Prevalence of preclinical Alzheimer disease

Comparison of current classification systems

Silke Kern, MD, PhD, Henrik Zetterberg, MD, PhD, Jürgen Kern, MD, PhD, Anna Zettergren, PhD, Margda Waern, MD, PhD, Kina Höglund, PhD, Ulf Andreasson, PhD, Hanna Wetterberg, MSc, Anne Börjesson-Hanson, MD, PhD, Kaj Blennow, MD, PhD, and Ingmar Skoog, MD, PhD

Correspondence

Dr. Kern
silke.kern@neuro.gu.se

Neurology® 2018;90:e1682-e1691. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000005476

Abstract

Objective

To determine the prevalence of preclinical Alzheimer disease (AD) according to current classification systems by examining CSF from a representative general population sample of 70-year-olds from Gothenburg, Sweden.

Method

The sample was derived from the population-based H70 Gothenburg Birth Cohort Studies in Gothenburg, Sweden. The participants (n = 322, age 70 years) underwent comprehensive neuropsychiatric, cognitive, and somatic examinations. CSF levels of β -amyloid ($A\beta$)₄₂, $A\beta$ ₄₀, total tau, and phosphorylated tau were measured. Preclinical AD was classified according to criteria of the A/T/N system, Dubois 2016, National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer's Association (NIA-AA) criteria, and International Working Group-2 (IWG-2) criteria. Individuals with Clinical Dementia Rating score >0 were excluded, leaving 259 cognitively unimpaired individuals.

Results

The prevalence of amyloid pathology was 22.8%, of total tau pathology was 33.2%, and of phosphorylated tau pathology was 6.9%. With the A/T/N system, the prevalence of A+/T−/N− was 13.1%, A+/T−/N+ was 7.3%, A+/T+/N+ was 2.3%, A−/T−/N+ was 18.9%, and A−/T+/N+ was 4.6%. When the Dubois criteria were applied, the prevalence of asymptomatic at risk for AD was 36.7% and of preclinical AD was 9.7%. With the NIA-AA criteria, the prevalence of stage 1 was 13.1% and stage 2 was 9.7%. With the IWG-2 criteria, the prevalence of asymptomatic at risk for AD was 9.7%. The APOE ϵ 4 allele was associated with several of the categories. Men more often had total tau pathology, A+/T−/N+, preclinical AD according to Dubois 2016, asymptomatic at risk for AD according to the IWG-2 criteria, and NIA-AA stage 2.

Conclusion

The prevalence of pathologic AD markers was very common (46%) in a representative population sample of 70-year-olds. The clinical implications of these findings need to be scrutinized further in longitudinal studies.

From the Department of Neuropsychiatric Epidemiology Unit (S.K., J.K., A.Z., M.W., H.W., A.B.-H., I.S.) and Clinical Neurochemistry Laboratory (S.K., H.Z., K.H., U.A., K.B.), Department of Psychiatry and Neurochemistry, Institute of Neuroscience and Physiology, Sahlgrenska Academy at the University of Gothenburg, Sweden; and UCL Institute of Neurology (H.Z.), Queen Square, London, UK.

Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by Swedish Research Council.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Glossary

A β = β -amyloid; AD = Alzheimer disease; AR-AD = at risk for Alzheimer disease; CDR = Clinical Dementia Rating; CFAS = Cognitive Function and Ageing; DSM-III-R = *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 3rd edition, revised*; DSM-V = *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th edition*; IWG-2 = International Working Group-2; LP = lumbar puncture; MCI = mild cognitive impairment; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; NIA-AA = National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer’s Association; p-tau = phosphorylated tau; SNAP = non–Alzheimer disease pathophysiology; SNP = single nucleotide polymorphism; t-tau = total tau.

The hallmarks of Alzheimer disease (AD) include the aggregation of β -amyloid (A β) into plaques, the hyperphosphorylation of tau protein with the formation of tangles, and brain atrophy.¹ In neuropathologic series, a large proportion of cognitively normal elderly have Alzheimer pathology.² In the Cognitive Function and Ageing (CFAS) population study, one-fifth of elderly without dementia fulfilled neuropathologic criteria for AD, and one-third had moderate to severe plaque pathology.³ The corresponding figure in those with dementia was 59%.³ PET studies report amyloid pathology in $\approx$ 30% of healthy elderly from control or convenience samples.^{4–7} CSF studies show frequencies ranging from 12% to 36%.^{8–11}

Most data are derived from convenience samples, e.g., normal controls from memory clinics or volunteers. Data from representative samples are needed to clarify the population prevalence of preclinical AD pathology.

Biomarkers reflecting the accumulation of A β deposition are the earliest sign of AD in healthy elderly.^{12,13} A β pathology is detected earlier in CSF than in PET.^{12,14} Brain autopsy and biomarker studies indicate that amyloid pathology is initiated $\approx$ 10 to 20 years before clinical symptoms.¹⁵ Presently, there are 4 different classifications in use for preclinical AD. The most recent, the A/T/N system, was introduced in 2016.¹⁶ The consensus group–defined criteria for preclinical AD (Dubois criteria)¹⁷ came in 2016, the International Working Group-2 (IWG-2) criteria⁷ in 2014, and the National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer’s Association (NIA-AA) criteria^{18,19} in 2011. The only classification that differentiates between phosphorylated (p)-tau and total (t)-tau is the A/T/N system. The prevalence of preclinical AD in accordance with these different classification systems needs to be elucidated in representative population samples. The aim of the current study was to determine the prevalence of preclinical AD in accordance with the 4 current classification systems based on CSF data from a representative population-based sample of 70-year-olds.

Methods

The baseline sample was derived from the 2014 to 2016 examinations of the H70 Gothenburg Birth Cohort Studies in Gothenburg, Sweden. The sample was obtained from the Swedish Population Registry and included persons living in private households and individuals in residential care.²⁰

Every 70-year-old in Gothenburg, Sweden, born during 1944 on prespecified birthdates was invited to the examination in 2014 to 2016, and 1,203 participated (response rate 72.2%). Of these, 430 (35.8%) consented to a lumbar puncture (LP). Contraindications (anticoagulant therapy, immune-modulated therapy, cancer therapy) were present in 108, leaving 322 (26.8%). CSF volume was insufficient for 4 participants.

For the purpose of the present study, we defined our study cohort as cognitively unimpaired as operationalized by a Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) score of 0. Thus, participants with CDR score >0 ($n = 63$) were excluded, leaving 259 participants with a CDR score of 0.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

All participants and/or their close relatives gave written informed consent. The study was approved by the Regional Ethics Review Board in Gothenburg.

Assessments

Participants were examined at the neuropsychiatric memory clinic at Sahlgrenska University Hospital in Gothenburg or at home. Experienced psychiatric research nurses performed the neuropsychiatric examinations, which comprised ratings of psychiatric symptoms and signs, and tests of mental functioning, including assessments of episodic memory (short-term, long-term memory), aphasia, apraxia, agnosia, executive functioning, and personality changes.^{21–23} Key informant interviews were performed by psychiatric research nurses as described previously.²¹

Examinations included the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) and the CDR. A geriatric psychiatrist and neurologist (S.K.) assigned the final ratings.

Dementia was diagnosed according to the DSM-III-R criteria²¹ because these criteria have been used in the Gothenburg studies for >30 years.

Stroke and TIA information was acquired from self-reports and key informants. The participants underwent comprehensive somatic examinations.²² Education, defined in years of education, was assessed by self-report or close informant information.

APOE genotyping

The single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) rs7412 and rs429358 in *APOE* (gene map locus 19q13.2) were genotyped, with a success rate of 100%, with the KASPar PCR SNP genotyping system (LGC Genomics, Hoddesdon, Herts, UK). Genotype data for these 2 SNPs were used to define the $\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, and $\epsilon 4$ alleles.

CSF sampling and biomarker analyses

LPs to collect CSF samples were performed in the L3-4 or L4-5 interspace in the morning.²⁴ The first 10 mL CSF was collected in a polypropylene tube and immediately transported to the laboratory for centrifugation at 1,800g at 20°C for 10 minutes. The supernatant was gently mixed to avoid possible gradient effects, divided into aliquots in the polypropylene tubes, and stored at -70°C.^{10,24}

CSF total tau (t-tau) and tau phosphorylated at threonine 181 (p-tau) were determined with a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (INNOTEST htau Ag and PHOSPHO_TAU [181P], Fujirebio [formerly Innogenetics], Ghent, Belgium).^{25,26} CSF A β_{42} was measured with a sandwich enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (INNOTEST A β_{1-42}) specifically constructed to measure A β starting at amino acid 1 and ending at amino acid 42.²⁷ For the A β_{42} /A β_{40} ratio, the V-PLEX A β Peptide Panel 1 (6E10) Kit (Meso Scale Discovery, Rockville, MD) was used.²⁸ All assays are included in the panel of clinical routine analyses at the Mölndal Clinical Neurochemistry laboratory. Analytic runs had to pass quality control criteria for the calibrators, and internal quality control samples had to be approved. The CSF cutoffs in this study were as follows: CSF A β_{42} levels ≤ 530 pg/mL, CSF t-tau levels ≥ 350 pg/mL, and p-tau levels ≥ 80 pg/mL.¹⁰

A/T/N classification

According to the A/T/N classification scheme,¹⁶ each participant was classified into 3 binary categories. A refers to A β pathology (CSF A β_{42} levels ≤ 530 pg/mL), T to pathologic p-tau (CSF p-tau ≥ 80 pg/mL), and N to neurodegeneration biomarker (CSF t-tau ≥ 350 pg/mL). Participants can have 8 possible biomarker combinations.

The Dubois 2016 criteria

In accordance with the criteria from Dubois et al.,¹⁷ persons with both amyloid and tau pathology were classified as having preclinical AD. Cognitively normal participants (i.e., CDR score 0) with either amyloid pathology or tau pathology are considered to be at risk for AD (AR-AD).

IWG-2 criteria

According to the IWG-2 criteria,⁷ asymptomatic at risk for AD is defined as cognitively normal persons (i.e., CDR score 0) with Alzheimer pathology (here defined as CSF A β_{42} levels ≤ 530 pg/mL) and pathologic CSF t-tau or p-tau (CSF t-tau levels ≥ 350 pg/mL or p-tau levels ≥ 80 pg/mL). We were not able to use the IWG-2 criteria of increased retention of fibrillary amyloid PET.

NIA-AA criteria

The NIA-AA criteria for preclinical AD include 3 stages. Stage 1 refers to asymptomatic individuals with abnormal amyloid markers; stage 2 refers to asymptomatic individuals with abnormal amyloid and injury markers (markers of neurodegeneration such as high CSF t-tau or p-tau, neuronal dysfunction on fluorodeoxyglucose-PET, cortical thinning, and hippocampal atrophy on MRI); and stage 3 refers to individuals with subtle cognitive changes and abnormal amyloid and injury markers.¹⁸ An additional category, suspected non-AD pathophysiology (SNAP), defined as abnormal tauopathy without amyloidopathy, was later suggested.¹⁹ In our study, only stage 1, stage 2, and SNAP were included; all were based on CSF injury markers only.

Overlap between current classifications systems

The following categories coincide here:

1. A+/T-/N-, A-/T-/N+ or A-/T+/N-, or A-/T+/N+; AR-AD according to Dubois 2016; and the combination of SNAP (isolated tauopathy) and stage 1 (isolated amyloidopathy) in the NIA-AA criteria.
2. A+/T+/N-, A+/T-/N+, or A+/T+/N+ according to A/T/N; preclinical AD in the Dubois criteria; stage 2 of the NIA-AA criteria; and asymptomatic at risk for AD according to the IWG-2 criteria.
3. A+/T-/N- and stage 1 according to the NIA-AA criteria.
4. Total pathology of Dubois 2016 criteria and the NIA-AA criteria.

Statistical methods

Differences in proportions and means were tested with the Fisher exact test and *t* test.

To address the concern that the prevalence figure could be biased because only 36% consented to an LP, we used propensity score weighting. First, we conducted a binary regression model in those with CDR score of 0 in the total sample to identify variables that predicted participation in CSF. We considered male sex, education, depression according to DSM-V, self-reported stroke, age, systolic blood pressure, living alone, and income. In a final model, we used male sex, education, depression according to DSM-V, and self-reported stroke. From this, a probability of accepting the CSF was calculated for each person, and the inverse of this probability defined the weights to be used in a weighted calculation of the sample prevalence. The weighted prevalences were very similar to the unweighted (see Results). Because these differences were regarded as trivial, we chose to use unweighted prevalences for the sake of clarity.

A 2-tailed level of significance was used ($p < 0.05$). Statistical analyses were completed with SPSS for Windows (version 17; SPSS, Chicago, IL), SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC), or STATA (version 14; StataCorp, College Station, TX).

Data availability statement

The authors state that anonymized data on which the article is based will be shared by request from any qualified investigator.

Results

Table 1 gives the baseline characteristics of participants and nonparticipants in the CSF examination. The dementia prevalence for the entire sample was 2.3% ($n = 28$).

Those who were excluded from the LP because of contraindications ($n = 108$) were similar regarding age, sex, MMSE score, living alone, years of education, economic status, and prevalence of dementia and depression, but they had more often had strokes and had lower mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures than participants with CSF data (table 1). Among those with CSF, 259 (80.4%) had a CDR score of 0, 60 (18.6%) had a CDR score of 0.5, and 3 (0.009%) had a CDR score of 1. Participants with CSF were similar to the rest of the sample regarding age, MMSE score, mean systolic and diastolic blood pressures, education, economic status, living alone, and prevalence of stroke, dementia, and depression, but they were more often male (table 1). The coefficient of variation for the different quality control samples used for each biomarker assay was in the interval of 3.6% to 9.9% (table e-1, links.lww.com/WNL/A424). The CSF biomarker levels, ranges, and variations are given in table e-2. The distributions for $A\beta_{42}$, t-tau, and p-tau were slightly skewed; therefore, the mean and median were provided. $A\beta_{40}$ was

normally distributed. The distributions for $A\beta_{42}$, t-tau, and p-tau were similar to those seen in Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative²⁹ (table e-2).

Despite the small number, those with dementia ($n = 5$) had a lower mean level of $A\beta_{42}$ (428.2 vs 718.9 ng/L, $p = 0.004$), lower $A\beta_{40}$ level (4,781.8 vs 6,220.3 pg/mL, $p = 0.02$), lower $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ ratio (0.55 vs 0.87), higher t-tau level (531.2 vs 331.1 ng/L, $p = 0.001$), and higher p-tau level (67.6 vs 49.4 ng/L, $p = 0.021$) than those without dementia (table e-2, links.lww.com/WNL/A424).

Among those without dementia, there was no difference between those with a CDR score of 0.5 ($n = 57$) and those with a CDR score of 0 ($n = 259$) in $A\beta_{42}$ (697.4 vs 724.5 ng/L, $p = 0.41$), $A\beta_{40}$ (6,052.7 vs 6,250.9 pg/mL, $p = 0.31$), $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ ratio (0.86 vs 0.88, $p = 0.53$) t-tau (328.3 vs 332.0 ng/L, $p = 0.83$), and p-tau (49.1 vs 49.5 ng/L, $p = 0.86$) levels.

The analyses that follow include only those with a CDR score of 0 because a CDR score of 0.5 is an exclusion criterion in all classifications.

Prevalence of amyloid and tau pathology

Among those with a CDR score of 0, the prevalence of amyloid pathology was 22.8%, of t-tau pathology was 33.2%, and of p-tau pathology was 6.9% (table 2). The weighted prevalence of amyloid pathology was 22.5%, of t-tau pathology was 33.7%, and of p-tau pathology was 7.1%. Because these differences must be regarded as trivial, we chose to use unweighted prevalences in the rest of the results for the sake of clarity.

Table 1 Characteristics of a representative population-based sample of 70-year-olds by LP participation status

	CSF ($n = 322$)	Excluded from CSF ($n = 108$)	Declined CSF ($n = 772$)	p Value ^a	p Value ^b	p Value ^c
Age, mean (SD), y	70.6 (0.3)	70.6 (0.3)	70.5 (0.3)	0.58	0.56	0.12
MMSE score, mean (SD)	28.9 (1.4)	28.7 (2.1)	28.9 (2.3)	0.73	0.68	0.75
Systolic blood pressure, mean (SD)	139.6 (17.2)	132.9 (15.6)	140.6 (20.1)	0.0004	0.42	0.02
Diastolic blood pressure, mean (SD)	79.5 (9.3)	76.6 (8.6)	79.6 (10.2)	0.0037	0.98	0.2
Education, mean (SD), y	12.4 (3.5)	13.1 (3.8)	12.8 (3.8)	0.08	0.096	0.3
Total household income, mean (SD), SEK ^d	38.130 (21.713)	40.316 (23.249)	38.771 (24.830)	0.42	0.73	0.97
Women, n (%)	155 (48)	47 (43.5)	440 (57.0)	0.44	0.012	0.0014
Living alone, n (%)	111 (34.9)	40 (38.5)	281 (36.9)	0.59	0.60	0.73
Stroke, n (%)	14 (4.4)	20 (18.5)	43 (5.7)	0.00001	0.45	0.18
Dementia, n (%)	5 (1.6)	5 (4.6)	18 (2.3)	0.13	0.56	0.85
Any depression, n (%)	30 (9.3)	5 (4.7)	72 (9.3)	0.19	0.94	0.54

Abbreviations: LP = lumbar puncture; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; SEK = Swedish krona.

^a Test of the difference in means and proportions between CSF participants ($n = 322$) and those excluded because of contraindications ($n = 108$).

^b Test of difference in means and proportions between CSF participants ($n = 322$) and participants who declined an LP ($n = 772$).

^c Test of difference in means and proportions between all participants who consented to undergo an LP ($n = 430$) and participants who declined the LP ($n = 772$).

^d Total gross household income in SEK.

Table 2 Prevalence of amyloid and tau pathology according to the A/T/N classification scheme, Dubois 2016 criteria, IWG-2 criteria, and NIA-AA criteria and in a representative population-based sample of 70-year-olds with a CDR score of 0

	Men (n = 130)	Women (n = 129)		All (n = 259)
	n (%)	n (%)	p Value ^a	n (%)
Amyloid pathology	34 (26.2)	25 (19.4)	0.236	59 (22.8)
t-Tau pathology	52 (40.0)	34 (26.4)	0.025	86 (33.2)
p-Tau pathology	9 (6.9)	9 (7.0)	1.00	18 (6.9)
A/T/N classification 2016				
A+/T−/N−	15 (11.5)	19 (14.7)	1.0	34 (13.1)
A+/T+/N−	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)
A+/T−/N+	14 (5.4)	5 (1.9)	0.03	19 (7.3)
A+/T+/N+	5 (1.9)	1 (0.4)	0.09	6 (2.3)
A−/T+/N−	0 (0)	0 (0)		0 (0)
A−/T−/N+	29 (11.2)	20 (7.7)	0.10	49 (18.9)
A−/T+/N+	4 (1.5)	8 (3.1)	0.55	12 (4.6)
Dubois criteria 2016				
AR-AD	48 (36.9)	47 (37.4)	0.5	95 (36.7)
Preclinical AD	19 (14.6)	6 (4.7)	0.008	25 (9.7)
IWG-2 criteria 2014^b				
Asymptomatic at risk for Alzheimer disease	19 (14.6)	6 (4.7)	0.008	25 (9.7)
NIA-AA criteria 2011				
Stage 1	15 (11.5)	19 (14.7)	1.0	34 (13.1)
Stage 2^c	19 (14.6)	6 (4.7)	0.008	25 (9.7)
SNAP^d	33 (25.4)	28 (21.7)	0.28	61 (23.6)

Abbreviations: AD = Alzheimer disease; AR-AD = at risk of Alzheimer disease; CDR = Clinical Dementia Rating; IWG-2 = International Working Group-2; NIA-AA = National Institute on Aging–Alzheimer’s Association; p-tau = phosphorylated tau; SNAP = suspected non–Alzheimer disease pathophysiology; t-tau = total tau. ^a Fisher exact test was used to test differences in proportions, and a 2-tailed level of significance was used.

^b According to the IWG-2 criteria, asymptomatic at risk for Alzheimer disease is present when there is absence of specific clinical phenotype (absence of amnesic syndrome of the hippocampal type and absence of clinical phenotype of atypical AD). The IWG-2 criteria also include increased retention of fibrillary amyloid PET as in vivo evidence of Alzheimer pathology. Because amyloid PET was not available in this study, solely CSF data were used as in vivo evidence of Alzheimer pathology.

^c In the current study, only CSF tauopathy is considered because we lacked data for MRI and fluorodeoxyglucose-PET markers of neurodegeneration.

^d SNAP was proposed in 2012 by Jack et al.¹⁹ after the initial NIA-AA criteria were introduced.

A/T/N system

The prevalence of A+/T−/N− was 13.1%, of A+/T−/N+ was 7.3%, of A+/T+/N+ was 2.3%, of A−/T−/N+ was 18.9%, and of A−/T+/N+ was 4.6%. No participants had the biomarker combination A+/T+/N− and A−/T+/N− (table 2 and a Venn diagram in the figure).

Dubois 2016 criteria

The prevalence of AR-AD was 36.7% and the prevalence of preclinical AD 9.7%. Thus, in total, 46.4% had AR-AD or preclinical AD (table 2).

IWG-2 criteria

The prevalence of asymptomatic at risk for AD according to the IWG-2 criteria was 9.7% (table 2).

NIA-AA criteria

The prevalence of NIA-AA stage 1 was 13.1%, of stage 2 was 9.7%, and of SNAP was 23.6% (table 2).

The CSF biomarker levels, ranges, and variations in the different classification systems are given in table e-3, links.lww.com/WNL/A424.

APOE ε4

Among persons with a CDR score of 0, APOE ε4 allele carrier (n = 86) had lower Aβ₄₂ (606.4 vs 785.3 ng/L, $p \leq 0.0001$), lower Aβ₄₂/Aβ₄₀ ratio (0.76 vs 0.94, $p < 0.00001$), higher t-tau (375.5 vs 311.0 ng/L, $p = 0.0005$), and higher p-tau (54.6 vs 47.1 ng/L, $p = 0.0016$) levels compared to APOE ε4 non-carriers (n = 168) (table e-4, links.lww.com/WNL/A424).

Figure Venn diagram

Venn diagram of the ATN distribution of amyloid and tau pathology according to the A/T/N classification scheme in a representative population-based sample of 70-year-olds with a Clinical Dementia Rating score of 0. A+ refers to A β pathology (CSF A β_{42} levels ≤ 530 pg/mL), T+ to pathologic p-tau (CSF p-tau ≥ 80 pg/mL), and N+ to neurodegeneration biomarker (CSF total tau ≥ 350 pg/mL) in 259 cognitively normal elderly all 70 years of age. A β = β -amyloid; p-tau = phosphorylated tau.

There was no difference in A β_{40} levels (6,319.1 vs 6,237.9 pg/mL, $p = 0.89$). All participants with pathologic values for all 3 biomarkers ($n = 8$) were APOE $\epsilon 4$ carriers. Among participants with dementia ($n = 5$), 4 had the APOE $\epsilon 4$ allele ($p = 0.017$).

The APOE $\epsilon 4$ allele was more common in participants with A+/T-/N-, A+/T-/N+, and A+/T+/N+; AR-AD and preclinical AD according to Dubois 2016 criteria; stage 1 and stage 2 according to NIA-AA criteria; and asymptomatic at risk for Alzheimer disease according to the IWG-criteria (tables 3–5).

Other characteristics

Men more often than women had t-tau pathology A+/T-/N+, preclinical AD according to Dubois 2016, asymptomatic at risk for Alzheimer disease according to the IWG-2 criteria, and NIA-AA stage 2 (tables 3–5). Participants with A+/T+/N+ had a lower mean MMSE score (28.5 vs 29.3, $p = 0.04$) than other participants. There were no differences between different categories and participants with normal CSF values regarding age, living alone, prevalence of depression, stroke, and years of education (tables 3–5).

Analyses of 70-year-olds with good cognition

Finally, we examined the subgroup scoring 30 on the MMSE. The prevalence of the different categories of preclinical AD was similar in this group compared to the rest of the sample with a CDR score of 0 (tables 3–5). None of the participants with A+/T+/N+ had an MMSE score of 30.

Discussion

We applied CSF data from a population study to determine the prevalence of preclinical dementia and related conditions in accordance with 4 commonly used classification systems. AD pathology was observed in almost one-half of the 70-year-olds with a CDR score of 0. Almost one-fourth had amyloid pathology and a third had tau pathology, representing neuronal injury. Our findings show that amyloid and tau pathology on CSF is very common in cognitively normal populations, as previously shown in neuropathologic series and in convenience samples using PET.^{2,30}

Regarding the A/T/N system, we report findings nearly identical to those in a recent report from the Mayo Clinic on persons 50 to 95 years of age for A-/T+/N+ (4% vs 4.6% in our study), A+/T-/N- (10% vs 13.1% in our study), and A+/T-/N+ (8% vs 7.3% in our study).³¹ The lower prevalence of A+/T+/N+ in the current study probably reflects our population-based design. We did not find any cases of A+/T+/N- and A-/T+/N-, suggesting that pathologic CSF p-tau is highly correlated to pathologic CSF t-tau in a population sample of cognitively intact older people. In addition, it is of interest that we found some cases with A-/T+/N+ (4.6%) because T+ is not supposed to occur in the absence of abnormal brain amyloidosis. However, this may reflect primary age-related tauopathy,^{31,32} in which neurofibrillary tangles in brains without amyloid (A β) plaques are indistinguishable from neurofibrillary tangles in those with AD. It is also noteworthy that we found more cases with t-tau pathology than with p-tau pathology. These findings may reflect a combination of primary age-related tauopathy and other non-AD pathologies such as vascular disease or Lewy bodies.

With the use of the Dubois 2016 criteria, slightly more than a third were classified as asymptomatic AR-AD, and another 1/10th had preclinical AD. Regarding the NIA-AA criteria, another study, in cognitively normal volunteers (mean age 66 years),⁸ reported that the combined prevalence for stage 1 and SNAP (same as Dubois AR-AD) was 31%, a figure slightly lower than in our study (36.7%). That study also reported that 12.3% had isolated amyloid pathology (stage 1), a figure almost identical to that in our study (13.1%). The proportion of participants with CSF amyloid or tau pathology in accordance with IWG-2 criteria (46%) in our study parallels findings based on Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative data.³³

We found that 23% had CSF amyloid pathology. This figure is similar to those reported from neuropathologic series of older people² and from convenience samples using PET scans in which 20% to 30% are Pittsburgh compound B PET positive.^{30,34} Using CSF, 1 Swedish study, conducted in cognitively healthy elderly volunteers (mean age 72 years) recruited through advertisement, reported that 27% had A β_{1-42} levels below the study cutoff,¹¹ thus paralleling our

Table 3 Characteristics of a representative sample of 70-year-olds by A/T/N category

	Normal CSF	A+/T−/N−	<i>p</i> Value ^a	A+/T+/N+	<i>p</i> Value ^a	A+/T+/N+	<i>p</i> Value ^a	A−/T−/N+	<i>p</i> Value ^a	A−/T+/N+	<i>P</i> Value ^a
Women, n (%)	76 (54.7)	19 (55.8)	1.0	5 (26.3)	0.03	1 (1.7)	0.10	20 (40.8)	0.10	8 (6.7)	0.55
Living alone, n (%)	50 (36.5)	10 (30.3)	0.55	6 (31.6)	0.8	3 (50)	0.67	16 (32.7)	0.73	3 (25.0)	0.54
Any depression, n (%)	14 (10.1)	2 (5.9)	0.74	0	0.22	21 (8.1)	1.0	4 (8.2)	1.0	1 (8.3)	1.0
Stroke, n (%)	6 (4.4)	2 (5.9)	0.66	0 (0)	1.0	1 (16.7)	0.26	1 (2.0)	0.68	0 (0)	1.0
APOE ε4 allele, n (%)	31 (23)	19 (55.9)	0.0005	9 (50.0)	0.02	6 (100.0)	0.0003	15 (30.6)	0.34	6 (50.0)	0.07
CDR SOB = 0, n (%)	133 (95.7)	33 (97.1)	1.0	17 (89.5)	0.25	6 (100.0)	1.0	49 (100.0)	0.34	12 (100.0)	1.0
MMSE score = 30, n (%)	70 (50.4)	18 (52.9)	0.85	9 (47.4)	1.0	0 (0)	0.03	26 (54.2)	0.74	6 (50.0)	1.0
Age, y	70.9 (0.3)	70.9 (0.3)	0.75	71.0 (0.4)	0.20	70.7 (0.3)	0.11	70.9 (0.4)	0.58	70.9 (0.3)	0.93
Education, y	13.0 (3.8)	13.6 (3.4)	0.36	12.5 (3.0)	0.6	11.5 (4.5)	0.37	13.3 (3.7)	0.57	11.7 (2.3)	0.25
MMSE score	29.3 (0.9)	29.4 (0.8)	0.70	29.3 (0.8)	0.91	28.5 (1.2)	0.04	29.3 (1.1)	0.82	29.2 (0.9)	0.66

Abbreviations: CDR = Clinical Dementia Rating; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; SOB = sum of boxes.

^a Test of differences in means (*t* test) and proportions (Fisher exact test) between the different groups compared to participants with normal CSF values.

Table 4 Characteristics of asymptomatic AR-AD and preclinical AD according to the Dubois 2016 criteria of a representative sample of 70-year-olds

	Normal CSF	AR-AD	<i>p</i> Value ^a	Preclinical AD	<i>p</i> Value ^b
Women, n (%)	76 (54.7)	47 (49.5)	0.5	6 (24.0)	0.008
Living alone, n (%)	50 (36.5)	29 (30.9)	0.47	9 (36.0)	1.0
Any depression, n (%)	14 (10.1)	7 (7.4)	0.64	0 (0)	0.22
Stroke, n (%)	6 (4.4)	3 (3.2)	0.73	1 (4.0)	1.0
APOE ε4 allele, n (%)	31 (23.0)	40 (42.1)	0.0015	15 (62.5)	0.0001
CDR SOB = 0, n (%)	133 (95.7)	94 (98.9)	0.25	23 (92.0)	0.35
MMSE score = 30, n (%)	70 (50.4)	50 (52.6)	0.69	9 (36.0)	0.19
Age at examination, mean (SD), y	70.9 (0.34)	70.9 (0.38)	0.82	70.9 (0.43)	0.60
Education, mean (SD), y	13.0 (3.8)	13.2 (3.5)	0.62	12.2 (3.3)	0.34
MMSE score, mean (SD)	29.3 (0.9)	29.3 (0.98)	0.92	29.1 (0.95)	0.3

Abbreviations: AD = Alzheimer disease; AR-AD = at risk of Alzheimer disease; CDR = Clinical Dementia Rating; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; SOB = sum of boxes.

^a Test of differences in means (*t* test) and proportions (Fisher exact test) between participants with asymptomatic AR-AD (according to the Dubois criteria 2016) and participants with normal CSF values.

^b Test of differences in means (*t* test) and proportions (Fisher exact test) between participants with preclinical AD (according to the new Dubois criteria) and participants with normal CSF values.

findings. Our figure is also similar (27%) to a US study of volunteers.⁹ The concordance between our findings and those from convenience samples is striking, despite the fact that our sample is representative and selected only on the basis of birthdates, while convenience samples are selected on the basis of, for example, advertisements, relatives of memory clinic patients, volunteers, or veterans.

Our study is based solely on data for persons 70 years of age. A higher figure would be anticipated in older age groups because there is strong evidence that amyloid pathology increases with age.^{30,35} A meta-analysis reported a gradual increase with age in the frequency of amyloid pathology based on PET and CSF data from 10% at 50 years of age to 44% at 90 years of age in cognitively normal participants.³⁵

Table 5 Characteristics of a representative sample of 70-year-olds by preclinical dementia pathology category

	Normal CSF	Stage 1	<i>p</i> Value ^a	Stage 2 and IWG-2	<i>p</i> Value ^b	SNAP	<i>p</i> Value ^c
Women, n (%)	76 (54.7)	19 (55.9)	1.0	6 (24.0)	0.008	28 (45.9)	0.28
Living alone, n (%)	50 (36.5)	10 (30.3)	0.55	9 (36.0)	1.0	19 (31.1)	0.52
Any depression, n (%)	14 (10.1)	2 (5.9)	0.74	0 (0)	0.13	5 (8.2)	0.79
Stroke, n (%)	6 (4.4)	2 (5.9)	0.66	1 (4.0)	1.0	1 (1.6)	0.68
APOE ε4 allele, n (%)	31 (23.0)	19 (55.9)	0.0006	15 (62.5)	0.0003	21 (34.4)	0.12
CDR SOB 0, n (%)	133 (95.7)	33 (97.1)	1.0	23 (92.0)	0.35	61 (100)	0.18
MMSE score = 30, n (%)	70 (50.4)	18 (52.9)	0.85	9 (36)	0.2	32 (53.3)	0.76
Age at examination, mean (SD), y	70.9 (0.34)	70.8 (0.32)	0.75	70.9 (0.43)	0.6	70.9 (0.41)	0.53
Education, mean (SD), y	13.0 (3.8)	13.6 (3.4)	0.36	12.2 (3.3)	0.38	12.9 (3.5)	0.9
MMSE score, mean (SD)	29.3 (0.9)	29.4 (0.7)	0.69	29.1 (0.95)	0.3	29.3 (1.0)	0.72

Abbreviations: CDR = Clinical Dementia Rating; IWG-2 = International Working Group-2; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; SNAP = suspected non-Alzheimer disease pathophysiology; SOB = sum of boxes.

^a Test of differences in means and proportions between the participants with stage 1 (according to the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association [NIA-AA] criteria 2011) and participants with normal CSF values.

^b Test of differences in means and proportions between participants with stage 2 (according to the NIA-AA criteria 2011) and participants with normal CSF values. Asymptomatic at risk for Alzheimer disease according to the IWG-2 criteria is identical to stage 2 according to NIA-AA 2011.

^c Test of differences in means and proportions between the participants with SNAP (according to the NIA-AA criteria 2011) and participants with normal CSF values.

We observed associations between *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ carriership and all 3 biomarkers, as well as with the criteria of A+/T-/N-, A+/T-/N+, and A+/T+/N+. A recent study using the A/T/N system showed that participants with A+ were twice as often *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ carriers than participants without A+. ³¹ In line with some previous studies, carriage of *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ was related to AR-AD and preclinical AD according to Dubois, as well as stage 1 and stage 2 according to NIA-AA and asymptomatic at risk for Alzheimer disease according to IWG-2 criteria. One study using the NIA-AA criteria found that the proportion of preclinical AD (NIA-AA stage 1–3) was higher in *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ carriers compared to noncarriers. ⁹ Another study found that *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ carriers more often had preclinical AD. ⁸ In a study on cognitively normal persons in the age span of 30 to 90 years, *APOE* $\epsilon 4$ carriers more often had amyloid positivity on PET after 70 years of age. ³⁶

We also found some sex differences. Pathological t-tau, A+/T-/N+, preclinical AD according to Dubois, asymptomatic at risk for Alzheimer disease according to IWG-2, and NIA-AA stage 2 were more common in men. Another study reported no sex differences with the A/T/N system, although it noted a trend for a higher proportion of men in the A-/T-/N+ group and the greatest proportion of women in the A+/T-/N- group. ³¹ Men may have accumulated more nonspecific brain pathology as reflected in t-tau levels as a result of lifestyle choices such as alcohol abuse, head trauma, or vascular risk factors. The higher prevalence of preclinical AD in men among 70-year-olds is also noteworthy because later in life, after 85 to 90 years of age, clinical AD is more common in women. It could be that men with preclinical AD do not survive to the clinical stages, thus leading to higher prevalence of clinical AD in surviving women beyond 85 years of age. These questions can be answered only by longitudinal follow-up. Sex differences in preclinical AD need to be studied further.

MMSE score did not differ between those with and those without preclinical AD as identified by the different AD pathology classification systems, with the exception of the A+/T+/N+ group. Others report lower MMSE scores in preclinical AD. ⁹ This disparity may be related in part to the population-based nature of our study. The cases of AR-AD and preclinical AD, stage 1, stage 2, and SNAP identified in our study likely represent an early stage in the disease process. This is further supported by the high mean MMSE scores (≈ 29) and the observation that the prevalence figures were similar in those with an MMSE score of 30. The fact that we found lower MMSE levels only in participants with pathologic levels of all 3 biomarkers suggests that these are probably closer to conversion to mild cognitive impairment (MCI). We could not show this for amyloid positivity and signs of neurodegeneration alone, indicating that tauopathy plays a significant role in the conversion to MCI. Further support for this comes from a study that found that the progression rate to a CDR score ≥ 0.5 was 5% for stage 1 and 46% for stage 2+, again showing that the combined pathology of amyloidopathy and neuronal injury is driving the conversion. ⁸

The conversion rate to MCI and dementia in asymptomatic individuals with amyloid and tau pathology is still unclear. Given the overall low dementia incidence in septuagenarians, ³⁷ it is likely that the majority with amyloid or tau pathology in our study will not develop dementia during the coming decade. However, even if development of dementia is rare in this age group, cognitively healthy individuals with amyloid pathology on PET or CSF decline faster in cognitive function. ³⁸

Among the strengths of this study are the representative population-based sample, the relatively high response rate for LP, and the comprehensive examinations conducted by trained psychiatric nurses. Some limitations need to be addressed. Even if the number with CSF data in this study was relatively large, the overall number is relatively low, yielding low statistical power (i.e., subsamples). More than one-third consented to LP, but almost one-quarter was excluded because of contraindications, illustrating the challenges of conducting population-based CSF research. Although participants with CSF data were similar to the rest of the sample regarding several factors, it is possible that participants were healthier, thus creating selection bias and not true prevalence figures. However, weighted prevalence figures using propensity score modeling were very similar to the unweighted prevalence. We have most likely underestimated the true prevalence of pathology. Moreover, it is possible that there have been subtle cognitive differences between the biomarker groups that were not detected with our brief and unspecific cognitive tests. A further limitation is that we did not use PET scans. However, the correlation between CSF A β_{42} and PET has been shown to be high, ¹⁴ while markers of neurodegeneration are less concordant. ⁸ Finally, this is a population-based study examining Swedish 70-year-olds; therefore, results cannot be generalized to other groups.

Author contributions

Silke Kern analyzed and interpreted the data, conducted the literature search, and wrote the paper. Kaj Blennow, Ulf Andreasson, and Henrik Zetterberg contributed with acquisition of data (CSF analyses) and study supervision. Kaj Blennow, Henrik Zetterberg, Jürgen Kern, Anna Zettergren, Kina Höglund, Ulf Andreasson, Hanna Wetterberg, Margda Waern, and Anne Börjesson-Hanson contributed with the analysis and interpretation of the data and revised the article critically for important intellectual content. Ingmar Skoog was principal investigator, conceived and designed the study, refined the study methods, was involved in analysis and interpretation of the data, and revised the article critically for important intellectual content. The corresponding author attests that the authors had access to all the study data, take responsibility for the accuracy of the analysis, and had authority over manuscript preparation and the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. All authors gave final approval of the version to be published. The corresponding author affirms that she has listed everyone who contributed significantly to the work.

Acknowledgment

Valter Sundh, University of Gothenburg, performed the statistical analysis.

Study funding

This study was supported by grants from the Swedish Research Council (2012-5041, 2013-8717, 2015-02830), Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (2013-1202, AGECAP 2013-2300, 2013-2496, 2013-0475), Swedish Alzheimerfoundation, Hjärnfonden, Stena Foundation, Sahlgrenska University Hospital (ALF), Torsten Söderbergs Stiftelse at the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences, the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation, the Emil and Maria Palm Foundation, Alma och Anna Ylen's Foundation, Konung Gustaf V:s och Drottning Victorias Frimurarestiftelse, Eivind och Elsa K:s son Sylvans stiftelse, Stiftelsen Söderström-Königska Sjukhemmet, Stiftelsen för Gamla Tjänarinnor, Handlanden Hjalmar Svenssons Forskningsfond, Stiftelsen Demensfonden, Stiftelsen Wilhelm and Martina Lundgrens Vetenskapsfond, Stiftelsen Professor Bror Gadelius' Minnesfond, the Göteborg Medical Services and Social Services Administrations, and Fredrik and Rosa von Malborgs Foundation for Brain Research.

Disclosure

S. Kern reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. H. Zetterberg is a cofounder of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg AB, a GU Ventures-based platform company at the University of Gothenburg (This company was not involved in any aspect of the study). J. Kern, A. Zettergren, M. Waern, K. Höglund, U. Andreasson, H. Wetterberg, and A. Börjesson-Hanson report no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. K. Blennow is a cofounder of Brain Biomarker Solutions in Gothenburg AB, a GU Ventures-based platform company at the University of Gothenburg. Dr. Blennow has served at advisory boards or as a consultant for Alzheon, Eli Lilly, Fujirebio Europe, IBL International, Pfizer, Roche Diagnostics, Amgen, and Sanofi-Aventis (unrelated to the present study) and has received research support from Janssen Alzheimer Immunotherapy and Roche Diagnostics (unrelated to the present study). I. Skoog reports no disclosures relevant to the manuscript. Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures.

Received September 28, 2017. Accepted in final form February 20, 2018.

References

1. Blennow K, de Leon MJ, Zetterberg H. Alzheimer's disease. *Lancet* 2006;368:387–403.
2. Tomlinson BE, Blessed G, Roth M. Observations on the brains of non-demented old people. *J Neurol Sci* 1968;7:331–356.
3. Neuropathology Group, Medical Research Council Cognitive Function and Aging Study. Pathological correlates of late-onset dementia in a multicentre, community-based population in England and Wales: Neuropathology Group of the Medical Research Council Cognitive Function and Ageing Study (MRC CFAS). *Lancet* 2001;357:169–175.
4. Aizenstein HJ, Nebes RD, Saxton JA, et al. Frequent amyloid deposition without significant cognitive impairment among the elderly. *Arch Neurol* 2008;65:1509–1517.
5. Jack CR Jr, Lowe VJ, Weigand SD, et al. Serial PIB and MRI in normal, mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: implications for sequence of pathological events in Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 2009;132:1355–1365.
6. Pike KE, Savage G, Villemagne VL, et al. Beta-amyloid imaging and memory in non-demented individuals: evidence for preclinical Alzheimer's disease. *Brain* 2007;130:2837–2844.
7. Dubois B, Feldman HH, Jacova C, et al. Advancing research diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease: the IWG-2 criteria. *Lancet Neurol* 2014;13:614–629.
8. Vos SJ, Gordon BA, Su Y, et al. NIA-AA staging of preclinical Alzheimer disease: discordance and concordance of CSF and imaging biomarkers. *Neurobiol Aging* 2016;44:1–8.
9. Vos SJ, Xiong C, Visser PJ, et al. Preclinical Alzheimer's disease and its outcome: a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet Neurol* 2013;12:957–965.
10. Hoglund K, Kern S, Zettergren A, et al. Preclinical amyloid pathology biomarker positivity: effects on tau pathology and neurodegeneration. *Transl Psychiatry* 2017;7:e995.
11. Stomrud E, Minthon L, Zetterberg H, Blennow K, Hansson O. Longitudinal cerebrospinal fluid biomarker measurements in preclinical sporadic Alzheimer's disease: a prospective 9-year study. *Alzheimers Dement (Amst)* 2015;1:403–411.
12. Bateman RJ, Xiong C, Benzinger TL, et al. Clinical and biomarker changes in dominantly inherited Alzheimer's disease. *N Engl J Med* 2012;367:795–804.
13. Fagan AM, Head D, Shah AR, et al. Decreased cerebrospinal fluid Abeta(42) correlates with brain atrophy in cognitively normal elderly. *Ann Neurol* 2009;65:176–183.
14. Sutphen CL, Jasielec MS, Shah AR, et al. Longitudinal cerebrospinal fluid biomarker changes in preclinical Alzheimer disease during middle age. *JAMA Neurol* 2015;72:1029–1042.
15. Jack CR Jr, Knopman DS, Jagust WJ, et al. Tracking pathophysiological processes in Alzheimer's disease: an updated hypothetical model of dynamic biomarkers. *Lancet Neurol* 2013;12:207–216.
16. Jack CR Jr, Bennett DA, Blennow K, et al. A/T/N: an unbiased descriptive classification scheme for Alzheimer disease biomarkers. *Neurology* 2016;87:539–547.
17. Dubois B, Hampel H, Feldman HH, et al. Preclinical Alzheimer's disease: definition, natural history, and diagnostic criteria. *Alzheimers Dement* 2016;12:292–323.
18. Sperling RA, Aisen PS, Beckett LA, et al. Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimers Dement* 2011;7:280–292.
19. Jack CR Jr, Knopman DS, Weigand SD, et al. An operational approach to National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer's Association criteria for preclinical Alzheimer disease. *Ann Neurol* 2012;71:765–775.
20. Skoog I, Borjesson-Hanson A, Kern S, et al. Decreasing prevalence of dementia in 85-year olds examined 22 years apart: the influence of education and stroke. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:6136.
21. Skoog I, Nilsson L, Palmertz B, Andreasson LA, Svanborg A. A population-based study of dementia in 85-year-olds. *N Engl J Med* 1993;328:153–158.
22. Kern S, Skoog I, Ostling S, Kern J, Borjesson-Hanson A. Does low-dose acetylsalicylic acid prevent cognitive decline in women with high cardiovascular risk? A 5-year follow-up of a non-demented population-based cohort of Swedish elderly women. *BMJ Open* 2012;2:e001288.
23. Kern J, Kern S, Blennow K, et al. Calcium supplementation and risk of dementia in women with cerebrovascular disease. *Neurology* 2016;87:1674–1680.
24. Bjerke M, Kern S, Blennow K, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid fatty acid-binding protein 3 is related to dementia development in a population-based sample of older adult women followed for 8 years. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2016;49:733–741.
25. Vanmechelen E, Vanderstichele H, Davidsson P, et al. Quantification of tau phosphorylated at threonine 181 in human cerebrospinal fluid: a sandwich ELISA with a synthetic phosphopeptide for standardization. *Neurosci Lett* 2000;285:49–52.
26. Blennow K, Wallin A, Agren H, Spenger C, Siegfried J, Vanmechelen E. Tau protein in cerebrospinal fluid: a biochemical marker for axonal degeneration in Alzheimer disease? *Mol Chem Neuropathol* 1995;26:231–245.
27. Andreasen N, Hesse C, Davidsson P, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid beta-amyloid(1-42) in Alzheimer disease: differences between early- and late-onset Alzheimer disease and stability during the course of disease. *Arch Neurol* 1999;56:673–680.
28. Steen Jensen C, Portelius E, Siersma V, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid amyloid beta and tau concentrations are not modulated by 16 weeks of moderate- to high-intensity physical exercise in patients with Alzheimer disease. *Demen Geriatr Cogn Disord* 2016;42:146–158.
29. Shaw LM, Vanderstichele H, Knapik-Czajka M, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid biomarker signature in Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative subjects. *Ann Neurol* 2009;65:403–413.
30. Rowe CC, Ellis KA, Rimajova M, et al. Amyloid imaging results from the Australian Imaging, Biomarkers and Lifestyle (AIBL) study of aging. *Neurobiol Aging* 2010;31:1275–1283.
31. Jack CR Jr, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, et al. Age-specific and sex-specific prevalence of cerebral beta-amyloidosis, tauopathy, and neurodegeneration in cognitively unimpaired individuals aged 50–95 years: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet Neurol* 2017;16:435–444.
32. Cray JE, Trojanowski JQ, Schneider JA, et al. Primary age-related tauopathy (PART): a common pathology associated with human aging. *Acta Neuropathol* 2014;128:755–766.
33. Wang HF, Tan L, Cao L, et al. Application of the IWG-2 diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer's disease to the ADNI. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2016;51:227–236.
34. Ossenkoppele R, Jansen WJ, Rabinovici GD, et al. Prevalence of amyloid PET positivity in dementia syndromes: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2015;313:1939–1949.
35. Jansen WJ, Ossenkoppele R, Knol DL, et al. Prevalence of cerebral amyloid pathology in persons without dementia: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2015;313:1924–1938.
36. Jack CR Jr, Wiste HJ, Weigand SD, et al. Age, sex, and APOE epsilon4 effects on memory, brain structure, and beta-amyloid across the adult life span. *JAMA Neurol* 2015;72:511–519.
37. Hebert LE, Scherr PA, Bienias JL, Bennett DA, Evans DA. Alzheimer disease in the US population: prevalence estimates using the 2000 census. *Arch Neurol* 2003;60:1119–1122.
38. van Harten AC, Smits LL, Teunissen CE, et al. Preclinical AD predicts decline in memory and executive functions in subjective complaints. *Neurology* 2013;81:1409–1416.

Prevalence of preclinical Alzheimer disease

Comparison of current classification systems

Silke Kern, MD, PhD, Henrik Zetterberg, MD, PhD, Jürgen Kern, MD, PhD, Anna Zettergren, PhD, Margda Waern, MD, PhD, Kina Höglund, PhD, Ulf Andreasson, PhD, Hanna Wetterberg, MSc, Anne Börjesson-Hanson, MD, PhD, Kaj Blennow, MD, PhD, and Ingmar Skoog, MD, PhD

Correspondence

Dr. S. Kern
silke.kern@neuro.gu.se

Cite as: *Neurology*® 2018;90:e1682-e1691. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000005476

Study question

What is the prevalence of preclinical Alzheimer disease (AD) in the general population of 70-year-olds according to current CSF biomarker-based classification systems?

Summary answer

According to current CSF biomarker-based classification systems, almost half of 70-year-olds have preclinical AD or a related condition.

What is known and what this paper adds

Many elderly persons without dementia exhibit preclinical AD pathology. This study of a representative population clarifies the prevalence of preclinical AD pathology according to the criteria of 4 classification systems introduced between 2011 and 2016.

Participants and setting

This study examined 259 residents (50.2% male) of Gothenburg, Sweden, who were born in 1944, had no contraindications to lumbar puncture, and were considered cognitively unimpaired due to having a Clinical Dementia Rating score of 0. The examinations occurred at Sahlgrenska University Hospital between 2014 and 2016 as part of the H70 Gothenburg Birth Cohort Studies.

Design, size, and duration

CSF samples were collected via lumbar puncture. AD biomarkers including total tau, threonine-181-phosphorylated tau, and β -amyloid 42 ($A\beta_{42}$) and $A\beta_{42}/A\beta_{40}$ ratios were quantified with commercially available immunoassays.

Primary outcomes

The primary outcomes were CSF biomarker-based classifications of AD pathology according to the A/T/N scheme, the Dubois 2016 criteria, the International Working Group-2 (IWG-2) criteria, and the NIA-AA criteria.

Main results

Under the A/T/N scheme, 46.2% of participants were positive for ≥ 1 AD biomarker. Under the Dubois 2016 criteria, 36.7% of participants were asymptomatic-at-risk-for AD, and

Table Participant classifications according to the A/T/N scheme, based on measures of CSF $A\beta$, t-tau and p-tau

A/T/N classification	No. (%) of participants
A+/T−/N−	34 (13.1)
A+/T−/N+	19 (7.3)
A+/T+/N+	6 (2.3)
A−/T−/N+	49 (18.9)
A−/T+/N+	12 (4.6)

Abbreviations: $A\beta$ = β -amyloid; p-tau = phosphorylated tau; t-tau = total tau.

9.7% of participants had preclinical AD. Under the IWG-2 criteria, 9.7% of participants were asymptomatic at risk for AD. Under the NIA-AA criteria, 22.8% of participants had preclinical AD.

Bias, confounding, and other reasons for caution

The exclusion of study candidates with contraindications to lumbar puncture might have biased the study sample towards relatively healthy participants, so the prevalence of preclinical AD might have been underestimated. PET data were unavailable, so PET criteria in the IWG-2 and NIA-AA schemes could not be applied. There was no pathologic confirmation of AD.

Generalizability to other populations

The generalizability to populations unlike 70-year-old residents of Sweden may be limited.

Study funding/potential competing interests

This study was funded by the Swedish government, various foundations, and Sahlgrenska University Hospital. Dr. Zetterberg and Dr. Blennow cofounded Brain Biomarker Solutions. Dr. Blennow reports receiving funding, advisory board appointments, and consulting fees from various healthcare companies. Go to Neurology.org/N for full disclosures.

A draft of the short-form article was written by M. Dalefield, a writer with Editage, a division of Cactus Communications. The authors of the full-length article and the journal editors edited and approved the final version.

Neurology®

Prevalence of preclinical Alzheimer disease: Comparison of current classification systems

Silke Kern, Henrik Zetterberg, Jürgen Kern, et al.

Neurology 2018;90:e1682-e1691 Published Online before print April 13, 2018

DOI 10.1212/WNL.0000000000005476

This information is current as of April 13, 2018

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/90/19/e1682.full
References	This article cites 38 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/90/19/e1682.full#ref-list-1
Citations	This article has been cited by 5 HighWire-hosted articles: http://n.neurology.org/content/90/19/e1682.full##otherarticles
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): Alzheimer's disease http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/alzheimers_disease Cohort studies http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/cohort_studies Prevalence studies http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/prevalence_studies
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2018 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.