In vivo retention of ^{18}F -AV-1451 in corticobasal syndrome

OPEN

Ruben Smith, MD, PhD
Michael Schöll, PhD
Håkan Widner, MD,
PhD
Danielle van Westen,
MD, PhD
Per Svenningsson, MD,
PhD
Douglas Hägerström, MD
Tomas Ohlsson, PhD
Jonas Jögi, MD, PhD
Christer Nilsson, MD,
PhD
Oskar Hansson, MD,
PhD

Correspondence to
Dr. Smith:
Ruben.Smith@med.lu.se
or Dr. Hansson:
Oskar.Hansson@med.lu.se

ABSTRACT

Objective: To study the usefulness of ^{18}F -AV-1451 PET in patients with corticobasal syndrome (CBS).

Methods: We recruited 8 patients with CBS, 17 controls, 31 patients with Alzheimer disease (AD), and 11 patients with progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP) from the Swedish BioFINDER study. All patients underwent clinical assessment, ^{18}F -AV-1451 PET, MRI, and quantification of β -amyloid pathology. A subset of participants also underwent ^{18}F -FDG-PET.

Results: In the 8 patients with CBS, 6 had imaging findings compatible with the corticobasal degeneration pathology and 2 with typical AD pathology. In the 6 patients with CBS without typical AD pathology, there were substantial retentions of ^{18}F -AV-1451 in the motor cortex, corticospinal tract, and basal ganglia contralateral to the most affected body side. These patients could be clearly distinguished from patients with AD dementia or PSP using ^{18}F -AV-1451. However, cortical atrophy was more widespread than the cortical retention of ^{18}F -AV-1451 in these CBS cases, and cortical AV-1451 uptake did not correlate with cortical thickness or glucose hypometabolism. These results are in sharp contrast to AD dementia, where ^{18}F -AV-1451 retention was more widespread than cortical atrophy, and correlated well with cortical thickness and hypometabolism.

Conclusions: Patients with CBS without typical AD pathology exhibited AV-1451 retention in the motor cortex, corticospinal tract, and basal ganglia contralateral to the affected body side, clearly different from controls and patients with AD dementia or PSP. However, cortical atrophy measured with MRI and decreased ^{18}F -fluorodeoxyglucose uptake were more widespread than ^{18}F -AV-1451 uptake and probably represent earlier, yet less specific, markers of CBS.

Classification of evidence: This study provides Class III evidence that ^{18}F -AV-1451 PET distinguishes between CBS and AD or PSP. *Neurology*® 2017;89:845-853

GLOSSARY

A β = β -amyloid; **AD** = Alzheimer disease; **CBD** = corticobasal degeneration; **CBS** = corticobasal syndrome; **CST** = corticospinal tract; **DSM-III-R** = *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 3rd edition, revised*; **FDG** = ^{18}F -fluorodeoxyglucose; **PNFA** = progressive nonfluent aphasia; **PSP** = progressive supranuclear palsy; **ROI** = region of interest; **SUVr** = standardized uptake value ratio.

Corticobasal degeneration (CBD) pathology gives rise to a variety of clinical presentations that encompass (1) the classic presentation, known as corticobasal syndrome (CBS); (2) a syndrome similar to progressive supranuclear palsy (CBD-PSP); (3) a frontotemporal behavioral variant; and (4) a variant with progressive nonfluent aphasia (CBD-PNFA).¹ However, clinical symptoms similar to the ones described above can also be caused by PSP, Alzheimer disease (AD), and non-tau pathologies, making an accurate prediction of the underlying pathology difficult in vivo.^{2,3}

Supplemental data
at [Neurology.org](#)

From the Departments of Neurology (R.S., H.W., C.N.), Clinical Neurophysiology (D.H.), Radiation Physics (T.O.), and Clinical Physiology and Nuclear Medicine (J.J.), Skåne University Hospital (D.v.W.), Lund; Clinical Memory Research Unit (R.S., M.S., C.N., O.H.), Department of Clinical Sciences (D.v.W.), and Department of Diagnostic Radiology (D.v.W.), Lund University, Malmö; Wallenberg Centre for Molecular and Translational Medicine and the Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry (M.S.), University of Gothenburg; Department of Clinical Neuroscience (P.S.), CMM L8:01, Stockholm; and Memory Clinic (O.H.), Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden.

Go to [Neurology.org](#) for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article. The Article Processing charge was funded by the Swedish Research Council.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Tau PET tracers were developed to detect AD tau pathology, i.e., paired helical filaments with a mixture of 3R and 4R tau isoforms.⁴ In CBD, the main isoform is 4R-tau, with a straight filament ultrastructure, and the ability of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 to bind to these inclusions has been debated.^{5–7} Using autoradiography in postmortem tissue, some, but not all, studies show a low, yet specific, binding of AV-1451 in patients with CBD.^{5–7} Uptake of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in vivo correlated with postmortem levels of tau pathology in 2 recently published autopsy cases of CBD,^{8,9} but the standardized uptake value ratios (SUVs) were generally lower than in AD.

The aim of the present study was to investigate the pattern of in vivo retention of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in patients with CBS, and how this pattern differs from the ones observed in patients with PSP and AD. We investigated 8 patients with CBS, 17 controls, 11 patients with PSP, and 31 patients with AD. The participants underwent ¹⁸F-AV1451 PET, ¹⁸F-FDG PET, structural MRI, and CSF or ¹⁸F-flutemetamol assessment of β -amyloid (A β) pathology.

METHODS Primary research question. Is the regional uptake of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 PET different in patients with CBS when compared to patients with PSP or AD? This study provides Class III evidence that ¹⁸F-AV-1451 PET distinguishes between CBS and AD or PSP.

Participants. The study participants were recruited from neurology and memory clinics in the southern part of Sweden as part of the Swedish BioFINDER study (www.biofinder.se). In the present study, we included 17 neurologically healthy, age-matched controls, 8 patients with CBS, 11 patients with PSP, and 31 patients with AD. The patients with CBS fulfilled the modified Cambridge criteria of CBS.¹⁰ Further, they also fulfilled the criteria for possible or probable CBD according to the Armstrong criteria,¹ where 7 of the included patients were diagnosed with the CBS phenotype and 1 patient was diagnosed with possible CBD of the PNFA subtype.¹ Nine of the patients with PSP were diagnosed with Richardson syndrome according to the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke criteria¹¹ and 2 patients were diagnosed with PSP parkinsonism.¹² Patients with AD dementia met DSM-III-R criteria for dementia as well as National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke–Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association criteria for AD.¹³ All patients with AD dementia had pathologic amyloid status as confirmed by lumbar puncture or ¹⁸F-flutemetamol PET as previously described.¹⁴ All participants with AD dementia also fulfilled the National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer’s Association criteria for probable AD dementia with evidence of the AD pathophysiologic process.¹⁵

Since evidence of A β pathology has been suggested as an exclusion criterion for CBS diagnosis, amyloid status was

determined using CSF measures of A β ₄₂ or ¹⁸F-flutemetamol PET as previously described.^{14,16} The patients were assessed with Hoehn & Yahr staging,¹⁷ Schwab and England activities of daily living, Mini-Mental State Examination, and detailed neurologic examination by a physician experienced in movement disorders.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents. Informed written consent was obtained from all patients before inclusion in the study. All procedures conformed to the Declaration of Helsinki and were approved by the regional ethics committee at Lund University, the Radiation Protection Committee at Skåne University Hospital, and the Swedish Medical Products Agency.

MRI. All patients underwent MRI on a 3.0T Siemens Skyra scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany), acquiring a T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo. The cortical thickness in each region in figures e-1 and e-2 (at Neurology.org) was determined using FreeSurfer 5.3 segmentation¹⁸ of the MRI and using the Desikan-Killiany atlas.¹⁹ Methods for voxelwise analysis of MRI data are provided in the e-Methods.

PET acquisition and data analysis. The radiosynthesis procedure, radiochemical purity, and scanning methods for ¹⁸F-AV-1451 have been described in detail previously.²⁰ The majority of patients and controls underwent the simplified protocol including only one dynamic ¹⁸F-AV-1451 scan for 80–120 minutes (8 × 300 seconds frames) postinjection. PET data were further processed using an in-house developed pipeline described earlier,²¹ based on FreeSurfer 5.3 segmentation of the MRI, and SUV calculation using cerebellar gray matter as the reference region for ¹⁸F-AV-1451 and a composite pons/brainstem reference region for ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG). FDG and flutemetamol PET acquisitions and analyses were performed as previously described.²¹

Since the disease is asymmetric when it comes to clinical presentation and tau pathology, and since the most affected side varies from patient to patient, the data from patients with CBD have been compared between the affected and less affected side rather than between left and right sides where indicated. Control regions of interest (ROIs) in figure 1 were created by pooling the bilateral ROIs.

Methods for partial volume effects correction, analysis of SUVs in atrophic cortex (figure 1C), analysis of the corticospinal tract, and voxelwise analysis of PET data are provided in the e-Methods.

Statistics. For comparisons between groups, Mann-Whitney U test was used. When comparing within the patients with CBD (affected/less affected side), Wilcoxon signed rank tests were used. For correlations, Pearson or Spearman correlations were performed where appropriate. Statistical significance was assumed at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS Participants. The clinical data of the 8 patients with CBS are presented in table 1. The clinical data of controls, patients with AD dementia, and patients with PSP are presented in table e-1.

Distribution of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 retention in CBS and AD dementia. The uptake patterns of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in our patients were diverse, which is expected considering the heterogeneity of pathologies underlying clinically diagnosed CBS (figure 2). Two patients had visually a bilateral and symmetric temporo-parietal atrophy

with a relative sparing of the precentral gyri. In these 2 patients, the clinical presentation was dominated by cortical parietal symptoms (apraxia and visuospatial deficiencies) and asymmetric motor symptoms were present, but milder. These patients had a very prominent ^{18}F -AV-1451 uptake in the temporo-parietal lobes, exhibiting a pattern very similar to that seen in patients with AD dementia (figure 2, G–I). In these cases, ^{18}F -flutemetamol scans were clearly positive, indicative of an underlying AD pathology (table 1, cases 7 and 8). Since these patients had a different underlying pathology, where both

^{18}F -flutemetamol and ^{18}F -AV-1451 uptake were compatible with AD, they were excluded from subsequent group analyses.

The patients with clinical CBS with a non-AD pattern ($n = 6$) had visually an asymmetric cortical retention of ^{18}F -AV-1451 in the motor areas contralateral to the most affected limb (figure 1B; figure 2, A–F; and figure 3). This retention corresponded to a cortical atrophy on MRI surrounding the central sulcus with the precentral gyrus being heavily atrophied (figure 1, A–E). The patient with CBS with a nonfluent aphasia (CBD-PNFA; case 6) also had a pronounced left fronto-temporo-parietal atrophy matching the clinical symptoms (figure 2F).

When comparing the cortical atrophy detected using MRI to the extent of AV-1451 uptake, we found that the cortical atrophy seen in the 6 patients with CBS with no typical AD pathology (inverted voxel-based morphometry z scores; figure 2, A–F) was more pronounced and widespread compared to the cortical retention of ^{18}F -AV-1451 (AV-1451 z scores; figure 2, A–F). This was in contrast to the group with typical amnesic dementia due to AD pathology, where the z -score maps showed that ^{18}F -AV-1451 retention was more widespread than cortical atrophy (figure 2I), indicating that ^{18}F -AV-1451 is an earlier marker of pathology than MRI-based atrophy measures in AD dementia, but the opposite seems to be the case in CBS without typical AD pathology.

Next, we studied the uptake of ^{18}F -AV-1451 in subcortical regions in the 6 CBS cases with no typical AD pathology and found the highest ^{18}F -AV-1451 retention in the white matter underlying the atrophic cortical gyri as well as in the basal ganglia of the affected side of the brain (figure 3A). Interestingly, the subcortical tau pathology showed a distribution corresponding to the corticospinal tract (CST) in the affected hemisphere. Using a white matter tract atlas for the CST, we found a significant increase in the tract contralateral to the most affected side of the body compared to the ipsilateral side and to controls (figure 3B). Further, the CST was manually subdivided into the white matter underlying the precentral gyrus and the internal capsule to rule out that the results were merely an effect of the proximity of the CST to the basal ganglia. Similar findings were seen using this subdivision (figure 3, C and D). The intensity of retention in the internal capsule showed a trend for a correlation to Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale–III score severity ($p = 0.058$; figure 3E).

Group differences in uptake of ^{18}F -AV-1451 in patients with CBS vs controls. When comparing the retention of ^{18}F -AV-1451 in frontal, temporal, and parietal regions, as well as the basal ganglia, in patients with

Figure 1 ^{18}F -AV-1451 retention in different brain regions

Standardized uptake value ratios (SUVRs) in the basal ganglia (A) and the cerebral cortex (B). Results are shown for corticobasal syndrome (CBS), most affected side (CBS_A); CBS, less affected side (CBS_{LA}); and controls. (C) SUVRs in the most atrophic cortical region. GP = globus pallidus; n.s. = not significant. $^a p < 0.05$, $^b p < 0.01$, $^c p < 0.001$.

Table 1 Clinical and MRI findings in the patients with corticobasal syndrome

	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5	Patient 6	Patient 7	Patient 8
Age at PET scan, y	74	75	65	67	66	77	50	66
Age at diagnosis, y	71	72	65	64	64	77	45	64
Clinical diagnostic criteria ¹	R UE rigidity, dystonia, myoclonus + LA, CSD	L UE rigidity, dystonia, myoclonus + LA	R UE rigidity, dystonia, myoclonus + LA, CSD	L UE rigidity, dystonia + CSD, alien limb	L UE rigidity, dystonia, myoclonus + LA	R UE LA, alien limb + PNFA	R UE rigidity, myoclonus + LA	L UE rigidity, dystonia, myoclonus + LA, CSD
MRI findings	CBD-like atrophy pattern	CBD-like atrophy pattern	CBD-like atrophy pattern	CBD-like atrophy pattern	Extensive unilateral atrophy pattern, possible CBD	Left sided fronto-temporo-parietal atrophy	AD-like atrophy pattern	AD-like atrophy pattern
	PreCG and PostCG, L (+++), R (++)	PreCG and PostCG, L (+++), R (++)	PreCG and PostCG, L (+++), R (++)	Extreme atrophy of R PreCG (++++), L PreCG (++)	PreCG and PostCG, R (++++), L (+)	L frontal (++) parietal and temporal (++++ atrophy	Strictly parietal atrophy, R (++) L (++)	Strictly parietal atrophy, R (++++), L (++)
MMSE	30	28	28	30	28	^a	19	25
H&Y ¹⁷	1, 5	3	2	2	4	1	2	1
UPDRS	34	57	24	38	33	16	32	24
Amyloid status	Normal	Normal	Normal	Abnormal (Cs 1.58)	Normal	Normal	Abnormal (Cs 1.70)	Abnormal (Cs 2.36)

Abbreviations: AD = Alzheimer disease; CBD = corticobasal degeneration; Cs = ¹⁸F-flutemetamol composite score; CSD = cortical sensory deficit; H&Y = Hoehn & Yahr stage; LA = limb apraxia; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; PNFA = progressive nonfluent aphasia; PostCG = postcentral gyrus; PreCG = precentral gyrus; UE = upper extremity; UPDRS = Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale. Cortical atrophy on MRI was visually assessed for each lobe including the central area using the Global Cortical Atrophy scale.³² For the parietal lobe, the Koedam scale was used.³³

^aNot possible to fully perform MMSE due to aphasia. Abnormal amyloid status was defined as either ¹⁸F-flutemetamol composite score >1.42¹⁴ or CSF β -amyloid₄₂ <550 pg/mL (clinical reference cutoff).

CBS with no typical AD pathology vs healthy controls, we found clearly increased retention in the globus pallidus and putamen of the affected side (figure 1A; table e-2). Further, the SUVRs of AV-1451 were significantly increased in the precentral gyrus, postcentral gyrus, and superior parietal gyrus, but the group-level changes in these cortical regions were modest due to the heterogeneity in the regional uptake of AV-1451 in different cortical regions in these 6 CBS cases (figure 1B; table e-2). Whole brain voxel-based analyses revealed similar findings with increased retention of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in the motor cortex, the CST, and the basal ganglia (figure 4A). However, to circumvent the problem with somewhat varying regions being the most affected by the pathology in different patients with CBS, we also delineated a ROI in the most atrophic cortical region, using the structural MRI, and a reference region on the contralateral side. Using this approach, we observed even greater differences in the cortical uptake of AV-1451 between the patients with CBS and controls (figure 1C; table e-2). Using an occipital lobe reference instead of a cerebellar reference for generating SUVR values resulted in similar findings (figure e-3).

Group differences in uptake of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in patients with CBS vs AD dementia and PSP. Using a voxel-based approach, we compared the ¹⁸F-AV-1451 retention in our 6 patients with CBS vs patients with PSP or AD dementia. We found that the patients with CBS had increased uptake in the subcortical white matter underlying the motor cortex when compared to PSP and AD dementia, respectively (figure 4, B–C). Increased uptake was also present in the basal ganglia of patients with CBS when compared to patients with AD dementia (figure 4C). We also found that patients with AD dementia had clearly higher uptake of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in temporoparietal cortical areas compared to patients with CBS (figure 4D). In the inferior temporal gyrus, the discrimination between AD dementia and CBS was highly significant (figure e-4).

Comparison of AV-1451 with cortical atrophy and glucose metabolism. Comparing the retention of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 to the MRI-based cortical thickness, we found weak inverse correlations between cortical thickness and ¹⁸F-AV-1451 retention in 3 out of the 6 patients with CBS, but strong inverse correlations in the 2 patients with CBS due to AD pathology (figure e-1).

¹⁸F-FDG PET scans were performed in 4 of our patients with CBS without typical AD pathology and the 2 patients with clinical CBS with biomarkers suggestive of AD pathology. In the patients with AD pathology, there were very strong correlations between increased retention of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 and reduced uptake of ¹⁸F-FDG, but a weak similar

Figure 2 ^{18}F -AV-1451 PET, AV-1451 cortical z scores, and MRI cortical atrophy z scores

(A-H) Cases 1 to 8, where A-E represent patients with corticobasal syndrome (CBS), F represents the patient with CBS with a progressive nonfluent aphasia phenotype, and G and H represent CBS cases with Alzheimer disease (AD) pathology. (I) Mean z score maps of the AD dementia control group ($n = 31$). The transversal images shown in the first column are in neurologic orientation, where left in the image corresponds to the patient's left side. *The most affected side of the brain in each patient. z Scores are presented for values >1.5 . SUVR = standardized uptake value ratio; VBM = voxel-based morphometry.

Figure 3 AV-1451 retention in the corticospinal tract

(A) z Score maps of AV-1451 uptake in coronal slices transecting the brain. Numbers below the images indicate the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale (UPDRS) part III (motor) score. *The most affected side of the brain in each patient. z Scores are presented for values 1.5–7. (B) Standardized uptake value ratios (SUVRs) in the corticospinal tract using the JHU white matter tract atlas. (C) SUVRs in the subcortical white matter underlying the precentral gyrus. (D) SUVRs in the posterior limb of the internal capsule, containing the corticospinal tract. (E) Spearman correlation between SUVRs in the internal capsule and the UPDRS part III. CBS_A = corticobasal syndrome most affected side; CBS_{LA} = corticobasal syndrome less affected side; n.s = not significant. * $p < 0.05$, ^b $p < 0.01$, ^c $p < 0.001$, ^d $p < 0.0001$.

observation was only found in one of the participants with CBS without typical AD pathology (figure e-2). Further, in all CBS cases but one (case 4) we found weak but significant correlations between decreases in ¹⁸F-FDG uptake and increasing atrophy of the cerebral cortex (figure e-2).

DISCUSSION We found specific retention of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in all cases with a clinical diagnosis of CBS. It is well-established that AD pathology can cause clinical symptoms equivalent to CBS³ and, indeed, 2 of the 8 clinical CBS cases had a bilateral temporoparietal uptake pattern similar to the pattern seen in AD, as well as positive ¹⁸F-flutemetamol

scans. The other 6 cases with a clinical CBS phenotype exhibited ¹⁸F-AV-1451 retention in the motor cortex and subcortical white matter contralateral to the side of symptoms, where tau deposition is to be expected.^{22–24} Further, the patient with CBS with a nonfluent aphasia (CBD-PNFA; case 6) had a predominantly left sided frontotemporal uptake of the tracer, corresponding to the clinical symptoms. The ¹⁸F-AV-1451 SUVR values in the patients with CBS were lower than the values seen in the patients with AD dementia, but the retention was clearly higher than in controls. Our results are in line with data from 2 recent autopsy studies on 2 patients with CBD who were scanned using ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in life

Figure 4 Voxel-based analysis of ^{18}F -AV-1451 retention

(A–C) Coronal, sagittal, and transversal sections show where the uptake is higher in corticobasal syndrome (CBS) compared to (A) controls, (B) patients with progressive supranuclear palsy (PSP), and (C) patients with Alzheimer disease (AD) ($p < 0.001$, uncorrected for multiple comparisons). (D) Lateral and inferior views of areas where the signal is higher in AD than in CBS ($p < 0.05$, corrected for family-wise error). Scales indicate t scores.

and where tau pathology was assessed neuropathologically postmortem.^{8,9} These studies suggest that there is an *in vivo* affinity of AV-1451 also for the 4R tau pathology in CBD, but this affinity is lower than for the 3R and 4R paired helical filaments of AD. This is in contrast to PSP, where the *in vivo* signal of AV-1451 does not seem to correlate with 4R tau pathology.^{6,25} Another recent study²² describes uptake of ^{18}F -THK-5351 in the motor cortex, as well as subcortical white matter and basal ganglia contralateral to the affected side of the body in patients with CBS, very much in line with our results. Altogether, these studies suggest that it might be possible to assess the tau burden in CBD *in vivo* using ^{18}F -AV-1451 or ^{18}F -THK-5351.

A recently published MRI study has shown more microstructural changes of the white matter,

including the CST, in patients with CBS with no typical AD pathology compared to both healthy controls and patients with CBS due to AD.²⁶ We found AV-1451 retention in the cerebral cortex, but an even more pronounced retention was seen in the subcortical white matter as well as in the basal ganglia contralateral to the affected side of the body. This subcortical pathology is not visualized using cortical z scores, but is evident when looking at sections transecting the brain (figure 3).

The CBD pathology affects the frontal motor cortex, but also parietal cortex and subcortical structures such as the globus pallidus and substantia nigra.²⁴ As discussed above, in the present study we show that the pattern of distribution of the cortical ^{18}F -AV-1451 retention in suspected CBS mainly occurs in the motor cortex and subcortical gray and white matter contralateral to the side of symptoms. This is clearly different from the pattern observed in patients with clinically diagnosed PSP, where the uptake is most prominent bilaterally in the globus pallidus,^{27–29} and from the pattern in patients with AD dementia (figures 2I and 4), where the ^{18}F -AV-1451 signal is most abundant in the temporal and parietal lobes.

Today, cortical atrophy on MRI and neuronal hypometabolism on FDG-PET are used in the diagnostic workup of CBS, but both markers of pathology appear relatively late in the disease process. We therefore studied whether ^{18}F -AV-1451 PET changes could be an earlier marker of CBD pathology. The cortical AV-1451 retention in our 6 patients with CBS without typical AD pathology was present in areas with cortical atrophy, but the extent of the cortical atrophy on MRI exceeded the extent of the cortical AV-1451 retention. Moreover, ^{18}F -FDG PET, but not ^{18}F -AV-1451 PET, correlated with the amount of cortical atrophy found in these patients. Together, these results indicate that ^{18}F -AV-1451 is a less sensitive measurement of cortical cell loss and dysfunction than MRI or ^{18}F -FDG PET in CBS. Likely, the lower affinity of AV-1451 to the 4R pathology in CBD compared to the paired helical filaments in AD is in part responsible for this effect. In line with this, we found that the extent of the ^{18}F -AV-1451 uptake was larger than the areas affected by cortical atrophy on MRI in our patients with AD dementia, indicating that MRI is less sensitive than ^{18}F -AV-1451 in determining the regions affected by AD pathology in AD dementia. We further found strong inverse correlations between FDG uptake and AV-1451 retention in patients with AD dementia, in line with what we, and others, have previously published.^{21,30,31}

Limitations of the present study include the sample size, with only 8 patients with CBS included. The low number of cases prevents us from making further

subanalyses and limits the study to a case series. Further, the absence of neuropathologic confirmation of the CBD diagnoses limits the certainty of the conclusions. It is well-established that CBS can be caused by other underlying pathologies than CBD, such as AD or PSP.³ The present clinical diagnoses were based on clinical CBS criteria^{1,10} and we cannot rule out that pathologies other than CBD-related tau pathology may cause the clinical symptoms in the 6 non-AD cases with CBD-like symptoms. However, the pattern of uptake of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in these 6 cases indicates that the underlying pathology might indeed be CBD, and at least not AD or typical PSP pathology. Another limitation is that some of the retention seen in the basal ganglia likely represents off-target binding.

Our results support the usefulness of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 PET as a marker of tau pathology in CBS, with cortical and subcortical retention seen in motor areas and the pyramidal tract contralateral to the affected side of the body and corresponding to clinical symptoms. Further, we found that cortical MRI changes and ¹⁸F-FDG-PET reductions are more widespread than the cortical retention of ¹⁸F-AV-1451. Therefore, MRI and FDG PET probably represent earlier, but less specific, markers of disease in CBS than AV-1451. Future studies are needed to determine whether accumulation of tau pathology over time in CBD can be reliably measured with ¹⁸F-AV-1451 PET and to determine its usefulness as an additional diagnostic tool and as an outcome measure in trials evaluating new disease-modifying therapies against CBD.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Drs. Smith and Hansson designed the study. Analysis and interpretation of the data was performed by Drs. Smith, Schöll, Widner, van Westen, and Hansson. Drs. Smith, Schöll, and Hansson drafted the manuscript and Drs. Widner, van Westen, Svenningsson, Hägerström, Ohlsson, Jögi, and Nilsson revised the manuscript for intellectual content.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank the patients and families of the patients for participating in this study and Avid Radiopharmaceuticals for providing the precursor for AV-1451. No funding was received from Avid Radiopharmaceuticals and the company had no part in data processing, analysis, or manuscript preparation.

STUDY FUNDING

Work in the authors' laboratory was supported by the European Research Council, the Swedish Research Council, the Strategic Research Area MultiPark (Multidisciplinary Research in Parkinson disease) at Lund University, the Swedish Brain Foundation, the Skåne University Hospital Foundation, the Parkinson foundation of Sweden, the Swedish Alzheimer Association, and the Swedish federal government under the ALF agreement. The funding sources had no role in the design and conduct of the study, in the collection, analysis, or interpretation of the data, or in the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

DISCLOSURE

R. Smith and M. Schöll report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. H. Widner has received economic support from CBD Solutions. D. van

Westen reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. P. Svenningsson has received economic support from CBD Solutions. D. Hägerström, T. Ohlsson, J. Jögi, and C. Nilsson report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. O. Hansson has served at advisory boards for Eli Lilly and received research support from GE Healthcare and Hoffmann-La Roche. Go to Neurology.org for full disclosures.

Received December 2, 2016. Accepted in final form May 30, 2017.

REFERENCES

- Armstrong MJ, Litvan I, Lang AE, et al. Criteria for the diagnosis of corticobasal degeneration. *Neurology* 2013; 80:496–503.
- Alexander SK, Rittman T, Xuereb JH, Bak TH, Hodges JR, Rowe JB. Validation of the new consensus criteria for the diagnosis of corticobasal degeneration. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2014;85:925–929.
- Ling H, O'Sullivan SS, Holton JL, et al. Does corticobasal degeneration exist? A clinicopathological re-evaluation. *Brain* 2010;133:2045–2057.
- Spillantini MG, Goedert M. Tau pathology and neurodegeneration. *Lancet Neurol* 2013;12:609–622.
- Lowe VJ, Curran G, Fang P, et al. An autoradiographic evaluation of AV-1451 tau PET in dementia. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* 2016;4:58.
- Marquie M, Normandin MD, Vanderburg CR, et al. Validating novel tau positron emission tomography tracer [F-18]-AV-1451 (T807) on postmortem brain tissue. *Ann Neurol* 2015;78:787–800.
- Sander K, Lashley T, Gami P, et al. Characterization of tau positron emission tomography tracer [F]AV-1451 binding to postmortem tissue in Alzheimer's disease, primary tauopathies, and other dementias. *Alzheimers Dement* 2016; 12:1116–1124.
- Josephs KA, Whitwell JL, Tacik P, et al. [18F]AV-1451 tau-PET uptake does correlate with quantitatively measured 4R-tau burden in autopsy-confirmed corticobasal degeneration. *Acta Neuropathol* 2016;132:931–933.
- McMillan CT, Irwin DJ, Nasrallah I, et al. Multimodal evaluation demonstrates in vivo 18F-AV-1451 uptake in autopsy-confirmed corticobasal degeneration. *Acta Neuropathol* 2016;132:935–937.
- Mathew R, Bak TH, Hodges JR. Diagnostic criteria for corticobasal syndrome: a comparative study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012;83:405–410.
- Litvan I, Agid Y, Calne D, et al. Clinical research criteria for the diagnosis of progressive supranuclear palsy (Steele-Richardson-Olszewski syndrome): report of the NINDS-SPSP international workshop. *Neurology* 1996;47:1–9.
- Williams DR, Lees AJ. Progressive supranuclear palsy: clinicopathological concepts and diagnostic challenges. *Lancet Neurol* 2009;8:270–279.
- McKhann G, Drachman D, Folstein M, Katzman R, Price D, Stadlan EM. Clinical diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: report of the NINCDS-ADRDA Work Group under the auspices of Department of Health and Human Services Task Force on Alzheimer's Disease. *Neurology* 1984;34: 939–944.
- Palmqvist S, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, et al. Accuracy of brain amyloid detection in clinical practice using cerebrospinal fluid beta-amyloid 42: a cross-validation study against amyloid positron emission tomography. *JAMA Neurol* 2014;71:1282–1289.
- McKhann GM, Knopman DS, Chertkow H, et al. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease:

- recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011;7:263–269.
16. Palmqvist S, Zetterberg H, Mattsson N, et al. Detailed comparison of amyloid PET and CSF biomarkers for identifying early Alzheimer disease. *Neurology* 2015;85:1240–1249.
 17. Hoehn MM, Yahr MD. Parkinsonism: onset, progression and mortality. *Neurology* 1967;17:427–442.
 18. Fischl B, van der Kouwe A, Destrieux C, et al. Automatically parcellating the human cerebral cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 2004;14:11–22.
 19. Desikan RS, Segonne F, Fischl B, et al. An automated labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on MRI scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage* 2006;31:968–980.
 20. Hahn A, Schain M, Erlandsson M, et al. Modeling strategies for quantification of in vivo 18F-AV1451 binding in patients with tau pathology. *J Nucl Med* 2017;58:623–631.
 21. Smith R, Puschmann A, Scholl M, et al. 18F-AV-1451 tau PET imaging correlates strongly with tau neuropathology in MAPT mutation carriers. *Brain* 2016;139:2372–2379.
 22. Kikuchi A, Okamura N, Hasegawa T, et al. In vivo visualization of tau deposits in corticobasal syndrome by 18F-THK5351 PET. *Neurology* 2016;87:2309–2316.
 23. Kovacs GG. Invited review: neuropathology of tauopathies: principles and practice. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 2015;41:3–23.
 24. Wakabayashi K, Takahashi H. Pathological heterogeneity in progressive supranuclear palsy and corticobasal degeneration. *Neuropathology* 2004;24:79–86.
 25. Smith R, Scholl M, Honer M, Nilsson CF, Englund E, Hansson O. Tau neuropathology correlates with FDG-PET, but not AV-1451-PET, in progressive supranuclear palsy. *Acta Neuropathol* 2017;133:149–151.
 26. McMillan CT, Boyd C, Gross RG, et al. Multimodal imaging evidence of pathology-mediated disease distribution in corticobasal syndrome. *Neurology* 2016;87:1227–1234.
 27. Cho H, Choi JY, Hwang MS, et al. Subcortical 18 F-AV-1451 binding patterns in progressive supranuclear palsy. *Mov Disord* 2017;32:134–140.
 28. Smith R, Schain M, Nilsson C, et al. Increased basal ganglia binding of 18 F-AV-1451 in patients with progressive supranuclear palsy. *Mov Disord* 2017;32:108–114.
 29. Whitwell JL, Lowe VJ, Tosakulwong N, et al. [18 F]AV-1451 tau positron emission tomography in progressive supranuclear palsy. *Mov Disord* 2017;32:124–133.
 30. Ossenkoppele R, Schonhaut DR, Baker SL, et al. Tau, amyloid, and hypometabolism in a patient with posterior cortical atrophy. *Ann Neurol* 2015;77:338–342.
 31. Smith R, Wibom M, Olsson T, et al. Posterior accumulation of tau and concordant hypometabolism in an early-onset Alzheimer's disease patient with presenilin-1 mutation. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2016;51:339–343.
 32. Pasquier F, Leys D, Weerts JG, Mounier-Vehier F, Barkhof F, Scheltens P. Inter- and intraobserver reproducibility of cerebral atrophy assessment on MRI scans with hemispheric infarcts. *Eur Neurol* 1996;36:268–272.
 33. Koedam EL, Lehmann M, van der Flier WM, et al. Visual assessment of posterior atrophy development of a MRI rating scale. *Eur Radiol* 2011;21:2618–2625.

Visit the *Neurology*[®] Resident & Fellow Website

Click on Residents & Fellows tab at Neurology.org.

Now offering:

- *Neurology*[®] Resident & Fellow Editorial team information
- “Search by subcategory” option
- E-pearl of the Week
- RSS Feeds
- Direct links to Continuum[®], Career Planning, and AAN Resident & Fellow pages
- Recently published Resident & Fellow articles
- Podcast descriptions

Find *Neurology*[®] Residents & Fellows Section on Facebook: <http://tinyurl.com/o8ahsys>

Follow *Neurology*[®] on Twitter: <http://twitter.com/GreenJournal>

Neurology[®]

In vivo retention of ¹⁸F-AV-1451 in corticobasal syndrome

Ruben Smith, Michael Schöll, Håkan Widner, et al.

Neurology 2017;89:845-853 Published Online before print July 28, 2017

DOI 10.1212/WNL.0000000000004264

This information is current as of July 28, 2017

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright Copyright © 2017 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology.. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/89/8/845.full
Supplementary Material	Supplementary material can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/suppl/2017/07/28/WNL.0000000000004264.DC1
References	This article cites 33 articles, 10 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/89/8/845.full#ref-list-1
Citations	This article has been cited by 3 HighWire-hosted articles: http://n.neurology.org/content/89/8/845.full##otherarticles
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Alzheimer's disease http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/alzheimers_disease Basal ganglia http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/basal_ganglia Corticobasal degeneration http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/corticobasal_degeneration PET http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/pet Progressive supranuclear palsy http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/progressive_supranuclear_palsy
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright Copyright © 2017 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.