ABSTRACT

Objective: We aimed to compare survival in the multiple sclerosis (MS) population with a matched cohort from the general population, and to evaluate the association of comorbidity with survival in both populations.

Methods: Using population-based administrative data, we identified 5,797 persons with MS and 28,807 controls matched on sex, year of birth, and region. We estimated annual mortality rates. Using Cox proportional hazards regression, we evaluated the association between comorbidity status and mortality, stratifying by birth cohort, and adjusting for sex, socioeconomic status, and region. We compared causes of death between populations.

Results: Median survival from birth in the MS population was 75.9 years vs 83.4 years in the matched population. MS was associated with a 2-fold increased risk of death (adjusted hazard ratio 2.40; 95% confidence interval: 2.24–2.58). Several comorbidities were associated with increased hazard of death in both populations, including diabetes, ischemic heart disease, depression, anxiety, and chronic lung disease. The magnitude of the associations of mortality with chronic lung disease, diabetes, hypertension, and ischemic heart disease was lower in the MS population than the matched population. The most common causes of death in the MS population were diseases of the nervous system and diseases of the circulatory system. Mortality rates due to infectious diseases and diseases of the respiratory system were higher in the MS population.

Conclusion: In the MS population, survival remained shorter than expected. Within the MS population, comorbidity was associated with increased mortality risk. However, comorbidity did not preferentially increase mortality risk in the MS population as compared with controls.

GLOSSARY

CI = confidence interval; HR = hazard ratio; ICD-9 = International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision; ICD-9-CM = International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision, Clinical Modification; ICD-10-CA = International Classification of Diseases, Tenth Revision, Canada; IHD = ischemic heart disease; MS = multiple sclerosis; RR = relative risk.

Multiple sclerosis (MS) affects more than 2.5 million persons worldwide. It is a disease with unexplained heterogeneity in outcomes, including survival. Some studies report that survival in MS has improved over the last 40 to 50 years. However, most studies suggest that survival remains lower than expected for an age- and sex-matched population without MS.

The reasons for this survival disparity are incompletely understood, as are the relative contributions of disease-related complications and competing causes to mortality. Complications of MS, such as infection, have an important role. Causes unrelated to MS, such as cardiovascular disease, seem to contribute to the higher than expected mortality in other studies. However, the contribution of comorbidity to survival in MS has received little attention apart from one Finnish study focused on neurologic comorbidities.
Using population-based administrative data, we aimed to compare changes in survival in the MS population with those in a matched cohort from the general population; evaluate the association of comorbidity with survival; and determine causes of death among persons with MS as compared with those in the matched cohort. We hypothesized that survival is improving in the MS population over time, and that survival is lower among persons with MS and comorbidity than among persons with MS without comorbidity.

METHODS Data sources. We used 2 data sources. The first was administrative (health) data from the province of Manitoba, Canada. A provincial health department, Manitoba Health, manages health services delivery to 98% of the province’s 1.25 million residents. Manitoba Health maintains a population registry, which includes a unique personal health identification number for each insured individual, sex, dates of birth and death, and residential postal code. Migration in or out of the province is also recorded. Electronic records of all health services claims including hospitalizations, physician visits, and prescription claims are also maintained, and each one includes the unique personal health identification number identifying the person to whom the service was delivered.

The second data source was the Manitoba Vital Statistics Death Database held in the Population Data Repository at the Manitoba Centre for Health Policy. The database captures information on all deaths in Manitoba, including date and cause of death, and these data are provided to the Canadian Vital Statistics Death Database. Undercoverage in the Manitoba database may affect Manitobans who died outside of the province. Cause of death is classified using the ICD. From 1979 to 1999, the death database used ICD-9 coding and one cause of death was available to investigators. Since 2000, the death database has used ICD-10-CA coding, and primary cause of death and up to 20 contributing causes of death were available to investigators. To protect confidentiality, data linkage was performed via scrambled personal health identification number using anonymized versions of these databases.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. The University of Manitoba Health Research Ethics Board approved the study. The Manitoba Health Information Privacy Committee approved data access.

Study populations. Using a validated administrative case definition, we identified Manitobans with MS from April 1, 1984 (beginning of fiscal year 1984) to March 31, 2012 (end of fiscal year 2011). Cases of MS were defined as individuals with ≥3 hospitalizations, physician, or prescription claims for MS. Statistical efficiency is optimized at 4 to 6 matches, therefore we selected up to 5 controls for each MS case, matched on sex, exact year of birth, and region of residence (postal code) after excluding anyone with ≥1 ICD-9-CM/ICD-10-CA diagnostic codes for any demyelinating disease. This ensured that we did not include anyone in the matched who might be classified as having MS in the future. For each person with MS, the date of the first claim for demyelinating disease (e.g., optic neuritis) was assigned as the index date and the same date was assigned to their matched controls.

Mortality. We used the population registry to identify the date of death. We report annual crude mortality rates per 1,000 person-years with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) overall, and age-specific rates. We also report rates standardized to the 2006 Canadian census population using the direct method. For the MS cohort, the possible causes of death were categorized as complications of MS, competing causes of death, suicide, or unclassifiable by 3 MS neurologists (for details, see e-Methods).

Comorbidity. We chose comorbidities for study based on our prior work indicating they were associated with other outcomes in MS, or affected at least 5% of the MS population in Manitoba, and could be accurately identified using administrative case definitions although the degree of accuracy varied by condition. These included hypertension, diabetes, ischemic heart disease (IHD), chronic lung disease, autoimmune thyroid disease, epilepsy, migraine, depression, anxiety, and bipolar disorder. We applied validated administrative case definitions based on hospital, physician, and prescription claims for these comorbidities to both populations.

Analyses. We estimated survival from birth and compared it between the 2 populations using univariate Cox regression with age as the time scale, because it is the strongest predictor of mortality. This model accounted for left truncation of the data using age at entry into the study (due to the availability of data from 1984 onward). Then, we constructed a multivariable Cox proportional hazards model to evaluate the association of MS with mortality as compared with the matched population. In a matched cohort design (where matching is on exposure), a matched analysis is not needed. Adjustment is not needed to control for confounding due to the matched variables if follow-up time is the same in both cohorts. If follow-up time is not the same because of differential survival, or nonmatched covariates are included in the analysis, then adjustment is needed. Therefore, covariates included sex, region (defined as urban for centers with populations of ≥50,000 vs rural), and socioeconomic status in quintiles (as defined by linkage of postal code to census data). Socioeconomic status was updated every 10 years. To account for birth cohort effects, we stratified the model by birth year, grouped as 1890–1940, 1941–1969, and ≥1970, based on the distribution of the study population. We repeated the analysis including MS as a time-varying covariate where the participant was considered exposed from the time of the first demyelinating disease claim; this accounted for the age at MS onset. We added comorbidities to the model as time-varying covariates in which the participant was considered exposed from the first claim for the comorbidity (see e-Methods). We conducted 2 sensitivity analyses: (1) restricting the analysis in the MS population to incident cases; and (2) including age at symptom onset as a strata-varying variable, grouped as <30, 30–40, and ≥40 years.

For cause of death in the MS population, we summarized the frequency (percent) of deaths due to MS as coded on the death certificate, then the frequency (percent) of deaths due to complications of MS, competing causes, suicide, and unclassifiable as defined above. In both populations, we also classified cause of death according to ICD-9 chapters (which required mapping some deaths from ICD-10 to ICD-9), and report cause-specific mortality rates with 95% CI.

Sample size and power calculations are shown in e-Methods. Statistical analyses were conducted using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

RESULTS We identified 5,797 persons with MS and 28,807 matched controls. The cohorts were well matched at the index date for age, sex, and region of residence (table 1). Anxiety, depression, epilepsy,
Table 1  Characteristics of the MS population and the matched cohort from the general population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>General population (n = 28,807)</th>
<th>MS population (n = 5,797)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>20,566 (71.4)</td>
<td>4,140 (71.4)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at index date, y, mean (SD)</td>
<td>41.5 (13.6)</td>
<td>41.4 (13.6)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of follow-up, y, mean (SD)</td>
<td>55.6 (15.6)</td>
<td>55.4 (14.9)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of follow-up from index date, y, mean (SD)</td>
<td>13.6 (8.6)</td>
<td>13.4 (12.4)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Index year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1984–1989</td>
<td>10,477 (36.4)</td>
<td>2,109 (36.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990–1994</td>
<td>5,163 (17.9)</td>
<td>1,040 (17.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995–1999</td>
<td>3,888 (13.5)</td>
<td>782 (13.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000–2004</td>
<td>4,322 (15.0)</td>
<td>872 (15.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2005</td>
<td>4,957 (17.2)</td>
<td>994 (17.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban region of residence at index date, n (%)</td>
<td>16,840 (58.5)</td>
<td>3,423 (59.0)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Socioeconomic status at index date, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 1</td>
<td>5,927 (20.6)</td>
<td>1,191 (20.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 2</td>
<td>6,382 (22.2)</td>
<td>1,283 (22.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 3</td>
<td>5,014 (17.4)</td>
<td>1,010 (17.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 4</td>
<td>5,754 (20.0)</td>
<td>1,158 (20.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quintile 5</td>
<td>5,730 (19.9)</td>
<td>1,155 (19.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity prevalence at index date, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>4,455 (15.5)</td>
<td>1,289 (22.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar disorder</td>
<td>427 (1.5)</td>
<td>142 (2.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lung disease</td>
<td>1,898 (6.6)</td>
<td>480 (8.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>3,134 (10.9)</td>
<td>966 (16.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>963 (3.3)</td>
<td>179 (3.1)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>141 (0.49)</td>
<td>58 (1.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>400 (1.4)</td>
<td>216 (3.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>2,800 (9.7)</td>
<td>589 (10.2)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>628 (2.2)</td>
<td>137 (2.4)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine</td>
<td>2,053 (7.1)</td>
<td>626 (10.8)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disease (autoimmune)</td>
<td>713 (2.5)</td>
<td>169 (2.9)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidity at study end,* n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>10,512 (38.5)</td>
<td>2,628 (45.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bipolar disorder</td>
<td>1,324 (4.6)</td>
<td>438 (7.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lung disease</td>
<td>5,266 (18.3)</td>
<td>1,126 (19.4)</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>7,993 (27.7)</td>
<td>2,407 (41.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>3,981 (13.8)</td>
<td>724 (12.5)</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>405 (1.4)</td>
<td>257 (4.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
<td>1,352 (4.7)</td>
<td>648 (11.2)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>10,121 (35.1)</td>
<td>1,857 (32.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic heart disease</td>
<td>3,457 (12.0)</td>
<td>682 (11.8)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migraine</td>
<td>5,532 (19.2)</td>
<td>1,568 (27.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroid disease (autoimmune)</td>
<td>1,873 (6.5)</td>
<td>433 (7.5)</td>
<td>0.0076</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: MS = multiple sclerosis.

*End of study, death, or migration out of province, whichever came first.
fibromyalgia, and migraine were more common in
the MS population than in the matched population
at the index date. The burden of comorbidity
increased in both populations over the study period,
indicating the need for time-varying covariates in our
multivariable models.

Accounting for left truncation, the median survival
from birth was 75.9 years in the MS population and
83.4 years in the matched population (p < 0.0001).
This corresponded to a 2-fold unadjusted increased
hazard of death in the MS population (hazard ratio
[HR] 2.05; 95% CI: 1.92–2.19). Survival was shortest
in the earliest birth cohort for both populations,
improving in more recent cohorts (p < 0.0001).

**Mortality rates.** As expected, mortality increased with
age in both populations (table 2 shows findings in
2011). Over the entire study period, after adjusting
for year, age-specific mortality was higher in the MS
population than in the matched population. The
relative risk (RR) of death in the MS population
was greatest at younger ages, being about 3-fold
higher at age 39 years and younger (RR 3.65; 95%
CI: 3.48–3.83) and ages 40 to 59 years (RR 2.88;
95% CI: 2.81–2.95) but less than 2-fold higher at age
80 and older (RR 1.80; 95% CI: 1.79–1.80).

We also observed a change in age-specific mortal-
ity rates over time, but this differed between the MS
and matched populations. Considering all years of
data, in the MS population, age-specific mortality
did not change for persons aged 39 years and younger
(0.0097; 95% CI: −0.083, 0.10), but decreased by
0.23 (95% CI: −0.065, −0.39) per 1,000 popula-
tion per year for those aged 40 to 59 years and by 0.52
(95% CI: −0.25, −0.80) for those aged 60 to 69
years. Declines in those aged 70 years and older were
not statistically significant (−2.21; 95% CI: −4.94,
0.52). In the matched population, age-specific mor-
tality did not change for persons aged 39 years and
younger but decreased by 0.11 (95% CI: 0.04–0.18)
per 1,000 persons per year for those aged 40 to 59
years, 0.41 (95% CI: 0.20–0.63) for those aged 40 to
59 years, and 0.57 (95% CI: 0.14–1.01) for those
aged 60 to 79 years.

In a multivariable model adjusting for sex, socio-
economic status, and region of residence and strati-
fied by birth year, the MS population had a 2.07
(95% CI: 1.94–2.21) increased hazard of death (data
not shown). When we included MS as a time-varying
covariate to account for the age at MS onset (earlier
onset would mean longer duration of exposure), the
effect of MS on the risk of death increased (HR 2.40;
95% CI: 2.25–2.57). When we added comorbidity to
this model, the association of MS with mortality re-
mained unchanged (HR 2.40; 95% CI: 2.24–2.58)
(table 3). Several comorbidities were independently
associated with increased hazard of death, including
diabetes, IHD, depression, anxiety, bipolar disorder,
and chronic lung disease. Migraine and autoimmune
thyroid disease were associated with a reduced hazard
of death.

We identified an interaction between some of the
comorbidities and study population; therefore, we
constructed separate multivariable models for the 2
populations (table 3). The magnitude of the associa-
tion of diabetes, hypertension, IHD, and chronic
lung disease with mortality was greater in the
matched population than in the MS population (all
p for interaction <0.0001). Bipolar disorder was asso-
ciated with an increased hazard of death in the
matched population but not in the MS population
(p = 0.048), while autoimmune thyroid disease was
associated with a reduced hazard of death in the
matched population but not in the MS population
(p = 0.26). Stratifying the model by age of MS onset
did not change the findings (data not shown).

The characteristics of the individuals who died
during the study period are shown in table e-1. The
MS population had less total comorbidity than the
matched population. Of the 1,257 people with MS
who died over the entire study period, 433 (34.4%; 95%
CI: 31.6%–36.7%) had MS on their death cer-
tificates. For the period 1984 to 1999 when only one

---

**Table 2** Age-specific mortality rates per 1,000 persons (95% CIs) in the MS population and matched cohort from the general population in Manitoba in 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>General population</th>
<th>MS population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of deaths</td>
<td>Cohort size, person-years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤39</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1.01 (0.33, 3.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-59</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10,585.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-69</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4,125.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70-79</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1,885.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥80</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>867.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; MS = multiple sclerosis; S = suppressed to preserve confidentiality.
cause of death was available, there were 559 deaths. Of these, 185 (33.1%; 95% CI: 29.3%–37.2%) had MS on their death certificate; cause of death was missing for 9 individuals. In the period 2000 to 2011, there were 690 deaths. Considering the primary cause of death, 248 (35.9%; 95% CI: 31.8%–38.7%) had MS on their death certificate. When underlying cause of death and the primary cause of death were both considered, 373 (54.1%; 95% CI: 48.4%–55.7%) had MS on their death certificate.

After consensus reclassification of cause of death in the MS population, competing causes were more common than MS or MS complications during the entire study period ($p = 0.0004$), as well as from 1984 to 1999 and from 2000 to 2011 (table e-2). There was a slight shift to more deaths being due to a competing cause in the second time period. Deaths due to MS occurred at younger ages than deaths due to competing causes (table e-3).

When we classified the cause of death by ICD-9 chapter, the most common cause of death in the MS population was diseases of the nervous system (that is, MS or other neurologic disorders), followed by diseases of the circulatory system, neoplasms, and diseases of the respiratory system (tables e-4 and e-5). These accounted for 82.8% of deaths. Mortality rates due to neoplasms were lower in the MS population than in the matched population. Mortality rates due to infectious diseases and diseases of the respiratory system were higher in the MS population than in the matched population.

**DISCUSSION** We used a population-based dataset with extended follow-up to address potential biases...
related to inclusion of hospital or clinic-based populations, small numbers of deaths and inadequate power, and immortal time bias. We found that survival improved over time in the MS population but remained lower than in a matched population without MS. Comorbidity was associated with increased mortality but did not confer a greater risk of mortality in the MS population than in the matched population.

Previously we showed a shift in the peak age-specific prevalence of MS in Manitoba to older ages, suggesting that patients with MS are living longer. Consistent with those findings, we observed herein that the age at death in the MS population increased over time, and age-specific mortality rates decreased in persons aged 40 to 69 years. In Denmark and Australia, survival has also improved in MS but a survival disadvantage persists.

Several comorbidities were associated with altered mortality risks in both populations. Mortality risk was higher among depressed individuals but lower among anxious individuals. In chronic disease populations such as those with diabetes or rheumatoid arthritis, depression is consistently associated with increased mortality independent of disease activity. Prior findings regarding the association of anxiety and mortality in other populations have conflicted, possibly because of the failure to control for other comorbidities, but a recent, large population-based study found that anxiety was associated with lower all-cause and cardiovascular mortality. One postulated reason for these findings is that depression is associated with less help-seeking behavior while anxiety is associated with more help-seeking. Bipolar disorder was not associated with mortality in the MS population possibly because of a smaller sample size.

The association of diabetes, hypertension, and IHD, and particularly chronic lung disease with mortality was lower in the MS population than in the matched population. Autoimmune thyroid disease was not associated with mortality in the MS population, but was associated with reduced mortality in the matched population. We previously found that the presence of any comorbidity conferred a lower risk of hospitalization in the MS population than in the matched population. Possible reasons for such disparities include greater ascertainment of (possibly milder) comorbidity because of greater health care utilization in the MS population, or better care of comorbidity in the MS population. The reasons for the protective effect of thyroid disease in the matched population are uncertain. Possibly, the requirement for ongoing care and repeated health care contacts leads to improvements in other aspects of health care. Unmeasured confounders may also be responsible.

One prior study evaluated the association of comorbidity with mortality in 490 persons with MS, focusing on migraine, epilepsy, and stroke. Only stroke was associated with increased mortality. However, migraine was more common in women with a “benign” MS course, which could be consistent with our finding that migraine was associated with reduced mortality. We lacked clinical data to further investigate this possibility. Epilepsy was not associated with mortality in our study or the prior study.

The sensitivity of death certificates for the diagnosis of MS was relatively low at 34%. While this improved after 2000 when underlying cause of death was also available, the sensitivity of death certificates improved to only 54%. Work in other jurisdictions has also shown that death certificates have a variable sensitivity for MS ranging from 46% to 83% highlighting the potential for bias if mortality studies focus only on identifying the MS population using death certificates. Thus, an important aspect of our work was identifying the MS population using other sources.

We found that 44% of patients with MS were reported to have died of MS and related complications, and this changed relatively little over the study period. Apart from MS, the most common causes of death were circulatory system disease, cancer, and respiratory disease, similar to prior reports in Denmark. Deaths due to infection and respiratory disease were higher than expected, similar to reports in the United States. MS-related mortality in our population was lower than that reported in prior studies in which 47% to 75% of patients with MS died secondary to disease complications, such as urinary tract infections, pressure ulcers, and pneumonia.

Since we had access to data from 1984 onward, some individuals with MS may have died before they could be captured but we accounted for this left truncation in our regression models. We lacked details regarding disability and clinical course but a recent review noted the absence of an effect of disease course on survival from birth in MS. We assessed the effect of comorbidities on survival, unlike most prior studies. Although relevant, we did not evaluate the effect of disease-modifying therapy on mortality because this would have required a different study design. This study had several strengths. We used validated algorithms to identify the entire MS population in our region and to identify comorbidities. We also had a long duration of follow-up.

Survival is improving in the MS population but remains a median of 7 years lower than in a population matched for age, sex, and socioeconomic status. At least 50% of deaths in the MS population are due to competing causes. Several comorbidities are
associated with an increased risk of death in MS: depression, diabetes, and IHD conferred the greatest increases in risk. Although comorbidity did not have greater effect on mortality in the MS population than in the matched population, optimizing the management of comorbidity may be a means of improving survival.
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