Low-dose onabotulinumtoxinA improves urinary symptoms in noncatheterizing patients with MS

Mark Tullman, MD, Emmanuel Chartier-Kastler, MD, PhD, Alfred Kohan, MD, Veronique Keppenne, MD, Benjamin M. Brucker, MD, Blair Egerdie, MD, Meryl Mandle, BS, Jean Paul Nicandro, PharmD, Brenda Jenkins, BS, and Pierre Denys, MD

Neurology® 2018;91:e657-e665. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000005991

Abstract

Objective
To evaluate the efficacy and safety of onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U in noncatheterizing patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) with urinary incontinence (UI) due to neurogenic detrusor overactivity (NDO).

Methods
In this randomized, double-blind phase III study, patients received onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U (n = 66) or placebo (n = 78) as intradetrusor injections via cystoscopy. Assessments included changes from baseline in urinary symptoms, urodynamics, and Incontinence–Quality of Life (I-QOL) total score. Adverse events (AEs) were assessed, including initiation of clean intermittent catheterization (CIC) due to urinary retention.

Results
OnabotulinumtoxinA vs placebo significantly reduced UI at week 6 (∼3.3 episodes/day vs −1.1 episodes/day, p < 0.001; primary endpoint). Significantly greater proportions of onabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients achieved 100% UI reduction (53.0% vs 10.3%, p < 0.001). Significant improvements in urodynamics (p < 0.01) were observed with onabotulinumtoxinA. Improvements in I-QOL score were significantly greater with onabotulinumtoxinA (40.4 vs 9.9, p < 0.001) and =3 times the minimally important difference (+11 points). The most common AE was urinary tract infection (25.8%). CIC rates were 15.2% for onabotulinumtoxinA and 2.6% for placebo.

Conclusion
In noncatheterizing patients with MS, onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U significantly improved UI and quality of life with lower CIC rates than previously reported with onabotulinumtoxinA 200 U.

ClinicalTrials.gov identifier:
NCT01600716.

Classification of evidence
This study provides Class I evidence that compared with placebo, 100 U onabotulinumtoxinA intradetrusor injections significantly reduce UI and improve quality of life in noncatheterizing patients with MS and NDO.
Most patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) develop neurogenic detrusor overactivity (NDO). Demyelinating lesions above the level of the pons or involving reticulospinal pathways may lead to uninhibited bladder contractions, resulting in urinary urgency, frequent micturition, and urinary incontinence (UI). Nonpharmacologic approaches (fluid/fecal restriction, pelvic floor muscle exercises, timed voiding) are first-line treatments for urinary storage symptoms. Anticholinergics are commonly used for pharmacologic treatment of symptoms, often with clean intermittent catheterization (CIC) for incomplete bladder emptying or high detrusor pressures, but frequently are discontinued because of insufficient efficacy or intolerable side effects.

Before approval of onabotulinumtoxinA 200 U for NDO, surgery (augmentation cystoplasty, urinary diversions) and off-label use of implantable devices (possibly precluding magnetic resonance imaging) were the only treatments for patients with MS and NDO inadequately managed by anticholinergics (with or without CIC). OnabotulinumtoxinA intradetrusor injections (a minimally invasive option) were shown to reduce UI and to improve urodynamics and quality of life (QOL) in 2 phase III trials in patients with MS or spinal cord injury. OnabotulinumtoxinA 200 U was well tolerated, although there is a potential risk for urinary retention requiring CIC initiation. In the trials, 31.4% of patients with MS spontaneously voiding at baseline initiated CIC after treatment. In contrast, in the nonneurogenic overactive bladder development program, onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U reduced UI with a low CIC rate (6.1%–6.9%).

The aim of this study was to assess the efficacy and safety of onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U in noncatheterizing patients with MS and NDO to determine whether the lower dose reduces urinary symptoms, maintains spontaneous voiding, and decreases CIC initiation.

Methods

Study design

This double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase III trial was conducted at 58 sites in North America and Europe between July 2012 and March 2015. A profile-based stratified randomization was used; patients’ baseline parameters of UI episodes per day, anticholinergic use, MS duration, and age assigned them to 1 of 2 strata, after which they were randomized within strata 1:1 to onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U (BOTOX, Allergan plc, Irvine, CA) or placebo via an interactive voice or web response system. To prevent possible unblinding, study medication was packaged and labeled identically, which masked the treatment being administered. Study drug was administered via cystoscopy as 30 1-mL injections evenly distributed into the detrusor, avoiding the trigone. Injections were administered primarily with local anesthesia; however, general anesthesia or no anesthesia was also allowed per physician discretion.

Patients were followed for up to 52 weeks with visits occurring at weeks 2, 6, 12, 24, and 52 (final visit) after treatment. Urinary symptoms, volume per void, and CIC events were recorded in 3-day bladder diaries before each visit. Urodynamic assessments were conducted according to International Continence Society standard guidelines at screening and week 6 and reviewed by an independent central reviewer. The Incontinence–Quality of Life (I-QOL) questionnaire was used to assess QOL at baseline, week 6, and week 12. The I-QOL questionnaire is a validated, disease-specific, 22-item patient-reported instrument consisting of 3 domains (avoidance and limiting behavior, psychosocial impact, and social embarrassment) that measures UI-specific QOL. I-QOL total summary and subscale scores range from 0 to 100, with higher scores reflecting better QOL. The minimally important difference (MID) on the I-QOL has been determined to be +11 points.

Patients could receive up to 2 treatments with study drug. The second treatment was open-label onabotulinumtoxinA that was administered after fulfillment of prespecified retreatment criteria (i.e., ≥12 weeks since the first treatment; the patient requested retreatment and had ≥2 UI episodes over 3 days and a post-void residual [PVR] urine volume <200 mL). Therefore, the placebo-controlled period (the first 12 weeks of the study) is the primary focus of the analyses in this study.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

This study (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier NCT01600716) was conducted in accordance with the independent ethics committee or institution review board approval at each study site and in compliance with Good Clinical Practice. All patients provided written informed consent.

Patients

Eligible patients were adults (≥18 years old) with clinically stable MS for ≥3 months who had an Expanded Disability Status Scale score of ≤6.5, history of NDO for ≥3 months
with the presence of an involuntary detrusor contraction (IDC) on urodynamic assessment, and ≥3 UI episodes in a 3-day bladder diary at screening. Their NDO symptoms were inadequately managed by an anticholinergic, which was defined as either an inadequate response after ≥4 weeks of therapy or intolerable side effects after 2 weeks of therapy with an optimized dose. Patients taking an anticholinergic at baseline had to maintain a stable dose throughout the study, and those not taking an anticholinergic had to remain off them. Patients were excluded if they had a PVR urine volume of >150 mL at screening or were using CIC to empty the bladder. Patients had to be willing to initiate CIC if necessary. Patients were also excluded if they received previous botulinum toxin therapy for any urologic condition.

**Efficacy and safety assessments**

The primary efficacy endpoint was the mean change from baseline at week 6 (primary time point) in UI episodes per day. Other endpoints included proportions of patients achieving ≥50% and 100% reduction (i.e., “dry” or incontinence free) in UI episodes per day, mean changes from baseline in urgency and micturition episodes, I-QOL total summary and subscale scores, and volume per void. Urodynamic assessments included changes from baseline in mean cystometric capacity (MCC), maximum detrusor pressure (MDP) during the first IDC, and MDP during the storage phase. Duration of effect (time to patient request for retreatment) was also assessed.

Adverse events (AEs) were assessed throughout the study and coded with the Medical Dictionary for Regulatory Activities. PVR urine volume and use of CIC were also assessed. An additional analysis of QOL outcomes was conducted in patients with or without CIC initiation. The AE of urinary retention was defined as a PVR urine volume ≥350 mL regardless of symptoms or PVR urine volume >200 mL with associated symptoms that required CIC in the investigator’s opinion. Residual urine volume was considered an AE if, in the investigator’s opinion, the elevated PVR was clinically significant but did not fulfill the definition for urinary retention. Urinary tract infection (UTI) was defined as a positive urine culture with a bacteriuria count of >10^5 colony-forming units/mL and leukocyturia of >5 per high-powered field, regardless of symptoms. MS exacerbation was defined as the appearance of a new symptom or worsening of an old symptom occurring ≥30 days after an earlier event and consistent with a clinical demyelinating event (i.e., optic neuritis, myelitis, cerebellar, brainstem, and/or focal cerebral dysfunction or definite focal sensory symptoms) that developed in the absence of a fever or infection, with a duration of ≥24 hours. Fatigue or bowel or bladder symptoms alone were not deemed an MS exacerbation. All reports of potential MS exacerbations were reviewed by an independent neurologist blinded to treatment assignment (central reviewer).

**Statistical analysis**

Efficacy outcomes were analyzed in the intent-to-treat population (all randomized patients). AEs were analyzed in the safety population (all patients who received study treatment). On the basis of the phase III trials in patients with NDO, an expected common SD of 9.36 UI episodes in a 3-day diary was estimated from data in patients with MS who were noncatheterizing at baseline on abotulinumtoxinA 200 U and placebo groups. With this estimate, it was determined that a sample size of 65 patients in each group was expected to provide 85% power to detect a between-group difference of 5 episodes in change from baseline in the number of UI episodes in the 3-day bladder diary (or mean of 1.67 UI episodes per day), assuming a 2-sided type I error rate of 0.05. The primary endpoint was assessed with an analysis of covariance model with baseline value as a covariate and treatment and stratification as factors. The Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel method was used to compare the proportions of patients with ≥50% or 100% reduction in UI episodes per day between the treatment groups by adjusting for the stratification factor. Duration of treatment effect was estimated with Kaplan-Meier analysis. All other efficacy endpoints were analyzed similarly to the primary endpoint.

**Primary research question**

Does treatment with a low dose of onabotulinumtoxinA (100 U rather than the approved dose of 200 U for MS) improve urinary symptoms and reduce the need for CIC in noncatheterizing patients with MS and NDO who are inadequately managed by an anticholinergic?

**Classification of evidence**

This study provides Class I evidence that compared with placebo, 100 U onabotulinumtoxinA intradetrusor injections significantly reduce UI and improve QOL in noncatheterizing patients with MS and NDO.

**Data availability**

Data reported in this manuscript are available within the article or its supplementary materials. Additional data from the Safety and Efficacy Study of OnabotulinumtoxinA for the Treatment of Urinary Incontinence Due to Neurogenic Detrusor Overactivity (NDO) in Non-Catheterizing Patients With Multiple Sclerosis (MS) (study 191622-117, NCT01600716) may be requested (allerganclinicaltrials.com/PatientDataRequest.htm).

**Results**

**Patients**

The intent-to-treat population consisted of 144 patients randomized to onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U (n = 66) or placebo (n = 78). Overall, 130 (90.3%) patients completed the study. Discontinuations due to lack of efficacy (3 of 144 [2.1%]) and AEs (2 of 144 [1.4%]) were low (figure e-1, links.lww.com/WNL/A623). Demographic and baseline disease characteristics were similar between treatment groups (table 1).

**Efficacy**

At week 6 after treatment, UI episodes per day were significantly reduced from baseline on onabotulinumtoxinA vs
placebo ($p < 0.001$, figure 1A). Significant decreases in UI episodes with onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U vs placebo were seen as early as week 2 ($p < 0.001$) and continued through week 12 ($p < 0.001$). Significantly higher proportions of patients treated with onabotulinumtoxinA vs those treated with placebo achieved ≥50% reduction ($p < 0.001$) and 100% reduction ($p < 0.001$) in UI episodes per day at week 6 (figure 1B).

Urinary urgency and micturition episodes per day were significantly reduced at week 6 after onabotulinumtoxinA vs placebo ($p < 0.001$, table 2). In addition, onabotulinumtoxinA treatment yielded significantly greater increases in volume per void vs placebo ($p < 0.001$, table 2).

Significant improvements were observed in urodynamic outcomes with onabotulinumtoxinA vs placebo. At week 6, a significantly greater increase from baseline in MCC was demonstrated with onabotulinumtoxinA vs placebo, and significantly greater decreases were seen in MDP during the storage phase and during the first IDC vs placebo ($p < 0.01$, all endpoints, table 2).
A significantly greater improvement in I-QOL total summary score that was >3 times the MID was demonstrated with onabotulinumtoxinA vs placebo at week 6, which was maintained through week 12 (p < 0.001, both time points, figure 2A). Furthermore, significant improvements at week 6 with onabotulinumtoxinA vs placebo were observed across all 3 I-QOL domains (avoidance and limiting behavior, psychosocial impact, and social embarrassment; p < 0.001, all domains), which were also evident at week 12 (p < 0.001, all domains, figure 2, B–D).

The duration of effect (median time to retreatment request) was significantly longer with onabotulinumtoxinA vs placebo (51.7 vs 12.6 weeks, p < 0.001). Therefore, only 45.5% (30 of 66) of patients in the onabotulinumtoxinA group and 85.9% (67 of 78) in the placebo group received treatment 2 with open-label onabotulinumtoxinA (figure e-1, links.lww.com/WNL/A623). In patients receiving treatment 2, significant improvements in urinary symptoms from baseline were seen regardless of original treatment assignment (table e-1, links.lww.com/WNL/A624).

Safety

Treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) during the first 12 weeks after treatment 1 were localized largely to the urinary tract (table 3). The most frequently reported TEAE was UTI, occurring in 25.8% (17 of 66) of the onabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients and 6.4% (5 of 78) of placebo-treated patients (UTIs were symptomatic in 13.6% [9 of 66] and 1.3% [1 of 78] in the onabotulinumtoxinA- and placebo-treated groups, respectively). Recurrent UTIs were observed in the onabotulinumtoxinA-treated group, with 2 episodes in 3 patients and 3 episodes in 2 patients; no recurrent UTIs were reported in the placebo group. Three patients treated with onabotulinumtoxinA were hospitalized for UTI (2 within the first 12 weeks of treatment). No cases of urosepsis were reported. Other TEAEs with onabotulinumtoxinA were residual urine volume (16.7%) and urinary retention (15.2%). Vulvovaginal mycotic infection was reported in 2 onabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients.

CIC due to urinary retention was initiated in 15.2% of patients in the onabotulinumtoxinA group and 2.6% in the placebo group at any time during treatment cycle 1 for a median duration of 64.0 and 2.0 days, respectively. An analysis to determine whether CIC initiation after onabotulinumtoxinA treatment affected QOL outcomes showed that improvements in I-QOL total score were similar in patients with or without CIC use (48.3 vs 39.1) and 3 to 4 times the MID (figure e-2, links.lww.com/WNL/A623).

MS exacerbations were reported in 2 patients in the placebo group and in no patients in the onabotulinumtoxinA group.

The most common AEs in the 12 weeks after treatment 2 were UTI (24.7%), urinary retention (15.5%), bacteriuria (9.3%), residual urine volume (6.2%), and dysuria (4.1%). One patient had an MS exacerbation during treatment 2.

Discussion

In this randomized, placebo-controlled study in noncatheterizing, stable patients with MS with UI due to NDO, treatment with a low dose (100 U) of onabotulinumtoxinA...
resulted in statistically and clinically significant reductions in daily episodes of UI, urgency, micturition, and volume per void compared with placebo. More than half the patients (53%) treated with onabotulinumtoxinA became incontinence free (i.e., “dry”) at week 6 after treatment (primary endpoint). These decreases in urinary symptoms corresponded well with objective measures of bladder function: significant improvements were observed in urodynamic parameters after onabotulinumtoxinA treatment, including increases in MCC (bladder capacity) and lowering of MDP (bladder pressure).

Whereas the registrational phase III studies with 200 U onabotulinumtoxinA have shown similar improvements in urinary symptoms in patients with MS or spinal cord injury and NDO, this study also demonstrated significant improvements in urgency, which was not measured in the earlier studies. Considering that urgency is the most commonly reported and one of the most bothersome urinary symptoms in patients with MS or NDO, this is an important outcome of this study.

The efficacy of onabotulinumtoxinA treatment in this study has practical implications for patients’ daily lives as evidenced by the significant improvements in QOL resulting from reductions in urinary symptoms. Patients achieved improvements in their I-QOL total summary score that met/exceeded the MID at both 6 and 12 weeks after treatment with onabotulinumtoxinA. Notably, additional improvements in specific dimensions of patients’ QOL (i.e., avoidance and limiting behavior, psychosocial impact, and social embarrassment) were observed. Some studies have concluded that bladder dysfunction is one of the key determinants of poor QOL in patients with MS; ≈70% consider urinary symptoms to have moderate to high negative effects on QOL. Given that improving QOL is an important treatment goal in patients with MS, results from this study provide further evidence of the clinical benefits of onabotulinumtoxinA and their positive effect on QOL, particularly at the lower dose of onabotulinumtoxinA.

Another important treatment goal for patients with NDO is the preservation of renal function by maintaining normal detrusor pressures. In the present study, onabotulinumtoxinA significantly reduced MDP during the bladder storage phase and at the first intradetrusor contraction. The clinical implications of this finding are notable because the reduction and maintenance of bladder pressures to <40 cm H₂O during filling is considered a long-term treatment goal for some patients.

While the treatment response to onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U in this study is consistent with the results from the phase III trials of onabotulinumtoxinA 200 U in patients with MS or spinal cord injury, a direct comparison with earlier studies has inherent limitations: the 100-U and 200-U doses were investigated in separate studies and under varying study conditions, including different sites, study timing (before vs after regulatory agency approval of onabotulinumtoxinA), and trial methodologies (e.g., use of a 7- vs 3-day diary for the primary endpoint), all of which would confound a direct comparison. However, while recognizing these limitations, we found that both the 200-U and 100-U doses of onabotulinumtoxinA demonstrated clinically significant efficacy in their respective studies at weeks 2, 6, and 12 after treatment. In the phase III registralional studies, 200 U was shown to be an effective dose in the general NDO population in both catheterizing and noncatheterizing patients with MS or spinal cord injury. Thus, the results from the present study extend these previous findings in the specific subpopulation of noncatheterizing patients with MS, demonstrating that onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U is also an effective and well-tolerated dose in these patients. Most important, the risk of CIC with onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U in this study was lower (15.2%) than the 31.4% CIC rate with onabotulinumtoxinA 200 U among the subset of noncatheterizing patients with MS in the phase III trials.

---

**Table 2** Change from baseline in other endpoints at week 6 (ITT population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Endpoint</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 78)</th>
<th>OnabotA 100 U (n = 66)</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urinary symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency episodes per day</td>
<td>-1.6 (-2.3 to -0.9)</td>
<td>-4.3 (-5.3 to -3.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micturition episodes per day</td>
<td>-0.8 (-1.5 to -0.1)</td>
<td>-2.5 (-3.3 to -1.6)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume per void, mL</td>
<td>5.1 (-7.6 to 17.8)</td>
<td>67.6 (43.4 to 91.7)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Urodynamic parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCC, mL</td>
<td>-1.8 (-23.7 to 20.1)</td>
<td>127.2 (91.8 to 162.5)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDP during storage phase, cm H₂O</td>
<td>-0.5 (-7.9 to 6.9)</td>
<td>-27.8 (-35.2 to -20.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDP during first IDC, cm H₂O</td>
<td>3.7 (-5.7 to 13.0)</td>
<td>-19.6 (-35.1 to -4.0)</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: IDC = involuntary detrusor contraction; ITT = intent-to-treat; MCC = maximum cystometric capacity; MDP = maximum detrusor pressure; OnabotA = onabotulinumtoxinA.

Values represent means (95% confidence intervals).
In this study, most (85%, 56 of 66) patients did not need to initiate CIC and preserved their ability to spontaneously void, which highlights the clinical value offered by a lower dose of onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U as a feasible treatment option for noncatheterizing patients with MS. Despite the inconveniences of catheterization, patients who used CIC have reported improvements in QOL.\textsuperscript{24,25} Indeed, among the 10 patients who initiated CIC after onabotulinumtoxinA treatment in this analysis, I-QOL improvements were substantially greater than with placebo and similar to improvements in those who did not use CIC.

OnabotulinumtoxinA 100 U was well tolerated with no unexpected side effects, and TEAEs were localized primarily to the urinary tract; the most common AEs were UTIs, approximately half of which were symptom free. While UTI rates (including symptomatic, asymptomatic, and recurrent) in the onabotulinumtoxinA treatment group were higher than in the placebo group in this trial, they were slightly lower than that seen in a post hoc analysis of the previous studies in which the rate of UTI with onabotulinumtoxinA 200 U was 33.7% among patients with MS not using CIC at baseline (Allergan plc; data on file). However, it is important for health care providers to communicate potential risks when discussing onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U as a treatment option for patients with MS and NDO.

Dosing and findings reported in this study are specific to onabotulinumtoxinA. This formulation is not interchangeable with other botulinum toxin products, and units cannot be converted with a dose ratio.\textsuperscript{26} This trial demonstrates that in noncatheterizing patients with MS and NDO, treatment with onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U

---

**Figure 2** Effects of onabotulinumtoxinA 100 U vs placebo on quality of life

(A) Mean change from baseline in incontinence–Quality of Life (I-QOL) total summary score. (B) Mean change from baseline in avoidance and limiting behavior. (C) Mean change from baseline in psychosocial impact. (D) Mean change from baseline in social embarrassment. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals; n values denote the numbers of patients with data available at the evaluated time point after OnabotA (onabotulinumtoxinA) treatment. *p < 0.001 vs placebo. MID = minimally important difference (+11 points).
results in significantly and clinically relevant improvements in UI and other urinary symptoms, urodynamics, and QOL with lower CIC and UTI rates than previously reported with onabotulinumtoxinA 200 U.
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**Table 3** TEAEs ≥3% within the first 12 weeks of treatment cycle 1 (safety population)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Events</th>
<th>Placebo (n = 78), n (%)</th>
<th>OnabotA 100 U (n = 66), n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>38 (48.7)</td>
<td>45 (68.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UTI*</td>
<td>5 (6.4)</td>
<td>17 (25.8)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>9 (13.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>4 (5.1)</td>
<td>8 (12.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual urine volume</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>11 (16.7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary retention</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>10 (15.2)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteriuria</td>
<td>4 (5.1)</td>
<td>6 (9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysuria</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>3 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bladder discomfort</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ear infection</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td>5 (6.4)</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal cyst</td>
<td>1 (1.3)</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyturia</td>
<td>4 (5.1)</td>
<td>2 (3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vulvovaginal mycotic infection</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>2 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients initiating CIC at any time during treatment cycle 1, %</td>
<td>2 (2.6)</td>
<td>10 (15.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CIC = clean intermittent catheterization; OnabotA = onabotulinumtoxinA; TEAE = treatment-emergent adverse event; UTI = urinary tract infection.

* Defined as a positive urine culture with a bacteriuria count of >10^5 colony-forming units/mL and leukocyturia of >5 per high-powered field, regardless of symptoms.

* Defined as clinically significant elevated postvoid residual (PVR) urine volume in the investigator’s opinion but did not fulfill the definition for urinary retention.

* Defined as PVR ≥350 mL regardless of symptoms or PVR >200 and <350 mL with associated symptoms that required CIC in the investigator’s opinion.

* Defined as PVR ≥200 and <350 mL.

* Based on female study population only.
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