Blood neurofilament light chain as a biomarker of MS disease activity and treatment response
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Abstract

Objective
To assess the value of blood neurofilament light chain (NfL) as a biomarker of recent, ongoing, and future disease activity and tissue damage and its utility to monitor treatment response in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis.

Methods
We measured NfL in blood samples from 589 patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (from phase 3 studies of fingolimod vs placebo, FREEDOMS and interferon [IFN]-β-1a, TRANSFORMS) and 35 healthy controls and compared NfL levels with clinical and MRI-related outcomes.

Results
At baseline, NfL levels (pg/mL) were higher in patients than in healthy controls (30.5 and 27.0 vs 16.9, \( p = 0.0001 \)) and correlated with T2 lesion load and number of gadolinium-enhancing T1 lesions (\( p < 0.0001 \), both). Baseline NfL levels, treatment, and number of new or enlarging T2 lesions during the studies predicted NfL levels at the end of study (all \( p < 0.01 \)). High vs low baseline NfL levels were associated (estimate [95% confidence interval]) with an increased number of new or enlarging T2 lesions (ratio of mean: 2.64 [1.51–4.60]; \( p = 0.0006 \)), relapses (rate ratio: 2.53 [1.67–3.83]; \( p < 0.0001 \)), brain volume loss (difference in means: –0.78% [–1.02 to –0.54]; \( p < 0.0001 \)), and risk of confirmed disability worsening (hazard ratio: 1.94 [0.97–3.87]; \( p = 0.0605 \)). Fingolimod significantly reduced NfL levels already at 6 months (vs placebo 0.73 [0.656–0.813] and IFN 0.789 [0.704–0.884]), which was sustained until the end of the studies (vs placebo 0.628 [0.552–0.714] and IFN 0.794 [0.705–0.894]; \( p < 0.001 \), both studies at all assessments).

Conclusions
Blood NfL levels are associated with clinical and MRI-related measures of disease activity and neuroaxonal damage and have prognostic value. Our results support the utility of blood NfL as an easily accessible biomarker of disease evolution and treatment response.
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MRI is the current standard to quantitate brain atrophy as a macroscopic reflection of the neuroaxonal damage occurring in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS).1 However, MRI assessments of brain volume loss are difficult to standardize and are retrospective in nature.2 Therefore, there is a need for easier-to-perform and less costly biomarkers suitable for longitudinal monitoring of the disease in clinical trials and in routine clinical practice.

Elevated CSF and blood concentrations of neurofilament light chain (NfL) were found to correlate with an increase in the number of relapses, disability worsening, MRI disease activity, and brain volume loss in MS.3–9 A highly sensitive single molecule array (SIMOA) immunoassay has recently been developed to measure NfL in blood.6,10 Blood NfL measured with SIMOA was shown to strongly correlate with NfL in the CSF of patients with MS.6–9

We therefore assessed NfL as a potential biomarker to monitor MS disease activity and treatment response using blood samples obtained in the course of 2 large, phase 3, randomized controlled clinical trials of fingolimod in relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS). Our objectives were as follows: (1) compare blood NfL concentrations in patients with RRMS to those in healthy controls; (2) assess the relationship of NfL concentrations with patient demographics and MS disease characteristics cross-sectionally; (3) evaluate the effect of fingolimod on blood NfL concentrations compared to placebo or interferon (IFN)-β-1a; and (4) investigate the prognostic potential of blood NfL at baseline for future disease activity and disease worsening.

**Assessments**

In FREEDOMS, blood samples were collected at baseline and months 6, 12, 18, and 24, and in TRANSFORMS, at baseline and months 6 and 12. All available blood samples from consenting patients who received fingolimod 0.5 mg, placebo, or IFN-β-1a were analyzed. We measured the concentrations of NfL in ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid–treated plasma samples using the SIMOA immunoassay described previously.6 Inter-assay coefficients of variation (CVs) for 3 native plasma samples were below 12%. The mean intra-assay CV of duplicate determinations for concentration was 4.9%. Repeat measurements were done for a few samples with intra-assay CVs above 20%. Measurements were performed on coded samples. All laboratory personnel remained blinded to treatment allocation and diagnosis and had no access to clinical data.

**Statistical analysis**

**NfL measurements**

We analyzed data according to the intention-to-treat (ITT) principle and included all available values in the statistical analysis. We summarized NfL concentrations using geometric means (GeoMeans) and compared them between healthy controls and patients with MS using a Wilcoxon rank sum test.

**Demographic and MS disease characteristics related to NfL**

To identify the best explanatory factors of baseline NfL concentrations among baseline MS disease and demographic variables, a multiple linear regression analysis of log(NfL) on 8 candidate variables was conducted: age, sex, disease duration since first symptoms, MS treatment prior to randomization (yes/no), relapses in the past 60 days before the collection of the blood sample (yes/no), Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score at baseline, gadolinium-enhancing (Gd+) lesion count at baseline, and T2 lesion volume at baseline. GeoMean ratios are reported with 95% confidence intervals and p values. For NfL concentrations at end of study (EOS), analogous linear regression analyses were performed whereby the potential baseline explanatory variables “prior MS treatment” and “EDSS” were dropped and “treatment,” “new T2 lesions on study,” and “log-transformed (log) baseline NfL” were included as additional explanatory variables. The underlying assumptions of the linear regression models (linearity, normality, and homoscedasticity) were checked and deemed acceptable in regression diagnostic plots.

**Treatment effect**

The treatment effect of fingolimod on NfL vs placebo in FREEDOMS, or vs IFN-β-1a in TRANSFORMS, was...
visualized in line plots with GeoMeans and their 95% confidence intervals, which were obtained from a mixed model for repeated measurements with log(NfL) as the response variable and with adjustments for treatment, age, and log(baseline NfL). The model further included visit-by-treatment and visit-by-log(baseline NfL) interactions. An unstructured covariance matrix was used. Model assumptions were checked in regression diagnostic plots and deemed acceptable.

A sensitivity analysis using multiple regression models of log(NfL) with adjustments for treatment, age, and log(baseline NfL) fit by time point provided similar results.

**Prognostic potential of baseline NfL concentrations for on-study activity and disease worsening**

To investigate the prognostic potential of NfL on MS disease activity and worsening, patients were grouped by treatment and NfL category to quantify and illustrate MS outcomes within distinct subgroups of patients. Patients from the 2-year FREEDOMS study were categorized into 3 groups based on their baseline NfL concentration: low, <30 pg/mL; medium, 30–60 pg/mL; and high, >60 pg/mL. The cutoff at 30 pg/mL corresponds to the GeoMean in patients with relapsing MS in our dataset and is approximately twice as high as the value seen in healthy controls; the cutoff at 60 pg/mL corresponds to approximately twice the GeoMean in patients with relapsing MS. Descriptive statistics for each group were calculated for each endpoint. The number of new or enlarging T2 lesions, and the annualized relapse rate were both analyzed in negative binomial models, the annualized rate of brain volume change (measured using SIENA [Structural Image Evaluation, Using Normalization, of Atrophy] as previously described) in a linear regression model, and time to 3-month confirmed disability worsening (CDW) in a Cox proportional hazard model. We adjusted each model for treatment and NfL category at baseline and for additional covariates (detailed later). In a second step, we expanded each model by a treatment-by-NfL category interaction to test whether the NfL effect varies across treatment arms and whether the treatment effect depends on NfL category.

**Data availability**

Anonymized data not published within this article will be made available by request from any qualified investigator.

**Results**

**Baseline characteristics**

Blood samples of 269 patients from the FREEDOMS trial and 320 patients from the TRANSFORMS trial were available for this analysis, reflecting 23% of the ITT population of these trials. Availability of samples was exclusively related to the patients’ informed consent for this biomarker study and unrelated to clinical study outcomes. Baseline demographic and disease characteristics of the patients who contributed to this analysis were similar across treatment groups and to the overall randomized population of the respective trials. The analyzed population was young (mean [SD] age was 37.1 [8.6] and 36.5 [8.2] years) and predominately comprised women (69.1% and 67.2% of the total analysis population). The mean (SD) disease duration since first symptom was 8.1 (6.1) and 7.8 (6.4) years for FREEDOMS and TRANSFORMS, respectively. The patients on average experienced 2.1 (1.2) and 2.2 (1.3) number of relapses in the last 2 years before randomization to the respective trials. The mean (SD) EDSS score was 2.5 (1.3) and 2.2 (1.3), mean number of Gd+ lesions were 1.5 (3.6) and 0.9 (2.6), mean T2 lesion volume (cm³) was 6.732 (7.535) and 4.829 (5.842), and the normalized brain volume (cm³) was 1,512 (85.3) and 1,526 (75.3).

**Figure 1** Baseline NfL concentrations in patients with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis and healthy controls

The box represents the interquartile range, with the median represented by the line in the center; n = number of patients with evaluable data. The black dot represents the GeoMean value and the whiskers indicate the 10th and 90th percentiles. Dotted line represents plasma NfL (pg/mL, median) concentrations in healthy controls. The p Values are based on a Wilcoxon rank sum test. GeoMean = geometric mean; Max = maximum; Min = minimum; NfL = neurofilament light chain.
Association of NfL at baseline with other baseline disease characteristics

At baseline, patients had significantly higher blood NfL concentrations (FREEDOMS 30.5 pg/mL, TRANSFORMS 27.0 pg/mL) than healthy controls (16.9 pg/mL, p = 0.0001 in both trials; figure 1). Patients who switched from IFN or glatiramer acetate directly to TRANSFORMS had lower NfL values than treatment-naive patients (28.6 vs 34.5 pg/mL, p = 0.0379); there was no statistically significant difference of NfL levels between previously treated and treatment-naive patients in FREEDOMS (31.1 vs 32.7 pg/mL, p = 0.5366; table 1).

In a multiple linear regression model, which included 8 potential explanatory variables, high baseline NfL concentrations were strongly associated with high baseline T2 lesion volume and the presence of Gd+ T1 lesions (table 1). In patients with very low T2 lesion volume (<0.8 cm³), NfL concentrations were similar to the levels of healthy controls. NfL concentrations increased gradually with higher baseline T2 lesion volume (figure 2). Per cubic-centimeter increase in T2 lesion volume, NfL levels increased by 2.7% (FREEDOMS) and 3.9% (TRANSFORMS).

In both studies, NfL concentrations were higher in patients with Gd+ lesions at baseline compared with those free of Gd+ lesions (FREEDOMS: 40.9 vs 24.9 pg/mL, p < 0.0001; TRANSFORMS: 38.2 vs 25.8 pg/mL, p < 0.0001). The adjusted NfL concentrations were 64% (p < 0.0001, FREEDOMS) and 48% (p < 0.0001, TRANSFORMS) higher in patients with Gd+ lesions than in patients without Gd+ lesions (table 1). Adjusted NfL values ranged from 22.9 pg/mL in patients without Gd+ lesions to 75.5 pg/mL in patients with more than 3 Gd+ lesions in FREEDOMS; in TRANSFORMS, the corresponding values were 22.8 and 62.2 pg/mL, respectively (p < 0.0001, both trials) (figure 3). Patients without Gd+ lesions at baseline also had significantly higher NfL concentrations than healthy controls (p = 0.0014; pooled trial cohorts). Association of baseline NfL

### Table 1 Relationship between NfL levels and MS characteristics at baseline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease parameter</th>
<th>FREEDOMS (n = 269)</th>
<th>TRANSFORMS (n = 320)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GeoMean NfL, pg/mL</td>
<td>GeoMean ratio (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>0.997 (0.987–1.006)</td>
<td>0.5005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of disease since first symptoms, y</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.982 (0.969–0.996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior MS treatment</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>32.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yesb</td>
<td>31.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapses in past 60 d</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>31.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS score</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>40.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; Gd+ = gadolinium-enhancing; GeoMean = geometric mean; MS = multiple sclerosis; NfL = neurofilament light chain plasma level.

All estimates are from a multiple linear regression model of log(NfL) on 8 explanatory parameters: (1) duration of disease since first symptoms, (2) prior MS treatment, (3) relapses in the past 60 days prior to study entry, (4) presence of Gd+ lesions on the screening scan, (5) baseline T2 lesion volume, (6) age, (7) sex, and (8) baseline EDSS score. The interpretation of the relationship of each parameter and NfL is after adjusting for all other variables in the multiple regression model. For qualitative explanatory parameters, the GeoMean ratio represents a multiplier of the GeoMean NfL when changing from one category of the explanatory parameter to the next. For continuous explanatory parameters, the GeoMean ratio represents the extent of change in NfL levels when the corresponding explanatory parameter increases by 1 unit.

*p < 0.05 signifies a significant relationship between the GeoMean NfL (pg/mL) and the explanatory parameter.

b Previous treatment with glatiramer acetate or interferon-β. In FREEDOMS, patients who were previously treated with interferon-β and glatiramer acetate had to stop this treatment 3 months before baseline, while in TRANSFORMS, they could be included without prior washout.
concentration with disease duration was only significant in FREEDOMS and the presence of recent relapses or a prior disease-modifying treatment in TRANSFORMS; we did not find significant associations of baseline NfL concentrations with age, sex, and EDSS score at baseline (table 1).

**Patient and disease parameters predictive of NfL at EOS**

The strongest prognostic factor of high NfL at EOS was a high NfL at baseline ($p < 0.0001$ in both trials; table 2). Independent of treatment, patients with high NfL levels at baseline had higher NfL levels at EOS than patients with low NfL concentrations at baseline. Moreover, occurrence of new or enlarging T2 lesions during the studies was also associated with higher NfL at EOS ($p < 0.001$, both trials). Fingolimod treatment significantly reduced NfL levels at EOS compared with placebo or IFN-$\beta$-1a ($p < 0.01$, both trials). Older patients had higher NfL concentrations at EOS in FREEDOMS ($p = 0.0002$); however, this association could not be confirmed in the TRANSFORMS study ($p = 0.1239$). All other variables (sex, disease duration, relapses in the past 60 days before the NfL sampling date at EOS, the number of Gd+ lesions at baseline, and T2 lesion volume) had no additional explanatory value for the EOS NfL concentration (table 2).

**NfL is sensitive to treatment**

In the first NfL assessment, 6 months after start of study treatment, blood NfL concentrations in the fingolimod group were significantly lower compared with both placebo and IFN-$\beta$-1a (figure 4, A and B). In FREEDOMS, blood NfL concentrations in the fingolimod group decreased by 35.4% at month 6 relative to baseline (30.6–19.6 pg/mL) and by 43% at month 24 (31.4–18.0 pg/mL), whereas in the placebo group, the reduction was 9% (29.1–26.7 pg/mL) and 4% (28.2–26.9 pg/mL), respectively. In TRANSFORMS, blood NfL concentrations in the fingolimod arm decreased by 36% (28.5–18.4 pg/mL) at month 6, and by 39% at month 12 (28.2–17.1 pg/mL). The corresponding reductions in the IFN-$\beta$-1a arm were 14% (24.8–21.5 pg/mL) and 17% (24.9–20.7 pg/mL). By EOS, NfL levels in fingolimod-treated patients were approaching those of healthy controls (16.9 pg/mL) in both trials.
We investigated the prognostic value of 3 categories of baseline blood NfL concentrations for on-study lesion formation, relapse activity, brain volume loss, and 3-month CDW within 24 months in the FREEDOMS trial (table 3). Irrespective of treatment, patients with high blood NfL concentrations (>60 pg/mL) at baseline compared with those with low baseline NfL concentrations (<30 pg/mL) had 2.6 times more new or enlarging T2 lesions (difference: 164%), 2.5 times more MS relapses (difference: 153%), 2.9 times more brain volume loss (difference: 195%) (all \( p < 0.001 \)), and had a 1.9 times higher risk of 3-month CDW (\( p = 0.0605 \)). Although fingolimod significantly reduced all these outcomes, there was no significant treatment-by-NfL category interaction, suggesting that the prognostic value of NfL is applicable in both placebo- and fingolimod-treated patients, and that the treatment effects of fingolimod were consistent in all NfL categories.

**Prognostic potential of baseline NfL for future disease activity and worsening**

We investigated the prognostic value of 3 categories of baseline blood NfL concentrations for on-study lesion formation, relapse activity, brain volume loss, and 3-month CDW within 24 months in the FREEDOMS trial (table 3). Irrespective of treatment, patients with high blood NfL concentrations (>60 pg/mL) at baseline compared with those with low baseline NfL concentrations (<30 pg/mL) had 2.6 times more new or enlarging T2 lesions (difference: 164%), 2.5 times more MS relapses (difference: 153%), 2.9 times more brain volume loss (difference: 195%) (all \( p < 0.001 \)), and had a 1.9 times higher risk of 3-month CDW (\( p = 0.0605 \)). Although fingolimod significantly reduced all these outcomes, there was no significant treatment-by-NfL category interaction, suggesting that the prognostic value of NfL is applicable in both placebo- and fingolimod-treated patients, and that the treatment effects of fingolimod were consistent in all NfL categories.

**Discussion**

In this study, we measured plasma NfL levels using the highly sensitive SIMOA method in a large representative sample set of patients with RRMS, participating in 2 controlled, phase 3 trials. This setting not only allowed assessment of the relative effects of fingolimod treatment vs placebo and IFN-\( \beta \)-1a in a parallel randomized design, but it also provided the opportunity to study the relation of this specific marker of...
Although patients with enhancing lesions had higher NfL levels, NfL levels in patients without Gd+ lesions were still significantly higher than levels in healthy individuals. This finding suggests that NfL levels also reflect ongoing neuronal damage and loss independent of detectable inflammatory activity, possibly occurring in normal-appearing gray and white matter. This assumption is further supported by the correlation between NfL levels and an objective measure of neuroaxonal damage, brain and spinal cord volume loss in a recent study by our group, and by the predictive value of baseline NfL for brain volume loss after 2 years on study in the FREEDOMS trial. Together, these results suggest that NfL in blood is likely to reflect an integral measure of recent and ongoing neuronal damage (over weeks, and possibly months). This neuronal damage not only relates to lesions in both white matter and gray matter regions but also the extralesional (normal-appearing) cortical gray matter MS pathology that manifests as reduction in density of both neurons and oligodendroglia in areas outside lesions.

The high correlation of plasma NfL levels with lesional MRI activity observed in this study is in accordance with the results of a recent observational study in which patients with high numbers of T2 or contrast-enhancing lesions in brain or spinal cord had higher serum NfL levels. This correlation of MRI activity with a specific marker of neuroaxonal damage adds to our understanding of the clinical-radiologic paradox in MS—the low correlation of MRI activity with clinical disability. The identification of T2 lesion load and presence of Gd+ lesions as strong predictors of later brain volume loss has already informed the debate about the relevance of MRI activity but less convincingly because brain volume is also dependent on water content and therefore confounded by inflammatory edema and its resolution. Because of their specificity for neurons, increased NfL levels confirm that active inflammatory lesions are associated with neuroaxonal damage. The reasons for their low correlation with clinical disability must therefore primarily be sought in compensation and repair. Neuropathologic studies showing a higher rate of axonal damage not just in lesions but also in normal-appearing white matter and gray matter regions but also the extralesional (normal-appearing) cortical gray matter MS pathology that manifests as reduction in density of both neurons and oligodendroglia in areas outside lesions.

NfL levels at baseline had a prognostic value for future on-study disease activity and progression on a group level. Irrespective of treatment allocation, in the FREEDOMS study, categorization of NfL levels into high vs low significantly increased the risk of new/enlarging T2 lesions, relapses, and accelerated brain volume loss over 2 years.

Figure 4 Effect of fingolimod on NfL levels in blood. (A) compared with placebo, FREEDOMS study; (B) compared with interferon-β-1a, TRANSFORMS study.

The figure shows geometric means of NfL with 95% confidence intervals and statistical tests from mixed models for repeated measurements of post-baseline NfL. Dotted line represents plasma NfL (pg/mL, geometric mean) concentrations in healthy controls. **p < 0.0001. n = number of patients with evaluable data. NfL = neurofilament light chain.
Association with CDW was not significant but in the same direction. A small study in serum and 2 studies in CSF also described the prognostic value of NfL for later brain volume loss. This has also recently been confirmed and extended to spinal cord volume loss in a large observational study. However, further research is needed to understand whether NfL may allow treatment decisions on an individual patient basis.

In contrast to 3 recent studies that reported treatment effects of disease-modifying therapies on blood NfL levels in observational settings, the parallel controlled study design of FREEDOMS and TRANSFORMS allowed controlling for potential spontaneous remission (“regression to the mean”) and therefore provided a reliable estimate of fingolimod’s effect. In both of these parallel controlled studies, fingolimod treatment was associated with significantly lower NfL levels than the comparators, placebo, or IFN-β-1a, and this effect was seen from the first measurement at 6 months until the end of the studies.

We have shown that blood NfL levels are closely related to clinical and MRI measures in patients with RRMS, which

### Table 3 Clinical and MRI-related outcomes at month 24 by treatment and baseline NfL category (FREEDOMS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease outcome at EOS</th>
<th>NFL category at baseline, pg/mL</th>
<th>Treatment effect, fingolimod vs placebo</th>
<th>NFL effect, high vs low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low &lt;30 (n = 148)</td>
<td>Medium 30-60 (n = 68)</td>
<td>High &gt;60 (n = 40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of new/ enlarging T2 lesions, mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingolimod</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual relapse rate, mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingolimod</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annualized rate of brain atrophy, %, mean</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingolimod</td>
<td>−0.362</td>
<td>−0.583</td>
<td>−1.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>−0.502</td>
<td>−0.954</td>
<td>−1.362</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to CDW, month 24 Kaplan-Meier estimate, %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fingolimod</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placebo</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>39.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CDW = confirmed disability worsening; CI = confidence interval; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; EOS = end of study; NFL = neurofilament light chain; %Diff = percentage difference. Outcome shown in each category is raw mean. The % change, estimates, and 95% CI with p values are from statistical models. The number of new or enlarging T2 lesions and the annual relapse rate were analyzed in negative binomial models, the annualized rate of brain atrophy (%) in a multiple linear regression model, and time to 3-month CDW in a Cox proportional hazard model. The main effect estimates and p values for treatment and NFL levels are from a statistical model with the mentioned covariates; the p value for the treatment-by-NFL interaction is from an extended model with interaction term. The % change for the “treatment effect” and the corresponding p value refer to the relative change in the disease outcome in fingolimod-treated patients as compared with placebo when adjusting for the NFL category and all other covariates in the model. The % change for the “NFL effect” and the corresponding p value refer to the relative change in the disease outcome in “high” vs “low” NFL when adjusting for treatment and all other covariates in the respective model. All % change estimates are directly from the statistical model (rate ratio or hazard ratio minus 1, expressed as a percentage), with the exception of the annualized rate of brain atrophy. For the annualized rate of brain atrophy, the difference of mean is calculated from least squares mean (data not shown). The % difference was estimated; difference of means divided by the least squares mean in the reference category (placebo or NFL “low” category). Treatment × NFL: a nonsignificant interaction term means that the NFL effect is applicable for both treatment arms, and the fingolimod treatment effect is applicable across all baseline NFL categories.

* Significant.
capture features of acute disease activity, worsening of disability, and tissue loss. In contrast to imaging markers, it is feasible to measure blood NfL serially, with minimal burden to the patient. NfL levels in blood appear suitable to monitor disease activity and drug response in real time. In the future, with the availability of a normative database containing reference ranges for NfL levels that take into account the age-dependent increase of blood NfL in healthy controls and more data about the effects of comorbidities, NfL could also inform treatment decisions at the individual level.
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