled trials would be needed to confirm this observation.

Findings on alternative immunomodulatory drug use during natalizumab treatment interruption have been mixed. A large retrospective study of more than 1,800 patients showed that during natalizumab treatment interruption, MS disease activity returned to baseline levels within 4-7 months, regardless of whether patients received immunomodulatory therapies.²⁰ Data from 2 small studies suggested that immunomodulating therapies (IM IFN-β-1a or GA) reduced MS disease activity recurrence during natalizumab treatment interruption.^{14,21} In other studies, use of GA or MP, or fingolimod during natalizumab treatment interruption was associated with recurrence of disease activity to higher levels than that seen during natalizumab use.^{8,9,13,16,23} It is unknown whether other disease-modifying therapies might maintain the low rate of clinical and radiologic disease activity in natalizumab-treated patients who discontinue natalizumab.

Some studies have suggested that MS disease activity returns to a higher than baseline level during natalizumab treatment interruption,^{9,15,16,18,19} although larger studies have not shown evidence of overshoot.^{13,20,21} The nature of MRI disease recurrence in RESTORE will be characterized in more detail in a separate report.

This study used serial monthly MRI scans following natalizumab discontinuation and specific disease activity criteria for resuming natalizumab treatment. The majority of patients who experienced defined MRI disease activity restarted natalizumab during

the randomized treatment period despite the absence of clinical symptoms, suggesting that physicians made clinical decisions based on MRI findings. It is plausible that use of MRI criteria for restarting natalizumab treatment following discontinuation reduced the occurrence of severe clinical relapses that have been reported in other studies. Results from RESTORE and other studies suggest that, in patients who discontinue natalizumab, brain MRI surveillance beginning 12–16 weeks after the last natalizumab infusion may be useful for identifying patients with a return of disease activity.²⁴

The results suggest planned dosage interruption is likely not useful for the management of most patients switching to no treatment or to the disease-modifying therapies employed in this study. The extent and time course of disease recurrence described in this randomized prospective study are highly relevant for all patients discontinuing natalizumab treatment for any reason and—together with the recognition of risk factors for PML³—may also inform decisions about the timing and choice of alternative therapies.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Drafting/revising the manuscript for content, including medical writing for content: R.J.F., B.A.C.C., J.D., R.G., H.-P.H., D.J., L.K., M.K., X.M., B.W.-G., B.A., A.N., B.T., P.D. Study concept or design: R.J.F., B.A.C.C., J.D., R.G., H.-P.H., D.J., L.K., M.K., X.M., B.W.-G., A.N., B.T., P.D. Analysis or interpretation of data: R.J.F., B.A.C.C., J.D., R.G., H.-P.H., D.J., L.K., M.K., X.M., B.W.-G., A.N., B.T., P.D. Acquisition of data: R.J.F., B.A.C.C., J.D., R.G., H.-P.H., D.J., L.K., M.K., X.M., B.W.-G. Statistical analysis: A.N. Study supervision or coordination: P.D. Obtaining funding: P.D.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Biogen Idec provided funding for editorial support in the development of this article; Britt Anderson, PhD, from Infusion Communications, wrote the first draft of the manuscript based on input from authors, and Jackie Cannon from Infusion Communications copyedited and styled the manuscript per journal requirements. Biogen Idec reviewed and provided feedback on the paper to the authors. The authors had full editorial control and provided their final approval of all content.

STUDY FUNDING

Sponsored by Biogen Idec Inc.

DISCLOSURE

R. Fox has received consultant fees from Allozyne, Avanir, Biogen Idec, EMD Serono, Novartis, Questcor, Teva, and Xenoport and has received research support from Biogen Idec and Novartis. B. Cree has received consulting honoraria from AbbVie, Biogen Idec, EMD Serono, Genzyme, Novartis, sanofi-aventis, and Teva Neurosciences. J. De Sèze has received honoraria from Bayer Schering, Biogen Idec, LFB, Merck Serono, Novartis, sanofi-aventis, and Teva. R. Gold has received research support and honoraria from Bayer HealthCare, Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, and Teva; is Editor-in-Chief of *Therapeutic Advances in Neurological Disorders*; has received a license fee from Biogen Idec (no future rights); and has received research support from Bayer HealthCare, Biogen Idec, Merck Serono, Novartis, and Teva. H. Hartung has received honoraria for consulting and speaking at symposia from Bayer HealthCare, Biogen Idec, BioMS, Genzyme, Merck Serono, Novartis, Roche, sanofi-aventis, and Teva. D. Jeffery is a consultant for Acorda, Berlex, Genzyme, GlaxoSmithKline, Novartis, Pfizer, Questcor, Serono, and Teva, and has received research support from Berlex,

Biogen Idec, Novartis, Pfizer, Serono, and Teva. L. Kappos has received research support from Acorda, Actelion, Allozyne, BaroFold, Bayer HealthCare, Bayer Schering, Bayhill, Biogen Idec, Boehringer Ingelheim, Elan, Genmab, GlaxoSmithKline, Glenmark, Merck Serono, MediciNova, Novartis, sanofi-aventis, Santhera, Shire, Roche, Teva, UCB, Wyeth, Swiss MS Society, Swiss National Research Foundation, European Union, Gianni Rubatto Foundation, and the Novartis and Roche Research Foundations. M. Kaufman has received honoraria and research support from Biogen Idec; has received financial support from Bayer, EMD Serono, Novartis, and Teva; and is a consultant for the Department of Defense. X. Montalbán has received speaking honoraria and travel expenses for scientific meetings, has been a steering committee member of clinical trials, or has participated in advisory boards of clinical trials in the past years with Almirall, Bayer Schering, Biogen Idec, EMD Merck Serono, Genentech, Genzyme, Novartis, sanofi-aventis, and Teva. B. Weinstock-Guttman has received honoraria for speaking and serving on scientific advisory boards from Acorda, Biogen Idec, EMD Serono, Novartis, Pfizer, and Teva, and has received financial support for research from Acorda, Biogen Idec, EMD Serono, Novartis, Pfizer, and Teva. B. Anderson is an employee of Infusion Communications, which received funding for writing and editorial support from Biogen Idec. A. Natarajan is an employee of Biogen Idec. B. Ticho is an employee of Biogen Idec. P. Duda is an employee of Biogen Idec. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

Received March 1, 2013. Accepted in final form December 17, 2013.

REFERENCES

1. Polman CH, O'Connor PW, Havrdova E, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled trial of natalizumab for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:899–910.
2. Rudick RA, Stuart WH, Calabresi PA, et al. Natalizumab plus interferon beta-1a for relapsing multiple sclerosis. *N Engl J Med* 2006;354:911–923.
3. Bloomgren G, Richman S, Hotermans C, et al. Risk of natalizumab-associated progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy. *N Engl J Med* 2012;366:1870–1880.
4. Fox RJ, Rudick RA. Risk stratification and patient counseling for natalizumab in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2012;78:436–437.
5. Major EO. Progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy in patients on immunomodulatory therapies. *Annu Rev Med* 2010;61:35–47.
6. Wenning W, Haghikia A, Laubenberger J, et al. Treatment of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy associated with natalizumab. *N Engl J Med* 2009;361:1075–1080.
7. Borriello G, Prosperini L, Marinelli F, Fubelli F, Pozzilli C. Observations during an elective interruption of natalizumab treatment: a post-marketing study. *Mult Scler* 2011;17:372–375.
8. Borriello G, Prosperini L, Mancinelli C, Gianni C, Fubelli F, Pozzilli C. Pulse monthly steroids during an elective interruption of natalizumab: a post-marketing study. *Eur J Neurol* 2012;19:783–787.
9. Havla J, Gerdes LA, Meinel I, et al. De-escalation from natalizumab in multiple sclerosis: recurrence of disease activity despite switching to glatiramer acetate. *J Neurol* 2011;258:1665–1669.
10. Kaufman MD, Lee R, Norton HJ. Course of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis before, during and after natalizumab. *Mult Scler* 2011;17:490–494.
11. Kerbrat A, Le Page E, Leray E, et al. Natalizumab and drug holiday in clinical practice: an observational study in very active relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis patients. *J Neurol Sci* 2011;308:98–102.

12. Killestein J, Vennegoor A, Strijbis EM, et al. Natalizumab drug holiday in multiple sclerosis: poorly tolerated. *Ann Neurol* 2010;68:392–395.
13. Magraner MJ, Coret F, Navarre A, et al. Pulsed steroids followed by glatiramer acetate to prevent inflammatory activity after cessation of natalizumab therapy: a prospective, 6-month observational study. *J Neurol* 2011;258:1805–1811.
14. Rossi S, Motta C, Studer V, et al. Effect of glatiramer acetate on disease reactivation in MS patients discontinuing natalizumab. *Eur J Neurol* 2013;20:87–94.
15. West TW, Cree BA. Natalizumab dosage suspension: are we helping or hurting? *Ann Neurol* 2010;68:395–399.
16. Rinaldi F, Seppi D, Calabrese M, Perini P, Gallo P. Switching therapy from natalizumab to fingolimod in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: clinical and magnetic resonance imaging findings. *Mult Scler* 2012;18:1640–1643.
17. Sempere AP, Martin-Medina P, Berenguer-Ruiz L, et al. Switching from natalizumab to fingolimod: an observational study. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2013;128:e6–e10.
18. Miravalle A, Jensen R, Kinkel RP. Immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome in patients with multiple sclerosis following cessation of natalizumab therapy. *Arch Neurol* 2011;68:186–191.
19. Vellinga MM, Castelijns JA, Barkhof F, Uitdehaag BM, Polman CH. Postwithdrawal rebound increase in T2 lesional activity in natalizumab-treated MS patients. *Neurology* 2008;70:1150–1151.
20. O'Connor PW, Goodman A, Kappos L, et al. Disease activity return during natalizumab treatment interruption in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2011;76:1858–1865.
21. Stüve O, Cravens PD, Frohman EM, et al. Immunologic, clinical, and radiologic status 14 months after cessation of natalizumab therapy. *Neurology* 2009;72:396–401.
22. Cree B, De Seze J, Fox R, et al. RESTORE study: effects of a 24-week natalizumab treatment interruption on immune parameters and multiple sclerosis magnetic resonance imaging disease activity. *Neurology* 2012;78:P06.168.
23. Centonze D, Rossi S, Rinaldi F, Gallo P. Severe relapses under fingolimod treatment prescribed after natalizumab. *Neurology* 2012;79:2004–2005.
24. Grimaldi LM, Prosperini L, Vitello G, Borriello G, Fubelli F, Pozzilli C. MRI-based analysis of natalizumab therapeutic window in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2012;18:1337–1339.

Pre-order 2014 Annual Meeting On Demand and Save

Take the meeting home with you. AAN Annual Meeting On Demand is the comprehensive digital library of presentations from the 2014 Annual Meeting providing more than 500 hours* of educational content. Special discounted pricing is available when you pre-order before the Annual Meeting.

Learn more at AANonDemand.com/ad

**Total hours of presentations available subject to speaker permissions.*

Enjoy Big Savings on NEW 2014 AAN Practice Management Webinars Subscriptions

The American Academy of Neurology offers 14 cost-effective Practice Management Webinars you can attend live or listen to recordings posted online. AAN members can purchase one webinar for \$149 or subscribe to the entire series for only \$199. *This is new pricing for 2014 and significantly less than 2013*—and big savings from the new 2014 nonmember price of \$199 per webinar or \$649 for the subscription. Register today for these and other 2014 webinars at AAN.com/view/pmw14:

April 8 – How PQRS Quality Measures Will Inform Future Medicare Value-based Payments

May 13 – Measuring and Improving Your Patients' Experience

June 18 – Using Practice Benchmarking Analytics to Improve Your Bottom Line

Neurology[®]

MS disease activity in RESTORE: A randomized 24-week natalizumab treatment interruption study

Robert J. Fox, Bruce A.C. Cree, Jerome De Sèze, et al.

Neurology 2014;82;1491-1498 Published Online before print March 28, 2014

DOI 10.1212/WNL.0000000000000355

This information is current as of March 28, 2014

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2014 American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.



Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/82/17/1491.full
Supplementary Material	Supplementary material can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/suppl/2014/03/28/WNL.000000000000355.DC1 http://n.neurology.org/content/suppl/2014/03/28/WNL.000000000000355.DC2
References	This article cites 24 articles, 5 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/82/17/1491.full#ref-list-1
Citations	This article has been cited by 8 HighWire-hosted articles: http://n.neurology.org/content/82/17/1491.full##otherarticles
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): MRI http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/mri Multiple sclerosis http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/multiple_sclerosis
Errata	An erratum has been published regarding this article. Please see next page or: /content/84/8/862.full.pdf
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures,tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2014 American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.



the rest regarding neuropathologic markers. The presence of comorbid depression in Alzheimer disease (AD) has been demonstrated to correspond to increases in AD-related neuropathologic changes beyond age, sex, level of education, and cognitive status.³ Moreover, lifetime history of depression in patients with AD has been associated with higher levels of plaque and tangle formation in the hippocampus.⁴

The authors did not provide information on the use of psychotropic agents such as antidepressants or lithium. Antidepressants or mood stabilizers may not only counteract depression, but also exert neuroprotective and neurotrophic actions extending beyond mood effects.⁵

© 2015 American Academy of Neurology

Author Response: Robert S. Wilson, Chicago:

The authors¹ thank Kunte et al. for their comments. We agree that the levels of depressive symptoms in the cohort were mostly mild (i.e., 54.6% with none, 30.7% with 1 or 2, and 14.7% with 3 or more). It is surprising that the variability within this relatively narrow range of depression severity accounted for nearly 5% of the variance in rates of cognitive decline (after adjustment for demographic and postmortem pathologic variables). If the cohort contained a higher proportion of participants with severe

depression (defined psychometrically or diagnostically), the association of depression with cognitive decline would likely have been stronger. We agree that our findings do not rule out the possibility that depression only influences the accumulation of dementia-related pathologies when it is severe. We plan to investigate this possibility. We agree that antidepressants may have a neuroprotective effect, but we have not yet seen evidence suggestive of this.

© 2015 American Academy of Neurology

1. Wilson RS, Capuano AW, Boyle PA, et al. Clinical-pathologic study of depressive symptoms and cognitive decline in old age. *Neurology* 2014;83:702–709.
2. Diniz BS, Butters MA, Albert SM, et al. Late-life depression and risk of vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of community-based cohort studies. *Br J Psychiatry* 2013;202:329–335.
3. Rapp MA, Schnaider-Beeri M, Purohit DP, et al. Increased neurofibrillary tangles in patients with Alzheimer disease with comorbid depression. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2008; 16:168–174.
4. Rapp MA, Schnaider-Beeri M, Grossman HT, et al. Increased hippocampal plaques and tangles in patients with Alzheimer disease with a lifetime history of major depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2006;63:161–167.
5. Cirrito JR, Disabato BM, Restivo JL, et al. Serotonin signaling is associated with lower amyloid- β levels and plaques in transgenic mice and humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2011;108:14968–14973.

CORRECTION

MS disease activity in RESTORE: A randomized 24-week natalizumab treatment interruption study

In the article “MS disease activity in RESTORE: A randomized 24-week natalizumab treatment interruption study” by R.J. Fox et al. (*Neurology*® 2014;82:1491–1498), the supplemental file listing all study coinvestigators and sites was omitted. It is now available as supplemental data with the article. The authors regret the error.

Author disclosures are available upon request (journal@neurology.org).