Evaluation of seizure treatment in anti-LGI1, anti-NMDAR, and anti-GABA\textsubscript{B}R encephalitis
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Abstract

Objective
This nationwide cohort study evaluates seizure responses to immunotherapy and antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) in patients with anti-leucine-rich glioma-inactivated 1 (LGI1), anti-NMDA receptor (NMDAR), and anti-gamma-aminobutyric-acid B receptor (GABA\textsubscript{B}R) encephalitis.

Methods
Anti-LGI1, anti-NMDAR, and anti-GABA\textsubscript{B}R encephalitis patients with new-onset seizures were included. Medical information about disease course, AEDs and immunotherapies used, effects, and side effects were collected. Outcome measures were (1) seizure freedom while using AEDs or immunotherapy, (2) days to seizure freedom from start of AEDs or immunotherapy, and (3) side effects.

Results
Of 153 patients with autoimmune encephalitis (AIE) (53 LGI1, 75 NMDAR, 25 GABA\textsubscript{B}R), 72\% (n = 110) had epileptic seizures, and 89\% reached seizure freedom. At least 53\% achieved seizure freedom shortly after immunotherapy, and 14\% achieved seizure freedom while using only AEDs (p < 0.0001). This effect was similar in all types (p = 0.0001; p = 0.0005; p = 0.013, respectively). Median time to seizure freedom from AEDs start was 59 days (interquartile range [IQR] 27–160), and 28 days from start of immunotherapy (IQR 9–71, p < 0.0001). Side effects were psychotic behavior and suicidal thoughts by the use of levetiracetam, and rash by the use of carbamazepine. Carbamazepine was more effective than levetiracetam in reducing seizures in anti-LGI1 encephalitis (p = 0.031). Only 1 patient, of 86 surviving patients, developed epilepsy after resolved encephalitis.

Conclusion
Epilepsy after resolved encephalitis was rare in our cohort of patients with AIE treated with immunotherapy. In addition, seizure freedom is achieved faster and more frequently after immunotherapy. Therefore, AEDs should be considered as add-on treatment, and similar to treatment of other encephalitis symptoms, immunotherapy is crucial.
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Glossary

AED = antiepileptic drug; AIE = autoimmune encephalitis; FBDS = faciobrachial dystonic seizures; GABA_BR = gamma-aminobutyric acid B-receptor; GAD65 = glutamic acid decarboxylase 65; HLA = human leukocyte antigen; ILAE = International League Against Epilepsy; IQR = interquartile range; LGI1 = leucine-rich glioma-inactivated 1; mRS = modified Rankin Scale; NMDAR = NMDA receptor; VGKC = voltage-gated potassium channel.

The discovery of NMDA receptor (NMDAR) antibodies1 has led to the description of several other antibodies to extracellular neuronal antigens. Binding of these antibodies leads to cerebral dysfunction, which often manifests as limbic encephalitis characterized by cognitive decline, behavioral changes, and seizures. Seizures occur most frequently in autoimmune encephalitis (AIE) with leucine-rich glioma-inactivated 1 (LGI1),2 NMDAR antibodies,3 and gamma-aminobutyric-acid B receptor (GABA_BR) antibodies.4

The description of seizures in AIE has led to a new field of interest in epileptology with challenging issues in diagnosis and treatment. Concerning diagnosis, patients can present with seizures without other notable encephalitis signs,5–7 leading to diagnostic difficulties and treatment delay. Treatment delay is associated with a poorer outcome.3 Therefore, it is essential to consider an autoimmune etiology in presence of specific clinical clues. Moreover, faciobrachial dystonic seizures (FBDS)2 are considered pathognomonic for anti-LGI1 encephalitis. Alternatively, the subacute onset of drug-resistant seizures might be a common, but indistinguishative, feature.

Another challenging issue is to achieve seizure freedom rapidly. Seizures often seem unresponsive to antiepileptic drugs (AEDs), while responses to immunotherapy are considered good. Nevertheless, seizure freedom is not always achieved while using immunotherapy alone and AEDs are sometimes needed as well.

The overall efficacy of AEDs in these patients and whether any particular AEDs should be preferred is unclear. Therefore, the aim of this nationwide observational cohort study was to evaluate the responses to AEDs and immunotherapy in these syndromes, including safety, and to describe the risk for epilepsy after resolved encephalitis.

Methods

Patients

The department of neurology of the Erasmus MC University Medical Center is the national referral site for patients with suspected AIE and the department of immunology is the national referral site for antineuronal antibody testing. We identified all Dutch adults and children with AIE with LGI1, NMDAR, or GABA_BR antibodies. Patients were identified between August 1999 and May 2017, although 78% were identified after 2010. Antibodies were detected in serum or in CSF and confirmed with both cell-based assay and immunohistochemistry.8 Patients with new-onset seizures during their active disease course were included.

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

The medical ethics committee of the Erasmus MC University Medical Center approved this study. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Seizures

Medical information about disease course, seizure type, status epilepticus, types of AEDs and immunotherapies used, and side effects of the different treatments were collected during a visit to our clinic (n = 77), from interviews with patients and relatives by phone (n = 27), and from medical files (n = 49). Clinical characteristics, including all encephalitis signs, of a part of the patients have been published before.9,10 To provide an overview of the clinical signs, we allocated patients into 2 groups: epileptic seizures plus and encephalitis. No patients had only epileptic seizures without any other neurologic symptoms at thorough examination. Epileptic seizures plus contained the patients with prominent seizures and only subtle other encephalitis signs, which were initially unrecognized or considered side effects of AEDs. Examples are mild cognitive complaints, behavioral disorders, or subtle movement disorders. Limbic encephalitis was defined as an encephalitis with predominant clinical involvement of the limbic system (short-term memory loss, difficulty forming new memories, behavioral disorder) or MRI fluid-attenuated inversion recovery/T2 abnormalities in the medial temporal lobes.11

The guidelines and new epilepsy classification of the International League Against Epilepsy (ILAE) were used to define epileptic seizures,12 status epilepticus,13 and drug-resistant epileptic seizures,12,13,16 and to classify seizures.12,13,16 Epileptic seizures with an immune etiology were defined as at least 2 seizures, not provoked by other factors, occurring more than 24 hours apart resulting directly from an immune disorder, and with evidence of autoimmune-mediated CNS inflammation.12,16 Drug-resistant epileptic seizures were defined as failure to achieve seizure freedom, despite treatment with 2 tolerated, adequately dosed AEDs. Seizures were classified as focal or tonic–clonic. Moreover, focal seizures were classified as seizures with or without impaired awareness. FBDS were defined as frequent attacks (>8/d) with a dystonic posture of the arm, often combined with a facial contraction, lasting less than 30 seconds.2 Refractory status epilepticus was defined as status...
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Effectivity of AEDs was scored as ineffective, some effect, seizure freedom, or unknown effect. As this was no formal prospective study, some effects were difficult to assess precisely, and we could therefore not use frequently used variables like 50% seizure reduction. We only scored some effect when it was noted specifically as a considerable reduction. Level of functioning was measured with the modified Rankin Scale (mRS).17

Primary outcome measures were (1) seizure freedom achieved while using AEDs and while using immunotherapy, (2) days to seizure freedom from start of AEDs and from start of immunotherapy, (3) development of epilepsy after resolved encephalitis, and (4) reported side effects.

Statistics
Comparisons between 2 groups were performed with the Mann-Whitney U test (days to seizure freedom after start of epileptic seizures). Comparisons between multiple groups were performed with the Kruskal-Wallis test (age at onset, days to seizures after disease onset), the Fisher-Freeman-Halton test (comparing effects of different AEDs), and the one-way analysis of variance (sex, seizures presenting symptom, type of seizures at presentation and during disease course, and [refractory] status epilepticus).

The chances to achieve seizure freedom (during first disease episode) were compared by McNemar test, only in patients using both AED and immunotherapy before seizure freedom to avoid confounding by indication. For each patient individually, achievement of seizure freedom after the different treatments is shown visually in the figures. McNemar test was also used to compare AED treatment responses in patients receiving multiple AEDs. The Wilcoxon signed rank test was used to compare the days to seizure freedom from start of AEDs and from start of immunotherapy. For this test only responses of patients who were treated with both AEDs and immunotherapy before seizure freedom were evaluated.

Values below 0.05 were considered significant. We used SPSS 21.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) for Windows and Prism7 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA) for Windows for statistical analysis.

Data availability statement
Any data not published within this article are available at the Erasmus MC University Medical Center. Patient-related data will be shared upon request from any qualified investigator, maintaining anonymization of the individual patients.

Results
Patient and seizure characteristics
We identified 153 patients with AIE, including 53 patients with LGI1 antibodies, 75 patients with NMDAR antibodies, and 25 patients with GABAβR antibodies. Among these cases, 72% of patients (n = 110) had epileptic seizures with an immune origin (87% LGI1, 57% NMDAR, 84% GABAβR), while 14 additional patients (9%) had only one seizure. Table 1 shows seizure characteristics per antibody. Patients with NMDAR antibodies were younger (p < 0.0001) and only in this group there was a female predominance (p < 0.0001). Fourteen patients were categorized as having epileptic seizures plus (10/46 [22%] with LGI1 antibodies, 4/43 [9%] with NMDAR antibodies, and 0/21 with GABAβR antibodies); the others had limbic encephalitis or panencephalitis.

FBDS only occurred in patients with LGI1 antibodies (53%). All patients with GABAβR antibodies had tonic-clonic seizures, compared to 55% of patients with LGI1 antibodies (p = 0.0002), and 79% of patients with NMDAR antibodies. Status epilepticus occurred frequently (n = 38, 34%), in particular in patients with GABAβR antibodies (62%, p = 0.006), of whom 26 (68%) had a refractory status epilepticus. Five patients (4%) died during status epilepticus.

Median follow-up time from onset of seizures was 27 months (interquartile range [IQR] 15–49, range 0–149 months); 24 patients had died (22%). Twenty-five patients (23%) had a relapse of the encephalitis; among them, 76% again had seizures (10 LGI1, 5 NMDAR, 4 GABAβR). At last follow-up, 66% of patients had an mRS of 0–2 (LGI1 78%, NMDAR 74%, GABAβR 24%).

Seizure treatment
Of all 110 patients with new-onset epileptic seizures with an immune origin, 91% were treated with 1 or more AEDs (LGI1 80%, NMDAR 98%, GABAβR 100%). The median delay between seizure onset and start of AEDs was 3 days (IQR 0–31). This delay was higher in patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis (median 64 days, IQR 0–178, p < 0.0001). During their disease course, patients were treated with a median of 2 AEDs (IQR 1–3, range 0–9). Moreover, 71 patients (65%) were treated with 2 or more AEDs. AEDs were continued for a median period of 8 months after diagnosis (IQR 4–18, range 0–102 months).

Most patients were treated with immunotherapy (92%), all but one with first-line immunotherapy (combination of methylprednisolone or IV immunoglobulins or plasmapheresis), and 17% with additional second-line immunotherapy (rituximab or cyclophosphamide; table 2). The patients not treated with immunotherapy received only AEDs (n = 9). Twenty-one percent of patients were treated with chronic immunotherapy, including azathioprine (n = 15) or mycophenolate (n = 8); of them, 19 (83%) had LGI1 antibodies. Fifteen of 19 anti-LGI1 patients were treated with chronic immunotherapy after the initial episode. Two of these anti-
LG11 patients (13%) developed a relapse, necessitating adaptation of the chronic immunotherapy. Thirty-one anti-LGI1 patients did not receive chronic immunotherapy after the initial episode. Of these, 11 developed a relapse (35%). Four patients had only started chronic immunotherapy after relapse. One of these 4 patients developed multiple relapses that halted after administration of rituximab.

The majority of patients with anti-NMDAR and anti-GABA9R encephalitis were treated with both AEDs and immunotherapy (NMDAR 93%, GABA9R 81%). This percentage tended to be lower in patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis (71%, \( p = 0.051 \)). Among anti-LGI1 encephalitis patients, more were treated with immunotherapy (91%) than with AEDs (80%). The median treatment delay between symptom onset and start of immunotherapy was 30 days (IQR 11–93), which was highest in the anti-LGI1 group (median of 96 days, IQR 48–290, \( p < 0.0001 \)). Patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis and focal seizures had a longer treatment delay (\( p = 0.007 \)) than patients without focal seizures, while this delay was not observed in patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis and FBDS (\( p = 0.20 \)).

### Seizure freedom and treatment effects

Figures 1–3 visualize timelines of all patients with epileptic seizures per antibody. Seizure freedom was achieved in 89% of all 110 patients. Of these 98 patients, 14% (\( n = 14 \)) achieved seizure freedom while using only AEDs, while in 52 patients seizure freedom was achieved while using AEDs and immunotherapy.

---

**Table 1** Patient and seizure characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LG11 (n = 46/53)</th>
<th>NMDAR (n = 43/75)</th>
<th>GABA9R (n = 21/25)</th>
<th>( p ) Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td>30 (65)</td>
<td>7 (16)</td>
<td>10 (48)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tumor</strong></td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>10 (23)</td>
<td>14 (67)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median age at onset, y</strong></td>
<td>65 (58–69, 9–84)</td>
<td>20 (16–30, 3–73)</td>
<td>64 (56–75, 43–78)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median days to seizures after disease onset</strong></td>
<td>0 (0–31, 0–365)</td>
<td>0 (0–14, 0–151)</td>
<td>0 (0–3, 0–37)</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Seizures presenting symptom</strong></td>
<td>28 (61)</td>
<td>21 (48)</td>
<td>16 (76)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of seizures at presentation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal seizures</td>
<td>22 (48)</td>
<td>13 (33)</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faciobrachial dystonic seizures</td>
<td>15 (32)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonic-clonic seizures</td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
<td>29 (67)</td>
<td>16 (76)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of seizures during disease course</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focal seizures</td>
<td>39 (83)</td>
<td>32 (74)</td>
<td>8 (38)</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With impaired awareness</td>
<td>28 (72)</td>
<td>14 (42)</td>
<td>7 (88)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without impaired awareness</td>
<td>15 (38)</td>
<td>18 (55)</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td>0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (51)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autonomic</td>
<td>10 (26)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensory</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (13)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBDS</td>
<td>25 (53)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonic-clonic seizures</td>
<td>26 (55)</td>
<td>34 (79)</td>
<td>21 (100)</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status epilepticus</td>
<td>10 (22)</td>
<td>15 (35)</td>
<td>13 (62)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory status epilepticus</td>
<td>7/46 (15)</td>
<td>9/43 (21)</td>
<td>10/21 (48)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapses</td>
<td>14 (30)</td>
<td>6 (14)</td>
<td>5 (24)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapses with seizures</td>
<td>10/46 (22)</td>
<td>5/43 (12)</td>
<td>4/21 (19)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: FBDS = faciobrachial dystonic seizures; GABA9R = gamma-aminobutyric acid B-receptor; LG11 = leucine-rich glioma-inactivated 1; NMDAR = NMDA receptor.

Values are n (%) or interquartile range (range).

* Tumors: Anti-LGI1 encephalitis: 1 patient had a thymoma, 1 patient a mesothelioma, and 1 patient rectal carcinoma in situ (detected 2 months before onset of neurologic disease). Anti-NMDAR encephalitis: 8 patients had ovarian teratoma, 1 patient Merkel cell carcinoma, and 1 patient renal oncocytoma. Anti-GABA9R encephalitis: All 14 patients had a small cell lung carcinoma.
Table 2 Overview of all patients treated with immunotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Treatmenta</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LG1 (n = 42/46)</td>
<td>Oral prednisone only</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVMP only</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVMP + oral prednisone</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVIg only</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVMP + IVIg</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVIg + oral prednisone</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVMP + IVIg + oral prednisone</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVIg + oral steroids + Plasmapheresis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVIg + RTX</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVMP + IVIg + RTX</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVMP + oral prednisone + IVIg + RTX</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVMP + Plasmapheresis + RTX</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVMP + IVIg + Plasmapheresis + RTX</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IVIg + RTX + Cyclosporine</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Suggested treatment (since early 2015): IVMP (5 d, 1,000 mg) + IVIg (5 d, 0.4 g/kg) + prednisone (start 60 mg/d) + azathioprine 2 × 75 mg/d. If insufficient, RTX might be added.

| NMDAR (n = 41/43) | IVMP only | 7 (2 OT and resection) | 17 |
|                   | IVMP + oral prednisone | 2 | 5 |
|                   | IVMP + IVIg | 11 (3 OT and resection) | 27 |
|                   | IVMP + IVIg + oral prednisone | 5 | 12 |
|                   | IVMP + IVIg + Plasmapheresis | 3 | 7 |
|                   | IVIg + oral steroids + Plasmapheresis | 1 | 2 |
|                   | IVIg + RTX | 1 | 2 |
|                   | IVMP + IVIg + RTX | 2 | 5 |
|                   | IVMP + oral prednisone + IVIg + RTX | 1 | 2 |
|                   | IVMP + Plasmapheresis + RTX | 1 | 2 |
|                   | IVMP + IVIg + Plasmapheresis + RTX | 1 | 2 |
|                   | IVMP + IVIg + RTX + Cyclosporine | 6 | 15 |

Suggested treatment (since early 2014): IVMP (5 d, 1,000 mg) + IVIg (5 d, 0.4 g/kg)
If effective, IVMP is repeated after 4 and 8 wk
If ineffective, second-line immunotherapy RTX (1,000 mg, 2 courses, 14 d apart + Cyclo (15 mg/kg, 3 courses, 14 d apart, continued 500 mg/14 d or 1,000 mg/28 d). In children, RTX only is preferred

| GABABR (n = 18/21) | IVMP only | 4 (1 SCLC, chemo) | 22 |
|                    | IVMP + oral prednisone | 3 (1 SCLC, chemo) | 17 |
|                    | IVMP + IVIg | 5 (4 SCLC, chemo [n = 4], radiation [n = 2], resection [n = 1]) | 28 |
|                    | IVMP + IVIg + oral prednisone | 4 (1 SCLC, chemo) | 22 |
|                    | RTX | 1 (1 SCLC, chemo) | 6 |
|                    | IVMP + IVIg + Cyclosporine | 1 | 6 |

Suggested treatment (since early 2014): IVMP (5 d, 1,000 mg) + IVIg (5 d, 0.4 g/kg). Treatment after hyperacute phase depends on improvement and tumor status. Options: IVMP repetition after 4 and 8 wk (3 d, 1,000 mg), or second-line immunotherapy can be considered (see anti-NMDAR) if improvement is mediocre.

Abbreviations: Cyclo = cyclophosphamide; GABABR = gamma-aminobutyric acid B-receptor; IVIg = IV immunoglobulins; IVMP = IV methylprednisolone; LGI1 = leucine-rich glioma-inactivated 1; NMDAR = NMDA receptor; OT = ovarian teratoma; Plex = plasmapheresis; RTX = rituximab; SCLC = small cell lung carcinoma. ^ Tumor therapy in addition to immunotherapy when a tumor is found.
freedom was achieved shortly after the start of immunotherapy (53%). Comparing the 68 patients receiving both AEDs and immunotherapy before seizure freedom was reached, the chance to achieve seizure freedom was higher after the use of immunotherapy than after the use of AEDs (immunotherapy n = 44, AEDs n = 3, \( p < 0.0001 \)). This also applied for the groups separately (LGI1, \( p = 0.0001 \); NMDAR, \( p = 0.0005 \); GABA\(_B\)R, \( p = 0.013 \)).

The median time to achieve seizure freedom after the start of AEDs was 59 days (IQR 27–160), and 28 days from start of immunotherapy (IQR 9–71, \( p < 0.0001 \)). This decrease in days to seizure freedom after the use of immunotherapy was observed in all 3 syndromes (LGI1, \( p < 0.0001 \); NMDAR, \( p < 0.0001 \); GABA\(_B\)R, \( p = 0.001 \)).

Seizure freedom was achieved faster in women than in men (\( p < 0.0001 \)), attributed to patients with anti-NMDAR encephalitis (\( p = 0.038 \)). No differences were observed in days to seizure freedom between patients with paraneoplastic (\( n = 27 \)) or nonparaneoplastic encephalitis (\( n = 83, p = 0.085 \)). In patients with focal seizures, it took longer to achieve seizure freedom (\( p < 0.0001 \)), while presence of tonic-clonic seizures did not influence the interval to seizure freedom (\( p = 0.081 \)). In patients with LGI1 antibodies, the presence of FBDS did not shorten the interval to seizure freedom (\( p = 0.20 \)).

Eleven patients did not reach seizure freedom. Ten patients had died, due to the encephalitis, before reaching seizure freedom, while one patient with anti-LGI1 encephalitis (3% of surviving patients with seizures and anti-LGI1 encephalitis) developed temporal epilepsy after resolved encephalitis. Median time of seizure freedom in AIE patients (after initial episode or last relapse) was 22 months (IQR 14–45, range 4–129). Fourteen of these patients (14%) were still

**Figure 1** Timelines (in days) of anti-leucine-rich glioma-inactivated 1 encephalitis patients with epileptic seizures

The percentages shown on the left correspond to patients (1) reaching seizure freedom after the use of immunotherapy (green), (2) reaching seizure freedom probably after the use of immunotherapy (triple green), (3) reaching seizure freedom after the use of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs) (red), (4) reaching seizure freedom probably after the use of AEDs (double red), (5) who could not be categorized (gray stripes), and (6) who did not reach seizure freedom (black dots). If patients were treated with another immunomodulating treatment >1 month after the initial treatment (for example, IV immunoglobulin after prednisolone), this is shown as a new blue square. Treatment with an additional AED or dosage increase after >1 month is shown as a second purple diamond. Relapses are only shown if patients had seizures. Median time of follow-up from onset was 33 months (interquartile range [IQR] 19–52, range 8–119). Median time of seizure freedom was 23 months (IQR 14–40, range 4–102). The median interval between start of AEDs and start of immunotherapy was 57 days (IQR 27–152). **Timeline of the only patient who developed epilepsy after resolved encephalitis. The symbols in this timeline are not fitted to scale. The onset of seizures was in 2009, the patient was treated with prednisone (and AEDs), leading to reversibility of cognitive signs, but he still has temporal epilepsy. IT = immunotherapy.**
using AED, while seizure-free. We have evaluated the proportion of patients who continued to have seizures at 6, 12, and 24 months after the initiation of immunotherapy (figure 4). At 6 months, seizure freedom was achieved in 79% of patients; of these 73 patients, 38 (52%) still used AEDs. At 12 months, 96% of patients had reached seizure freedom, of whom 34% still used AEDs while seizure-free. At 24 months, only one patient had developed epilepsy after resolved encephalitis (2%); the other 46 patients (98%) were seizure-free, among them 4 (9%) treated with AEDs. Fourteen patients developed a relapse with epileptic seizures within these 2 years (7 while using AED), and 12 became seizure-free again within days or weeks after restarting immunotherapy.

AED effects and side effects
Prescribed AEDs were levetiracetam (66%), valproic acid (53%), carbamazepine (32%), phenytoin (30%), clonazepam (15%), lacosamide (7%), oxcarbazepine (6%), and lamotrigine (5%). Topiramate and phenobarbital were only used sporadically.

Responses to these most prescribed AEDs and side effects are visualized in figure 5. Although some response was seen in all 3 groups, seizure freedom was only infrequently achieved. Carbamazepine appeared to have the best effect to reduce focal seizure frequency in anti-LGI1 encephalitis (figure 5D), while FBDS hardly responded to AEDs (figure 5E). In those anti-LGI1 patients treated with both levetiracetam and carbamazepine (n = 15), carbamazepine appeared more effective to reduce seizure frequency than levetiracetam (p = 0.031).

Side effects were frequently reported by patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis (37%), and less by patients with anti-NMDAR (18%) and anti-GABAR (15%) encephalitis. Patients with LGI1 antibodies frequently had a rash by the use of carbamazepine (7/22, 32%). Most reported side effects by the use of valproic acid were memory deterioration (n = 3) and tremor (n = 2). Side effects of levetiracetam were rash (n = 3) and serious behavioral changes (n = 14; 19%), including 2 patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis with severe psychotic behavior and suicidal thoughts.
Discussion

This nationwide observational cohort study evaluates seizure responses to immunotherapy and AEDs in patients with anti-LGI1, anti-NMDAR, and anti-GABA\(_B\)R encephalitis. We show that seizure freedom is achieved faster and more frequently after the use of immunotherapy than after the use of AEDs. In some patients, AEDs might decrease seizure frequency or lead to seizure freedom, but the effect is limited and incomparable to the effect of immunotherapy. After immunotherapy, the development of epilepsy after resolved encephalitis is rare in our cohort of AIE patients treated with immunotherapy.

These results emphasize the usefulness of immunotherapy in the treatment of epileptic seizures with an immune etiology caused by extracellular neuronal antibodies. In all groups there was a clear decrease in days to seizure freedom after the use of immunotherapy. It is customary to start AEDs before immunotherapy, so only comparing intervals between start of different treatments and seizure freedom would not be entirely fair. To avoid this confounding, we in addition compared the effects of AEDs and immunotherapy in patients who used both, and in which the responses to the individual treatment could be determined. This showed a clear preference for immunotherapy, which is in line with prior research in anti-LGI1 encephalitis, showing the positive effects of early immunotherapy on epileptic seizures and cognition.\(^5,19\)

The effects of different treatment options were visualized (figures 1–3), showing that seizure freedom was frequently preceded directly by the initiation of immunotherapy and that patients treated earlier on in disease course seemed to reach seizure freedom faster. This effect was most remarkable in patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis, wherein almost half of the patients became seizure-free within a week after immunotherapy, while they had been refractory to AEDs for longer periods. We did not analyze the effects of tumor treatment

---

**Figure 3** Timelines (in days) of anti-gamma-aminobutyric acid B-receptor encephalitis patients with epileptic seizures

![Figure 3](image-url)
separately, because it was always accompanied by immuno-
therapy. Yet, we visualized that in patients with paraneoplastic
encephalitis, both tumor treatment and immunomodulation
often preceded seizure freedom. Mechanistically, both im-
umotherapy and tumor treatment are causal treatments,
while AEDs are symptomatic treatments.

Our study shows that seizures of most patients were AED-
resistant. Seizure freedom was achieved in the minority of
patients while using only AEDs, and adjustments in treatment
regimen or dosage increase of AEDs did not affect the chance
to achieve seizure freedom. In addition, these patients often
had a milder disease course without status epilepticus. The
AED-resistant character of seizures is a confirmation of
observations in other studies.6,19,20 In addition, the often ac-
companying (subtle) cognitive symptoms also favor treat-
ment with immunotherapy. Therefore, it seems better to use
AEDs only as add-on symptomatic treatment.

After treating the acute phase of the encephalitis, the con-
tinued use of AEDs is debatable. In our study, AED therapy
was successfully discontinued in most patients after resolution
of encephalitis. Chronic AED use does not appear to be
necessary in most AIE patients long term. This is in line with
previous studies studying separate subtypes of AIE.5,9,20 Al-
though mesiotemporal sclerosis has been described in
25%–50% of follow-up MRIs in patients with anti-LGI1 en-
cephalitis, only a few develop epilepsy after resolved
encephalitis.9,21 For this reason, some argue against the
implementation of the term epilepsy with immune origin13
(new ILAE classification) in the acute phase, reserving this for
the situation after the encephalitis has been treated.22 In
addition, side effects of AEDs, like memory disturbances,
might disturb recovery after AIE, especially in combination
with other drugs influencing brain functions, questioning even
more the necessity for long-term AED use. Finally, half the
patients who experienced a clinical relapse with epileptic
seizures developed this relapse despite using AEDs and al-
most all patients became seizure-free again within days or
weeks after restarting immunotherapy. However, prospective
studies comparing different treatments in the chronic disease
phase are lacking.

The AED-resistant character of seizures and crucial role of
immunotherapy in treatment of seizures stress the importance
of considering AIE as cause of epileptic seizures in patients
with acquired drug-resistant seizures. Due to increased
awareness, patients with a fulminant disease course with coma
and status epilepticus, most frequently caused by GABAB Ro
or NMDAR antibodies, are regularly diagnosed early on in dis-
ease course. On the other hand, almost a quarter of the
patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis did not have a full-blown
encephalitis, but seizures with only subtle encephalitis signs,
which were often unrecognized by referring physicians. The
unrecognition leads to diagnostic and treatment delay.9 In our
study, this is reflected by (1) the longest treatment delay, (2)
the longest interval between start of AEDs and immunotherapy,
(3) a lower percentage of patients treated with AEDs, and (4)
the observation that the presence of focal seizures extends the
time to seizure freedom. As FBDS have gained much attention,
better recognition and earlier treatment are to be expected. A
longer delay until diagnosis and appropriate treatment in those
with focal seizures shows that we should also look beyond FBDS
to reduce delays and improve outcomes.

Figure 4 Evaluation of the patients at risk to develop epilepsy after resolved encephalitis at 6, 12, and 24 months after the
initiation of immunotherapy

The figure shows the cumulative percentages of the
patients who reached or did not reach seizure free-
dom and the use of antiepileptic drugs (AEDs). Patients with a relapse less than 3 months before the
time point at 6, 12, or 24 months, or with a relapse at
6, 12, or 24 months, are also shown in the figure.
Fourteen patients developed a relapse with epileptic
seizures within 24 months after the start of immu-
notherapy, in 7 of them despite continuous AED
treatment. At relapse, the median seizure duration was
12 days (interquartile range [IQR] 4–29, range
3–92). Eleven of these 14 patients became seizure-
free within days or weeks after restarting immuno-
therapy, 2 patients became seizure-free after 3
months, and 1 patient developed epilepsy after re-
solved encephalitis.
Concerning responses to most prescribed AEDs, in our cohort, physicians preferred the use of levetiracetam. However, patients often had serious behavioral changes and 2 patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis developed a severe psychosis and suicidal thoughts. In addition, levetiracetam might exaggerate symptoms of AIE, especially behavioral disorders. Focal seizures of anti-LGI1 patients responded relatively better to carbamazepine, while FBDS hardly responded to any AED. Only a few patients were treated with oxcarbazepine, a drug with a comparable mechanism of action as carbamazepine. Individual results of treatment with oxcarbazepine seem promising and comparable to the effect of carbamazepine, but need confirmation in larger patient groups. Lacosamide, a similar drug, was only used infrequently and as add-on, therefore assessment of the effects was impossible. A recent study describes that only 10% of patients with voltage-gated potassium channel (VGKC) complex and glutamic acid decarboxylase 65 (GAD65) antibodies reached seizure freedom by the use of specific AEDs. Carbamazepine, lacosamide, and oxcarbazepine led most frequently to seizure freedom, while levetiracetam was ineffective in all patients. This is in line with our results, but difficult to compare as not all VGKC complex antibodies are pathogenic and as the pathogenicity of anti-GAD65 is unclear (incomparable to the pathogenicity of antibodies to extracellular antigens).

Side effects were reported most frequently by patients with LGI1 antibodies, and less by the other patients, probably due to a more fulminant disease course in patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis. Rash is a common side effect of carbamazepine and occurs most often in patients with specific proimmunogenic human leukocyte antigen (HLA) types. Recently, a strong correlation with specific HLA types (HLA DR7 and DRB4) was found in patients with LGI1 antibodies. Yet these types do not correspond to the HLA types of patients who are prone to rash by the use of carbamazepine. An alternative explanation for the high percentage of rash within the LGI1 group might be the rapid dosage increase because of frequent, drug-resistant seizures.

Although this is the largest cohort, and a nationwide study, regarding seizure responses to different treatments in patients with AIE and epileptic seizures, there are some limitations associated with the retrospective design of this study.
Concerning data collection, effects and side effects were not always accurately documented. Patients were treated with a variety of AEDs and immunotherapies, and not per protocol, so comparisons are more difficult. However, the visualization of individual data in timelines is convincing that the differences between effects of AEDs and immunotherapy are real. We were not able to compare different treatment regimens (different AEDs and immunotherapies) due to small group sizes. Especially side effects are difficult to evaluate systematically in a retrospective design. Cognitive decline and behavioral disorders are hallmark symptoms of AIE, making it more difficult to categorize symptoms as disease progression or side effects of treatment. In addition, severe disease courses with coma and long-term intensive care stay make a proper evaluation of treatment effects (and side effects) difficult. Nevertheless, by treating these patients and by interviewing most patients, relatives, and treating physicians, important effects and side effects were still assessable and results from this study may help to compose treatment recommendations.

We would suggest using AEDs with sodium channel blocking properties (like carbamazepine or potentially oxcarbazepine) as first add-on next to immunotherapy in the symptomatic treatment of patients with anti-LGI1 encephalitis and seizures as it seems to have at least some effect in reducing focal seizures. However, due to the frequent occurrence of rash, often leading to discontinuation of therapy, it is essential to be cautious with rapid dosage increase. On the other hand, levetiracetam seems not preferable in the treatment of autoimmune epileptic seizures as the effects are limited and it can induce or exaggerate serious behavioral disorders.

From this nationwide study, we can conclude that immunotherapy is most important in the treatment of epileptic seizures in patients with anti-LGI1, anti-NMDAR, and anti-GABA_{B}R encephalitis. The overall effect of AEDs in the symptomatic treatment of epilepsy in these patients is limited and antibody-dependent. Specific AEDs should be considered to use as add-on therapy to control seizures, but not as primary and long-term treatment.
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