Intracranial hemodynamic relationships in patients with cerebral small vessel disease

Gordon W. Blair, MRCP(UK), Michael J. Thrippleton, PhD, Yulu Shi, PhD, Iona Hamilton, BSc, Michael Stringer, PhD, Francesca Chappell, PhD, David Alexander Dickie, PhD, Peter Andrews, MD, Ian Marshall, PhD, Fergus N. Doubal, PhD, and Joanna M. Wardlaw, MD

Correspondence

Dr. Wardlaw
Joanna.wardlaw@ed.ac.uk

Neurology® 2020;94:e2258-e2269. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000009483

RELATED ARTICLE

Editorial

Cerebral small vessel disease: Moving closer to hemodynamic function

Page 909

Abstract

Objective

To investigate cerebrovascular reactivity (CVR), blood flow, vascular and CSF pulsatility, and their independent relationship with cerebral small vessel disease (SVD) features in patients with minor ischemic stroke and MRI evidence of SVD.

Methods

We recruited patients with minor ischemic stroke and assessed CVR using blood oxygen level-dependent MRI during a hypercapnic challenge, cerebral blood flow (CBF), vascular and CSF pulsatility using phase-contrast MRI, and structural magnetic resonance brain imaging to quantify white matter hyperintensities (WMHs) and perivascular spaces (PVSs). We used multiple regression to identify parameters associated with SVD features, controlling for patient characteristics.

Results

Fifty-three of 60 patients completed the study with a full data set (age $68.0\% \pm 8.8$ years, 74% male, 75% hypertensive). After controlling for age, sex, and systolic blood pressure, lower white matter CVR was associated with higher WMH volume ($-0.01\%/mm$ Hg per log10 increase in WMH volume, $p = 0.02$), basal ganglia PVS ($-0.01\%/mm$ Hg per point increase in the PVS score, $p = 0.02$), and higher venous pulsatility (superior sagittal sinus $-0.03\%/mm$ Hg, $p = 0.02$, per unit increase in the pulsatility index) but not with CBF ($p = 0.58$). Lower foramen magnum CSF stroke volume was associated with worse white matter CVR ($0.04\%/mm$ Hg per mL increase in stroke volume, $p = 0.04$) and more severe basal ganglia PVS ($p = 0.09$).

Conclusions

Lower CVR, higher venous pulsatility, and lower foramen magnum CSF stroke volume indicate that dynamic vascular dysfunctions underpin PVS dysfunction and WMH development. Further exploration of microvascular dysfunction and CSF dynamics may uncover new mechanisms and intervention targets to reduce SVD lesion development, cognitive decline, and stroke.

From the Brain Research Imaging Centre (G.W.B., M.J.T., Y.S., I.H., M.S., F.C., P.A., I.M., F.N.D., J.M.W.), Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom; UK Dementia Research Institute at The University of Edinburgh (G.W.B., M.J.T., Y.S., I.H., M.S., F.N.D., J.M.W.), Edinburgh Medical School, United Kingdom; Beijing Tiantan Hospital Affiliated to Capital Medical University (Y.S.), China; Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences (D.A.D.), University of Glasgow, United Kingdom; and Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology (J.M.W.), University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom.

Go to [Neurology.org/N](https://www.neurology.org/N) for full disclosures. Funding information and disclosures deemed relevant by the authors, if any, are provided at the end of the article.

The Article Processing Charge was funded by University of Edinburgh Charity Open Access Fund.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Glossary

BP = blood pressure; BOLD = blood oxygen level dependent; CBF = cerebral blood flow; CVR = cerebrovascular reactivity; EtCO₂ = end-tidal CO₂; FLAIR = fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; IJV = internal jugular vein; ICA = internal carotid artery; PVS = perivascular space; PI = pulsatility index; RI = resistivity index; ROI = region of interest; SSS = superior sagittal sinus; SVD = small vessel disease; StS = straight sinus; TCD = transcranial Doppler; TS = transverse sinus; VA = vertebral artery; WMH = white matter hyperintensity.

Cerebral small vessel disease (SVD) causes multiple major health problems including lacunar ischemic stroke, vascular dementia, and intracerebral hemorrhage.¹ There is no specific treatment for SVD.² An improved understanding of disease mechanisms is required to identify drug targets.

The role of cerebral blood flow (CBF) in SVD is complex: although lower CBF is associated with more severe SVD in cross-sectional studies, most longitudinal studies have not found lower CBF to precede development or progression of SVD.³ Dynamic measures of CBF may be more sensitive to small vessel dysfunction.

Cerebrovascular reactivity (CVR) measures the vasculature's ability to increase CBF in response to metabolic demands.⁴ CVR can be assessed by measuring blood flow changes in response to a vasoactive stimulus such as breathing carbon dioxide.⁵ Transcranial Doppler (TCD) ultrasound is commonly used but only measures large cerebral arteries.^{6,7} MRI techniques measure CVR in all brain tissues, including the subcortical areas commonly affected by SVD.⁸ So far, MRI-based CVR has been assessed in relatively few small studies in SVD, but used different CVR challenges,^{4,9–12} and did not account for potentially confounding clinically relevant variables (e.g., age, blood pressure [BP], and sex).⁴

More pulsatile blood flow (larger difference in blood flow between systolic and diastolic phases) measured outside the brain is associated with increasing white matter hyperintensities (WMHs)^{13,14} consistent with the hypothesis that SVD is associated with increased arterial stiffening. MRI phase-contrast angiography can assess pulsatility and flow in the intracranial arteries, venous sinuses, and CSF spaces (aqueduct and foramen magnum) within 1 examination, concurrently with CVR. However, there are very few studies of intracranial pulsatility assessed with MRI in aging or SVD¹⁵ and no studies in humans of CVR assessed concurrently with intracranial vascular pulsatility, CSF flow dynamics, or resting CBF.

We aimed to assess CVR and imaging features of SVD, concurrently with total CBF, arterial, venous, and CSF pulsatility. We studied independent patients with minor ischemic stroke stratified by SVD burden as representative of a high-risk group for the clinical effects of SVD. We hypothesized that more severe SVD features on neuroimaging would be associated with lower CVR, that lower CVR would associate with increased vascular pulsatility, and that these

relationships would persist after controlling for patient demographic and vascular risk factors.

Methods

Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents

All participants provided written informed consent before enrollment. The study was approved by the UK Health Research Authority National Research Ethics Service Committee East Midlands, Nottingham 1 (ref. 14/EM/1126).

Patients

We invited all patients presenting to our regional stroke service between October 2014 and April 2016 with symptomatic nondisabling ischemic stroke (modified Rankin Scale score ≤ 3 ¹⁶) and whose treating physician agreed could be approached about the study to take part in the study. We also invited such patients who had participated in recent previous prospective studies.¹⁷ All patients had a clinical stroke diagnosis confirmed by a specialist stroke physician and brain imaging that either confirmed a relevant recent infarct or, if no recent infarct was visible, excluded any other cause of the presenting symptoms.¹⁷

We excluded patients who were pregnant, unable to lie flat, had contraindications to MRI (including claustrophobia), moderate to severe chronic respiratory disease or symptomatic cardiac failure, personal history or first-degree relative with subarachnoid hemorrhage or intracranial aneurysm, uncontrolled hypertension, or atrial fibrillation with fast ventricular response.

We performed the study assessments at least 1 month after the patient's stroke to prevent hemodynamic changes in the acute phase of stroke (both stroke related and due to commencing vasoactive secondary prevention medications) from interfering with the interpretation of vascular function. We requested participants not to consume caffeinated drinks on the day of assessment.

We recorded detailed medical histories including clinical characteristics of presenting stroke, vascular risk factors, smoking and alcohol use (with alcohol excess defined as consuming more than 21 units per week), concurrent medications, and investigations performed for the presenting stroke (diagnostic brain MRI, carotid ultrasound imaging, hematology, biochemistry, and ECGs).

We classified the clinical stroke syndrome according to the Oxford Community Stroke Project classification¹⁸ (independently assessed by 2 stroke physicians, F.N.D. and G.W.B.) and the lesion type seen on imaging (independently assessed by J.M.W. and Y.S.). Any disagreements were resolved by discussion. Where no recent ischemic lesion was evident, the final stroke type was assigned using the clinical classification. Where the imaging lesion was discordant with the clinical stroke type, the imaging type was used as the final classification, as previously.¹⁹ We recorded BP 7 times at specific points of the study visit that included measurements before, during, and after MRI.

MRI

We performed brain MRI using a 1.5 Tesla GE research MRI scanner (Signa HDxt; General Electric, Milwaukee, WI) operating in research mode and an 8-channel phased array head coil. The total imaging time was circa 75 minutes for each participant, but patients were allowed to move and have a natural break between each section of scans to ensure that they remained comfortable. We acquired 3D T1-weighted, axial T2-weighted, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR), and gradient echo sequences. We performed blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) MRI scanning with CO₂ challenge at 4 mm isotropic resolution acquiring a whole-brain volume every 3 seconds.⁸ We performed phase-contrast MRI to measure pulsatility in the internal carotid arteries, intracranial venous sinuses, CSF flow (aqueduct and foramen magnum), and total CBF, as described in detail.²³ Full parameters of all MR sequences are available from Dryad (table e-1, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.xpnvx0kb3).

The CVR method used BOLD 2-dimensional echo-planar imaging with a CO₂ challenge (detailed in Ref. 8). Briefly, during MRI, patients wore an anesthetic face mask, carefully fitted to avoid gas leak between mask and face, attached to a bespoke unidirectional breathing circuit (Intersurgical, Wokingham, United Kingdom). Monitoring equipment recorded the pulse rate, oxygen saturation, BP (Millennia 3155A and Magnitude 3150 MRI; Invivo, Best, The Netherlands), and end-tidal CO₂ (EtCO₂; CD3-A AEI Technologies, Pittsburgh, PA) throughout the examination. During a 12-minute BOLD MRI scan, patients breathed medical air and 6% CO₂ in air (BOC Special Products; Guildford, United Kingdom) alternately, delivered as 2 minutes air, 3 minutes CO₂, 2 minutes air, 3 minutes CO₂, and finishing with 2 minutes air. We instructed patients to expect a change in smell and breathing pattern (deeper, faster, or more forceful breathing), and then each patient tried the facemask and gases before entering the scanner room. Patients were instructed to breathe normally and to press a buzzer to stop the scan if required. Scanning commenced when the patient was fully positioned in the scanner bore, indicated that they felt comfortable, and BP and heart rate readings indicated no sign of anxiety or distress. A physician monitored the patient during CO₂ inhalation.

Arterial and venous flow waveforms were measured as described previously.^{20–22} Briefly, we used a 2D cine phase-contrast

sequence with retrospective peripheral pulse gating to acquire 32 velocity images per cardiac cycle. We used the following slice locations to measure flow in the different structures: a slice superior to the carotid bifurcation and perpendicular to the internal carotid artery (ICA) walls to measure flow in the ICA, vertebral arteries (VAs), and internal jugular veins (IJVs); a coronal-oblique slice intersecting the superior sagittal sinus (SSS) approximately 2 cm above the torcular and through the midpoint of the straight sinus (StS) to measure SSS, StS, and transverse sinus (TS) flow; a slice perpendicular to the aqueduct for aqueduct CSF flow; and an axial slice at the craniocervical junction for foramen magnum CSF flow.

Image processing and analysis

For each analysis, investigators were blinded to participant demographic and clinical data and other outcome data (e.g., investigators rating imaging features were blinded to CVR data). Structural image analysis of SVD features was performed according to the STRIVE criteria²³ under the supervision of an expert neuroradiologist (J.M.W.).

We (Y.S. and J.M.W.) scored the following features: WMHs using the Fazekas scale,²⁴ summing periventricular and deep WMH scores to give a score from 0 to 6; perivascular spaces (PVSs), scored separately in the basal ganglia and centrum semiovale, using a validated, semiquantitative ordinal scale (range 0–4); lacunes (location and number); and microbleeds (BOMBS scale) presence/absence and total number²³; atrophy score by reference to a normative age template²⁵; and total SVD score (0–4) by combining WMHs, lacunes, microbleeds, and PVS scores, as described previously.²⁶

We coregistered each participant's structural images. We calculated WMH volumes using a validated semiquantitative technique described previously.²² Briefly, we generated WMH probability maps for each participant using FLAIR and T1W image data. Hyperintense outliers within the white matter surface were defined as voxels with a *z* score of ≥ 1.5 on FLAIR to create an initial estimate of WMH volume. We produced final estimates using 3D smoothing to account for partial volume effects and reduce noise before manually removing the index and any previous stroke lesions. We segmented normal-appearing tissues (cortical gray matter, subcortical gray matter, white matter, and cerebellum) and whole-brain volume from each participant's T1W data and local population-specific probability maps.²⁷ We calculated intracranial volumes using a semi-automatic method based on T2*W images. All tissue masks were visually inspected and manually corrected as necessary.

We processed CVR images as described previously.⁸ We generated voxel-wise CVR maps by regressing the BOLD signal against EtCO₂ and the BOLD scan number (to account for signal drift). CVR is expressed as % BOLD signal change/mm Hg change in EtCO₂, based on the EtCO₂ regressor in the model. We made additional adjustment for the delay time between BOLD signal change and EtCO₂ change to minimize the residual sum of squares individually for each voxel, further

adjusted by 4 seconds to account for the delay between exhalation and detection on the EtCO₂ monitor caused by the 8-m-long EtCO₂ sample tubing.

We realigned BOLD images (using SPM 8, fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/software/spm8/) before determining the transformation between BOLD and T2W image spaces (using FSL FLIRT²⁸). We then manually drew 3 subcortical gray matter (thalamus, putamen, and caudate head) and 4 subcortical white matter (frontal, posterior, periventricular, and centrum semiovale) regions of interest (ROIs) on T1W images before transfer to the BOLD images. Voxels that were part of large vessels or the patient's stroke lesion were manually excluded. We extracted the mean signal across the ROIs and fitted the CVR model to that data. We also averaged all gray and white matter regions to give a combined deep gray matter and white matter CVR value.

To process the phase-contrast data, we drew manual ROIs around the right and left ICAs and VAs; the sagittal, straight, right, and left TSs and IJVs; the aqueduct; and the foramen magnum subarachnoid space. Background ROIs were placed close to the ROIs to correct background phase error by subtracting the background velocity (noise) from the ROI velocity. We calculated sum flow and mean velocity for bilateral structures, then total CBF as the sum of ICA and VA flow, normalized to total brain volume and expressed as mL/min/100 mL brain tissue. Pulsatility index (PI) in each structure was calculated as $(\text{Flow}_{\text{maximum}} - \text{Flow}_{\text{minimum}}) / \text{Flow}_{\text{mean}}$; resistivity index (RI) was calculated as $(\text{Flow}_{\text{maximum}} - \text{Flow}_{\text{minimum}}) / \text{Flow}_{\text{maximum}}$, with higher values indicating more pulsatile or more resistive blood flow. The reproducibility of this approach is published.²² CSF flow defined the net flow in the aqueduct and foramen magnum, respectively. We calculated this by integrating the cranial (positive) and caudal (negative) flow values and expressed the net flow in mL/min. CSF stroke volume reflects the total volume of CSF flow per cardiac cycle and is calculated by averaging the absolute cranial and caudal flow across the cardiac cycles. These methods for CSF data processing were similar to those reported in a previous study.²⁹

Sample size and statistical analysis

Only limited data existed to calculate sample size, however we estimated detecting a relative difference in CVR of 25% between those with low vs high WMH burden based on peripheral vascular function data. Allowing for a 10% scan failure rate, we aimed to recruit 60 participants.

We performed statistical analyses once the study data set was finalized and the database locked for editing, using R version 3.3.0 (cran.r-project.org/) and the additional packages Hmisc, texreg, data.table, htmlTable, car, and psych. We assessed distribution of all variables before analysis and log transformed the WMH volumes and atrophy scores due to a skewed distribution. The BP values presented are means of the 7 readings taken across the visit. We assessed the relation of demographic factors, SVD features, pulsatility, and CBF

parameters to CVR values using univariate (for comparison with prior studies) and then multiple linear regression, adjusting for age, systolic BP, and sex, based on those factors identified in our systematic review as potentially influencing CVR⁴ (univariate associations are presented only for completeness). In addition, we adjusted for WMH volume in some models to assess whether relationships were independent of a coassociation because we found previously that failure to control for WMH was a potential confound in assessments of CVR in patients with SVD.³⁰ We examined the normality of residuals (QQ plots and histograms) and heteroscedasticity (residual vs fitted values) to assess modeling assumptions. We checked variable inflation factors to assess for collinearity between variables, with a limit of 2 applied.³¹

Data availability

The full individual patient data anonymized data set, along with the study protocol, is available to bona fide researchers via the University of Edinburgh's Cerebrovascular Diseases Database. Access request should be submitted to J.M. Wardlaw along with a description of any planned analyses.

Results

Study population

We recruited 60 patients; 53 participants completed the full CVR scanning protocol with complete and fully analyzable data. Three withdrew due to claustrophobia when wearing the facemask in the MRI scanner, in 1 movement artifact precluded analysis, 2 failed to show any EtCO₂ or BOLD signal change with CO₂ (likely due to a poor fitting mask), and in 1 patient, the acquisition was stopped after initial structural scanning showed an incidental asymptomatic subdural hematoma.

The 53 participants had a mean age of 68.0 ± 8.8 years (range 52–88 years); 39 (74%) were male. The median National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score at presentation with stroke was 2 (range 0–5). At the time of CVR scanning, 75% had hypertension, 66% had hyperlipidemia, 13% had diabetes, 30% were current smokers or had stopped within the past 12 months, and 19% consumed alcohol regularly in excess of recommended limits (table 1). Participants were scanned at a median of 92 days poststroke (range 32–1768 days). We did not see differences in CVR values between those scanned at less than 100 days compared with those scanned at more than 100 days since stroke. Thirty-six participants (67.9%) had a final stroke subtype of lacunar, and 14 (26.4%) had discordant clinical and imaging stroke subtypes.

Imaging features of SVD were common: 27 patients (51%) had deep Fazekas score ≥ 2 , and 29 (55%) had periventricular Fazekas score ≥ 2 , indicating moderate to severe WMH; 17 (32%) had basal ganglia PVS score ≥ 3 , and 28 (53%) had centrum semiovale PVS score ≥ 3 , indicating high PVS visibility; 35 (66%) had lacunes; and 8 patients (15.1%) had microbleeds.

Table 1 Demographic data of participants by SVD score

	SVD score 0–1 (n = 22)	SVD score 2–4 (n = 31)	All patients (N = 53)
Age at visit	64.05 ± 7.18	70.87 ± 8.76	68.04 ± 8.75
Male	77% (17)	71% (22)	74% (39)
History of TIA	0% (0)	3% (1)	2% (1)
History of stroke before index stroke	14% (3)	13% (4)	13% (7)
Diabetes	14% (3)	13% (4)	13% (7)
Hypertension	64% (14)	84% (26)	75% (40)
Antihypertensive use prestroke	50% (11)	58% (18)	55% (29)
Atrial fibrillation	0% (0)	16% (5)	9% (5)
Hyperlipidemia	64% (14)	68% (21)	66% (35)
Ischemic heart disease	14% (3)	6% (2)	9% (5)
Current or recent smoking history	32% (7)	19% (6)	25% (13)
History of alcohol excess	27% (6)	13% (4)	19% (10)
Alcohol consumption (units per week)	15.91 ± 23.82	9.06 ± 10.63	11.91 ± 17.49
Current antiplatelet use	100% (22)	84% (26)	91% (48)
Current anticoagulant use	0% (0)	16% (5)	9% (5)
Current statin use	91% (20)	90% (28)	91% (48)
Systolic blood pressure	136.58 ± 15.08	145.90 ± 15.56	142.03 ± 15.91
Diastolic blood pressure	78.62 ± 8.10	80.32 ± 8.23	79.61 ± 8.14
Mean arterial pressure	97.94 ± 9.69	102.18 ± 9.19	100.42 ± 9.55
Pulse pressure	57.97 ± 10.76	65.58 ± 13.64	62.42 ± 12.98
Lesion on MRI to explain compatible with participant's stroke symptoms	50% (11)	90.3% (28)	73.6% (39)
Days since index stroke at the time of study scan, median (IQR)	65 (54–1354)	107 (55–1380)	92 (54–1367)
White matter hyperintensity volume (mL), median (IQR)	7.67 (4.51–10.76)	22.50 (9.38–35.30)	11.93 (6.45–27.10)
WMH volume (% of intracranial volume), median (IQR)	0.50 (0.29–0.77)	1.40 (0.75–2.86)	0.81 (0.45–1.66)
Deep Fazekas score (median)	1	2	2
Periventricular Fazekas score (median)	1	2	2
Total Fazekas score (median)	2	4	3
Basal ganglia PVS score (median)	1	2	2
Centrum semiovale PVS score (median)	2	3	3
Presence of lacunes	23% (5)	97% (30)	66% (35)
Deep atrophy score (median)	2	3	3
Superficial atrophy score (median)	3	4	3
Deep gray matter CVR (all ROI combined)	0.155 ± 0.073	0.125 ± 0.051	0.138 ± 0.062
White matter CVR (all ROI combined)	0.067 ± 0.032	0.049 ± 0.023	0.056 ± 0.028
Lacunar subtype	59% (13)	74% (23)	68% (36)
Total arterial blood flow (mL/min/100 mL brain tissue)	60.86 ± 10.51	59.62 ± 8.12	60.14 ± 9.13

Abbreviations: CVR = cerebrovascular reactivity; IQR=Interquartile Range; PVS = perivascular space; ROI = regions of interest; SVD = small vessel disease. Continuous variables expressed as mean ± SD. Categorical variables expressed as n (%).

Univariate analysis

CVR and patient characteristics

Higher systolic BP and pulse pressures were associated with lower CVR in white matter (all $p = 0.01$) and gray matter (all $p = 0.01$; data available from Dryad [table e-2, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.xpnvx0kb3]). Lower white matter CVR with increasing age did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.09$). We found no other statistically significant associations with lower CVR.

CVR and SVD features

Numerous SVD features were associated with lower white matter CVR (figure 1; data available from Dryad [table e-2, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.xpnvx0kb3]): higher WMH volume, worse WMH Fazekas scores, more PVSs, presence of lacunes, deep atrophy, and total SVD score (all $p < 0.05$). Lower gray matter CVR was only associated with periventricular WMH Fazekas score ($p = 0.03$) and basal ganglia PVS ($p = 0.05$).

CVR, pulsatility, and CBF

Lower white matter CVR was associated with higher PI and RI in the superior sagittal, straight, and TSs (all $p < 0.05$), but not with ICA or IJV PI or RI, or with CBF, (figure 2; data available from Dryad [table e-2, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.xpnvx0kb3]). We found no univariate associations between CSF pulsatility or flow measures and CVR.

Covariate-adjusted analyses

CVR and SVD features

After controlling for age, systolic BP, and sex, we found that lower white matter CVR remained associated with WMH volume ($-0.01\%/mm$ Hg change in EtCO₂ per log10 increase in WMH volume, $p = 0.02$), periventricular WMH Fazekas score ($-0.01\%/mm$ Hg change in EtCO₂ per point increase, $p = 0.02$), and basal ganglia PVS score ($-0.01\%/mm$ Hg change in EtCO₂ per point increase, $p = 0.02$). The associations between these SVD features and gray matter CVR were no longer significant (table 2). We observed a similar pattern when pulse pressure was substituted for systolic BP (data not shown).

Deep and total Fazekas scores, centrum semiovale PVS, lacunes, any brain atrophy, and total SVD score were not associated with lower CVR in either white or gray matter (table 2).

We tested the independence of the basal ganglia PVS score association with lower white matter CVR further by adding WMH volume to the regression model, which resulted in the association no longer being significant (data available from Dryad [table e-3, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.xpnvx0kb3]).

CVR, pulsatility, and CBF

After correcting for age, SBP, and sex, lower white matter CVR remained associated with higher SSS PI ($-0.03\%/mm$ Hg change in EtCO₂ per unit increase in the PI $p = 0.02$), SSS RI ($-0.07\%/mm$ Hg change in EtCO₂ per unit increase in the RI, $p = 0.03$), and IJV PI ($-0.01\%/mm$ Hg change in EtCO₂ per unit increase in the PI, $p = 0.04$), table 3.

Lower white matter CVR was also associated with lower CSF stroke volume at the foramen magnum ($-0.04\%/mm$ Hg change in EtCO₂ per mL decrease in CSF stroke volume, $p = 0.04$). Furthermore, lower CSF stroke volume was associated with higher basal ganglia PVS score (-0.96 mL per point increase in the PVS score, 95% confidence interval -2.087 to 0.146 , $p = 0.09$, data available from Dryad [table e-4, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.xpnvx0kb3]). We observed a similar pattern when pulse pressure was substituted for systolic BP (data not shown). There was no association of white or gray matter CVR with arterial PI or RI or with CBF (table 3).

We further tested the association of SSS and IJV pulsatility metrics by adding WMH volume into the regression model. IJV (but not SSS) PI remained associated with lower white matter CVR ($-0.01\%/mm$ Hg change in EtCO₂ per unit increase in the PI, $p < 0.05$, data available from Dryad [table e-3, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.xpnvx0kb3]).

Discussion

We measured concurrently several indices of intracranial microvascular function in patients with features of SVD on neuroimaging who presented with minor ischemic stroke. We demonstrate that lower CVR is associated with higher WMH burden and higher basal ganglia PVS, independently of age, sex, and BP. The association was stronger for white matter than for subcortical gray matter CVR. Furthermore, the association between lower CVR and WMH was stronger for periventricular than deep WMH, perhaps reflecting more periventricular vulnerability to hemodynamic changes at distal end arteries, or differing pathogeneses of WMH by brain region, providing in vivo support in humans for 2 long-standing hypotheses. We also demonstrated that lower white matter CVR was associated with higher intracranial vascular pulsatility, most clearly seen in the intracranial venous sinuses and IJV, and with increased PVS visibility.²² Importantly, we also found that lower CVR was associated with lower CSF stroke volume at the foramen magnum, and also that lower CSF stroke volume was associated with a trend toward more basal ganglia PVS, showing for the first time in the intact human cranium, a possible link between dysfunctional brain interstitial fluid drainage (evidenced by increased PVS visibility) and impaired CSF pulsation (thought to help flush interstitial fluid through the glymphatic system).³² The changes we saw in CVR were small in absolute terms; however, the relative differences in CVR were often in the range of 20%–50%. The clinical relevance of this magnitude of CVR impairment requires further studies using clinical outcomes. We observed no association of lower CVR in white or gray matter with resting CBF or of resting CBF with any measures of SVD.

We recruited patients representative of the range of SVD features present in patients with typical lacunar or minor cortical ischemic stroke to ensure relevance to patients who are commonly affected by SVD.^{33,34} Confirming that vascular

Figure 1 White matter CVR and WMH volume, WMH Fazekas score, and PVS scores

(A) White matter CVR and WMH volume $\beta = -0.013$ (95% CI -0.020 to -0.005). (B) White matter CVR and periventricular Fazekas score $\beta = -0.015$ (95% CI -0.024 to -0.007). (C) White matter CVR and deep Fazekas score $\beta = -0.008$ (95% CI -0.017 to 0.001). (D) White matter CVR and basal ganglia PVS score $\beta = -0.012$ (95% CI -0.020 to -0.005). (E) White matter CVR and centrum semiovale PVS score $\beta = -0.009$ (95% CI -0.016 to -0.001). CI = confidence interval; CVR = cerebrovascular reactivity; PVS = perivascular space; WMH = white matter hyperintensity.

Figure 2 Relationship of white matter CVR, foramen magnum CSF stroke volume, and superior sagittal sinus pulsatility index

function changes in SVD are not confined to 1 stroke type increases the generalizability of our findings. Stroke type and SVD imaging features were carefully assessed by experienced specialists using standardized, validated image processing and analysis techniques. CVR was measured by a robust and standardized technique with quantified reliability and reproducibility.⁸ We were careful to control the statistical analyses for key patient characteristics and WMH volume where appropriate, all guided by a professional statistician.

There are limitations. Although this study is one of the largest in the literature to assess CVR in SVD⁴ and the only study so far in humans to assess CVR, vascular and CSF pulsatility, and CBF simultaneously, the sample size limits the number of comparisons and adjustment variables, and any result should be considered for clinical plausibility. We reported all results for transparency and to aid interpretation. We recruited more male than female participants, similar to previous mild stroke cohorts in our region. There is also an inherent selection bias in studies

using complex imaging techniques and longer scan lengths, as only participants at the milder end of the disease spectrum and with less severe comorbidities are agreeable to participation and can tolerate the procedures. We used a 1.5T MRI scanner; however, the main impact of this vs a 3T scanner is less crisp structural resolution of the BOLD MRI image, which may affect the precision of tissue localization, but not signal magnitude.³⁵ However, because we registered all the images into a common image processing space and used ROIs obtained from high-quality structural images mapped onto the CVR image to extract the tissue-specific CVR signals, the 1.5T MRI is unlikely to have affected the tissue associations.

We found a strong association of lower CVR with WMH visual score and volume. However, the Fazekas score allowed us to detect the novel finding of a stronger association between lower CVR and periventricular than deep WMH. This could reflect reduced vascularity of the distal perforating arterioles making periventricular tissue more vulnerable to developing SVD. This

Table 2 CVR association with stroke subtype and SVD imaging markers

	Deep gray matter CVR	White matter CVR	VIF range
Stroke type (lacunar vs cortical)	$\beta = 0.006$ (−0.031 to 0.043) $p = 0.73$	$\beta = 0.001$ (−0.015 to 0.264) $p = 0.89$	1.07–1.16
WMH volume (normalized to ICV)	$\beta = -0.021$ (−0.043 to 0.000) $p = 0.05$	$\beta = -0.012$ (−0.021 to −0.002) $p = 0.02$	1.10–1.58
Periventricular Fazekas score	$\beta = -0.023$ (−0.047 to 0.002) $p = 0.07$	$\beta = -0.013$ (−0.023 to −0.002) $p = 0.02$	1.12–1.48
Deep Fazekas score	$\beta = -0.011$ (−0.033 to 0.011) $p = 0.30$	$\beta = -0.005$ (−0.015 to 0.005) $p = 0.30$	1.10–1.35
Total Fazekas	$\beta = -0.009$ (−0.021 to 0.003) $p = 0.14$	$\beta = -0.005$ (−0.010 to 0.001) $p = 0.08$	1.11–1.41
PVS score—basal ganglia	$\beta = -0.019$ (−0.039 to 0.001) $p = 0.07$	$\beta = -0.011$ (−0.019 to −0.002) $p = 0.02$	1.10–1.41
PVS score—centrum semiovale	$\beta = -0.017$ (−0.034 to 0.001) $p = 0.06$	$\beta = -0.007$ (−0.014 to 0.001) $p = 0.08$	1.11–1.21
Lacunes	$\beta = -0.017$ (−0.056 to 0.022) $p = 0.38$	$\beta = -0.010$ (−0.028 to 0.007) $p = 0.23$	1.11–1.29
Deep atrophy	$\beta = -0.011$ (−0.046 to 0.024) $p = 0.53$	$\beta = -0.010$ (−0.025 to 0.005) $p = 0.20$	1.10–1.33
Superficial atrophy	$\beta = -0.011$ (−0.054 to 0.032) $p = 0.62$	$\beta = -0.006$ (−0.024 to 0.013) $p = 0.56$	1.12–1.36
Combined atrophy	$\beta = -0.012$ (−0.055 to 0.030) $p = 0.56$	$\beta = -0.009$ (−0.028 to 0.009) $p = 0.32$	1.10–1.40
Brain parenchymal volume (normalized to ICV)	$\beta = 0.38$ (−0.175 to 0.936) $p = 0.17$	$\beta = 0.010$ (−0.240 to 0.259) $p = 0.94$	1.12–1.32
SVD score (high vs low)	$\beta = -0.026$ (−0.063 to 0.011) $p = 0.17$	$\beta = -0.011$ (−0.028 to 0.005) $p = 0.17$	1.14–1.57

Abbreviations: CVR = cerebrovascular reactivity; ICV = intracranial volume; PVS = perivascular space; SVD = small vessel disease; VIF = variable inflation factor; WMH = white matter hyperintensity.
All multivariable regression models adjusted for age, sex, and systolic blood pressure. Standardized β coefficient, 95% confidence interval, and p value.

is consistent with recent findings in 2 similar populations where direct visualization of vessel morphology in the retina, a vascular bed homologous to the cerebral microvasculature, found that reduced retinal arteriolar branching complexity was associated with WMH and other SVD features.³⁶ The finding of a stronger association between lower CVR and periventricular rather than deep WMH should be replicated in future studies, which should examine regional WMH rather than just total volume, because we show that total volume may obscure important differences in relationships between SVD lesions and microvascular function.

Associations between CVR and WMH in previous studies have varied. Lower CVR has been found in association with higher WMH volume in studies of patients with Alzheimer disease³⁷ and older people with WMH³⁸ (total $N = 58$). Lower CVR has been associated with higher visual rating measures of WMH in patients with hereditary cerebral amyloid angiopathy with severe WMH and microbleeds,³⁹ patients with stroke, and patients older than 50 years with WMH with neurologic symptoms^{9–12} (total $N = 152$). Areas of normal white matter with lower CVR have also been shown to precede development of WMH.¹¹

Of the 3 studies (total $N = 197$) that have shown no association between CVR and WMH, it is notable that the WMH burden of the participants was low.^{40–42} One of these studies also used a CVR method that is very different to all other studies published in the literature.⁴² In terms of age range of included participants, WMH burden, presence of clinically evident

vascular disease, and CVR methodology, our study is more similar to the studies that demonstrated associations of lower CVR with more WMH.

We did not find associations of CVR with lacunes or microbleeds. This may be due to lack of statistical power but could also reflect different mechanisms driving development of different SVD imaging features.

Our systematic review highlighted the variability of CVR associations with regard to patient characteristics.⁴ The association of lower CVR with higher BP was seen in some previous studies,^{40,43} but BP associations with CVR were unclear in many other studies. A trend for increasing age to be associated with lower CVR narrowly failed to reach significance in our study, but age was associated with lower CVR in several other studies.^{40,41,44,45} The lack of a definite age-CVR relationship in the present study may reflect poorer brain vascular health in the younger compared with the older patients with stroke because patients who experience a stroke aged in their 40s or 50s may have worse vascular health than those who do not experience stroke until their ninth decade. This paradox has almost certainly dampened the expected age effect. The studies showing age effects either studied healthy elderly^{41,44} or vascular disease participants over a younger, narrower,⁴⁰ age range than this study, missing out the effects of the arguably healthier older participants.

Lower CVR may be associated with other markers of vascular health and symptoms. Lower CVR was associated with raised

Table 3 CVR association with CBF, arterial and venous pulsatility, and CSF flow dynamics

	Deep gray matter CVR	White matter CVR	VIF range
Total CBF	$\beta = -0.002$ (−0.004 to 0.000) $p = 0.08$	$\beta = 0.000$ (−0.001 to 0.001) $p = 0.58$	1.12–1.24
ICA pulsatility index	$\beta = 0.015$ (−0.046 to 0.075) $p = 0.62$	$\beta = 0.003$ (−0.023 to 0.030) $p = 0.81$	1.15–1.24
Vertebral artery pulsatility index	$\beta = 0.014$ (−0.034 to 0.062) $p = 0.56$	$\beta = 0.005$ (−0.017 to 0.026) $p = 0.67$	1.10–1.18
Superior sagittal sinus pulsatility index	$\beta = -0.040$ (−0.117 to 0.037) $p = 0.30$	$\beta = -0.038$ (−0.070 to −0.006) $p = 0.02$	1.13–1.21
StS pulsatility index	$\beta = -0.030$ (−0.132 to 0.073) $p = 0.56$	$\beta = -0.034$ (−0.078 to 0.011) $p = 0.13$	1.14–1.33
TS pulsatility index	$\beta = -0.052$ (−0.139 to 0.034) $p = 0.23$	$\beta = -0.034$ (−0.071 to 0.004) $p = 0.08$	1.11–1.26
IJV pulsatility index	$\beta = -0.025$ (−0.056 to 0.006) $p = 0.11$	$\beta = -0.014$ (−0.027 to −0.001) $p = 0.04$	1.01–1.13
ICA RI	$\beta = 0.027$ (−0.240 to 0.294) $p = 0.84$	$\beta = 0.011$ (−0.106 to 0.128) $p = 0.85$	1.13–1.31
Vertebral artery RI	$\beta = 0.054$ (−0.163 to 0.271) $p = 0.62$	$\beta = 0.013$ (−0.083 to 0.108) $p = 0.79$	1.13–1.27
Superior sagittal sinus RI	$\beta = -0.074$ (−0.219 to 0.071) $p = 0.31$	$\beta = -0.070$ (−0.131 to −0.009) $p = 0.03$	1.12–1.20
StS RI	$\beta = -0.045$ (−0.224 to 0.134) $p = 0.62$	$\beta = -0.055$ (−0.132 to 0.022) $p = 0.16$	1.13–1.31
TS RI	$\beta = -0.077$ (−0.226 to 0.073) $p = 0.31$	$\beta = -0.051$ (−0.116 to 0.013) $p = 0.12$	1.11–1.27
IJV RI	$\beta = -0.056$ (−0.136 to 0.024) $p = 0.16$	$\beta = -0.032$ (−0.067 to 0.003) $p = 0.07$	1.01–1.13
Aqueduct CSF flow	$\beta = -0.005$ (−0.029 to 0.020) $p = 0.71$	$\beta = -0.002$ (−0.012 to 0.009) $p = 0.78$	1.07–1.19
Aqueduct CSF stroke volume	$\beta = 0.045$ (−0.213 to 0.304) $p = 0.73$	$\beta = 0.035$ (−0.078 to 0.148) $p = 0.54$	1.13–1.25
Foramen magnum CSF flow	$\beta = 0.001$ (−0.003 to 0.005) $p = 0.72$	$\beta = 0.001$ (−0.001 to 0.002) $p = 0.51$	1.00–1.13
Foramen magnum CSF stroke volume	$\beta = 0.003$ (−0.082 to 0.087) $p = 0.95$	$\beta = 0.037$ (0.002 to 0.072) $p = 0.04$	1.01–1.14

Abbreviations: BP = blood pressure; CBF = cerebral blood flow; CVR = cerebrovascular reactivity; ICA = internal carotid artery; IJV = internal jugular vein; RI = resistivity index; StS = straight sinus; TS = transverse sinus; VIF = variable inflation factor. All multivariable regression models adjusted for age, sex, and systolic BP. Standardized β coefficient, 95% confidence interval, and p value.

inflammatory markers⁴⁶ and more rapid decline in gait speed in patients with diabetes⁴⁷ and with decreased insulin sensitivity in obese individuals with insulin resistance.⁴⁸ These suggest that inflammation may drive CVR impairment and that more sensitive clinical markers of reduced CVR and SVD should be evaluated. The literature on CVR and cognitive performance is inconclusive.⁴⁹

Higher middle cerebral artery pulsatility has previously been associated with WMH on TCD.⁶ We have shown associations of venous sinus pulsatility with WMH and PVS²² and now extend that finding to show association of more pulsatile blood flow with lower CVR. Higher pulsatility reflects a stiffer vascular bed. Histology in SVD reveals arteriolar sclerosis. Much less is known about venous changes, but collagenosis of the venular wall is described. In combination, lower CVR and higher pulsatility reflect a less adaptive and compliant vascular bed. This potentially results in higher shear forces being generated by blood flow and often results in a peak blood flow waveform that while higher is also shorter and therefore potentially reduces blood flow over the whole cardiac cycle. The association of increased PVS with lower CVR, in addition to higher intracranial vascular pulsatility, has not been described previously.²² Visible PVSs are associated with hypertension, increasing age, systemic and intracerebral inflammation, other

SVD features like WMHs and microbleeds, and increased risk of dementia.¹ Longitudinal studies are required to demonstrate whether PVS visibility precedes or follows impairments in CVR or changes in vascular or CSF pulsatility. PVSs are part of the brain's waste clearance and immune defense system, recently referred to as the glymphatic system⁵⁰; in this regard, it is interesting that we detected altered pulsation of the CSF at the foramen magnum in association with lower CVR and with worse PVS because in experimental models, reduced PVS flushing may lead to failed clearance of metabolic debris and PVS dilation.^{32,50} Lower CSF stroke volume could indicate reduced CSF flux around the base of the brain with reduced CSF movement linked to impaired PVS flushing. Caution is required, however, because the PVS-CSF stroke volume and CVR associations could be a coassociation with other SVD features, a common problem in SVD research³⁰ and should be evaluated further.

We did not find an association between WMH and CBF, consistent with previous data.³ However, we provide more support for a vascular dysfunction in SVD: CVR is a measure of dynamic vessel function, with impairment reflecting an inability to increase blood flow when required. The mechanisms behind this may encompass impaired endothelial function, reduced nitric oxide bioavailability, altered vascular smooth muscle function, inflammatory exudates damaging the vessel wall, and

PVS function.⁵¹ More longitudinal data with contemporaneous vascular function measures and careful adjustment for covariates are required to unpick this pathway, but it is notable that all the associations of demographic and SVD features with impaired CVR were stronger in the white matter than the gray matter despite white matter CVR being around one-third the magnitude of that in gray matter and white matter CBF being around half of that in gray matter.^{3,22} The directionality of CVR changes in gray matter is similar to white matter, however, and therefore, lack of statistical significance may reflect lack of power in this sample. Studies of SVD mechanisms and potential interventions should consider using measures of dynamic vascular function such as CVR or pulsatility rather than resting CBF.^{39,52}

We show novel and independent associations between SVD features and several measures of impaired cerebral hemodynamics, providing new insights into mechanisms that may underlie SVD development. Further longitudinal studies, controlling for confounders (e.g., age, BP, and WMH volume) will define these changes and delineate the pathway of SVD development, including the novel observation of impaired CSF pulsation. Ultimately, enhanced knowledge of vascular malfunction will help identify therapeutic targets to halt or even reverse disease progression, with benefits for both dementia and stroke prevention.

Study funding

Funding for the study was provided by the Chief Scientist Office (Scotland), grant reference ETM/326 and the Wellcome Trust—University of Edinburgh Institutional Strategic Support Fund. Additional support is provided by the European Union Horizon 2020 project No. 666881, “SVDs@Target” (G.W.B. and M.S.), The Stroke Association Princess Margaret Research Development Fellowship scheme (G.W.B.), Alzheimer’s Society (Ref: 252(AS-PG-14-033), G.W.B.), the Stroke Association Garfield Weston Foundation Senior Clinical Lectureship (FND), NHS Research Scotland (FND), the Stroke Association Postdoctoral Fellowship (TSAPDF2017/01, DAD), the China Scholarships Council/University of Edinburgh (Y.S.), NHS Lothian Research and Development Office (M.J.T.), and the Scottish Funding Council through the Scottish Imaging Network, A Platform for Scientific Excellence (SINAPSE) Collaboration. Funding is gratefully acknowledged from the Fondation Leducq (ref no. 16 CVD 05), the Edinburgh and Lothians Health Foundation, and the MRC UK Dementia Research Institute.

Disclosure

G.W. Blair is funded by Chief Scientist Office (Scotland), grant reference ETM/326, the Wellcome Trust—University of Edinburgh Institutional Strategic Support Fund, European Union Horizon 2020 project No. 666881, “SVDs@Target,” The Stroke Association Princess Margaret Research Development Fellowship scheme, Alzheimer’s Society (Ref: 252(AS-PG-14-033)). M.J. Thrippleton is

funded by Chief Scientist Office (Scotland), grant reference ETM/326, NHS Lothian Research and Development Office. Y. Shi is funded by the China Scholarships Council/University of Edinburgh. I. Hamilton reports no relevant disclosures. M. Stringer is funded by European Union Horizon 2020 project No. 666881, “SVDs@Target.” F. Chappell is funded by Chief Scientist Office (Scotland), grant reference ETM/326. D.A. Dickie is funded by the Stroke Association Postdoctoral Fellowship (TSAPDF2017/01). P. Andrews and I. Marshall report no relevant disclosures. F.N. Doubal is funded by the Stroke Association Garfield Weston Foundation Senior Clinical Lectureship, NHS Research Scotland. J.M. Wardlaw, in addition to the above funding sources, acknowledges support from the MRC UK Dementia Research Institute, the Fondation Leducq (16 CVD 05), and the Row Fogo Charitable Trust. Go to [Neurology.org/N](https://www.neurology.org/N) for full disclosures.

Publication history

Received by *Neurology* April 29, 2019. Accepted in final form December 10, 2019.

Appendix Authors

Name	Location	Contribution
Gordon W. Blair, MRCP(UK)	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Patient recruitment, data acquisition, data analysis, and drafting and revision of the manuscript
Michael J. Thrippleton, PhD	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Data acquisition, development of the CVR procedure and analysis techniques, data analysis, and revision of the manuscript
Yulu Shi, PhD	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Data acquisition, data analysis, and revision of the manuscript
Iona Hamilton, BSc	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Data acquisition
Michael Stringer, PhD	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Data analysis
Francesca Chappell, PhD	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Study statistician, data analysis, and revision of the manuscript
David Alexander Dickie, PhD	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Data analysis and revision of the manuscript

Appendix (continued)

Name	Location	Contribution
Peter Andrews, MD	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Study design, development of the CVR procedure, and revision of the manuscript
Ian Marshall, PhD	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Study design, development of the CVR procedure and analysis techniques, data analysis, and revision of the manuscript
Fergus N. Doubal, PhD	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Study design, development of the CVR procedure, data analysis, and revision of the manuscript
Joanna M. Wardlaw, MD	Brain Research Imaging Centre, Centre for Clinical Brain Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK	Study conceptualization and design, development of the CVR procedure and analysis techniques, data analysis, and revision of the manuscript

References

- Debette S, Schilling S, Duperron MG, Larsson SC, Markus HS. Clinical significance of magnetic resonance imaging markers of vascular brain injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol* 2019;76:81–94.
- Bath PM, Wardlaw JM. Pharmacological treatment and prevention of cerebral small vessel disease: a review of potential interventions. *Int J Stroke* 2015;10:469–478.
- Shi Y, Thrippleton MJ, Makin SD, et al. Cerebral blood flow in small vessel disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2016;36:1653–1667.
- Blair GW, Doubal FN, Thrippleton MJ, Marshall I, Wardlaw JM. Magnetic resonance imaging for assessment of cerebrovascular reactivity in cerebral small vessel disease: a systematic review. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2016;36:833–841.
- Fierstra J, Sobczyk O, Battisti-Charbonney A, et al. Measuring cerebrovascular reactivity: what stimulus to use? *J Physiol* 2013;591:S809–S821.
- Webb AJ, Simoni M, Mazzucco S, Kuker W, Schulz U, Rothwell PM. Increased cerebral arterial pulsatility in patients with leukoaraiosis: arterial stiffness enhances transmission of aortic pulsatility. *Stroke* 2012;43:2631–2636.
- Stevenson SF, Doubal FN, Shuler K, Wardlaw JM. A systematic review of dynamic cerebral and peripheral endothelial function in lacunar stroke versus controls. *Stroke* 2010;41:e434–e442.
- Thrippleton MJ, Shi Y, Blair G, et al. Cerebrovascular reactivity measurement in cerebral small vessel disease: rationale and reproducibility of a protocol for MRI acquisition and image processing. *Int J Stroke* 2018;13:195–206.
- Sam K, Crawley AP, Conklin J, et al. Development of white matter hyperintensity is preceded by reduced cerebrovascular reactivity. *Ann Neurol* 2016;80:277–285.
- Sam K, Peltenburg B, Conklin J, et al. Cerebrovascular reactivity and white matter integrity. *Neurology* 2016;87:2333–2339.
- Sam K, Conklin J, Holmes KR, et al. Impaired dynamic cerebrovascular response to hypercapnia predicts development of white matter hyperintensities. *NeuroImage Clin* 2016;11:796–801.
- Sam K, Crawley AP, Poulblanc J, et al. Vascular dysfunction in leukoaraiosis. *Am J Neuroradiol* 2016;37:2258–2264.
- Aribisala BS, Morris Z, Eadie E, et al. Blood pressure, internal carotid artery flow parameters and age-related white matter hyperintensities. *Hypertension* 2014;63:1011–1018.
- Pase MP, Grima NA, Stough CK, Scholey A, Pipingas A. Cardiovascular disease risk and cerebral blood flow velocity. *Stroke* 2012;43:2803–2805.
- Shi Y, Thrippleton MJ, Marshall I, Wardlaw JM. Intracranial pulsatility in patients with cerebral small vessel disease: a systematic review. *Clin Sci* 2018;132:157–171.
- van Swieten JC, Koudstaal PJ, Visser MC, Schouten HJ, van Gijn J. Interobserver agreement for the assessment of handicap in stroke patients. *Stroke* 1988;19:604–607.
- Makin SDJ, Doubal FN, Dennis MS, Wardlaw JM. Clinically confirmed stroke with negative diffusion-weighted imaging magnetic resonance imaging. Longitudinal study of clinical outcomes, stroke recurrence, and systematic review. *Stroke* 2015;46:3142–3148.
- Bamford J, Sandercock P, Dennis M, Burn J, Warlow C. Classification and natural history of clinically identifiable subtypes of cerebral infarction. *Lancet* 1991;337:1521–1526.
- Jackson CA, Hutchison A, Dennis MS, Wardlaw JM, Lewis SC, Sudlow CL. Differences between ischemic stroke subtypes in vascular outcomes support a distinct lacunar ischemic stroke arteriopathy. A prospective, hospital-based study. *Stroke* 2009;40:3679–3684.
- Stoquart-ElSankari S, Baledent O, Gondry-Jouet C, Makki M, Godefroy O, Meyer ME. Aging effects on cerebral blood and cerebrospinal fluid flows. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2007;27:1563–1572.
- Stoquart-ElSankari S, Lehmann P, Villette A, et al. A phase-contrast MRI study of physiologic cerebral venous flow. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2009;29:1208–1215.
- Shi Y, Thrippleton MJ, Blair GW, et al. Small vessel disease is associated with altered cerebrovascular pulsatility but not resting cerebral blood flow. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2020;40:85–99.
- Wardlaw JM, Smith EE, Biessels GJ, et al. Neuroimaging standards for research into small vessel disease and its contribution to ageing and neurodegeneration: a united approach. *Lancet Neurol* 2013;12:822–838.
- Fazekas F, Chawluk JB, Alavi A, Hurtig HI, Zimmerman RA. MR signal abnormalities at 1.5T in Alzheimer's dementia and normal aging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1987;149:351–356.
- Farrell C, Chappell F, Armitage PA, et al. Development and initial testing of normal reference MR images for the brain at ages 65–70 and 75–80 years. *Eur Radiol* 2009;19:177–183.
- Staals J, Makin SDJ, Doubal F, Dennis M, Wardlaw JM. Stroke subtype, vascular risk factors and total MRI brain small vessel disease burden. *Neurology* 2014;83:1228–1234.
- Valdes Hernandez MC, Ferguson KJ, Chappell FM, Wardlaw JM. New multispectral MRI data fusion technique for white matter lesion segmentation: method and comparison with thresholding in FLAIR images. *Eur Radiol* 2010;20:1684–1691.
- Jenkinson M, Bannister P, Brady M, Smith S. Improved optimization for the robust and accurate linear registration and motion correction of brain images. *Neuroimage* 2002;17:825–841.
- Bateman G. Pulse-wave encephalopathy: a comparative study of the hydrodynamics of leukoaraiosis and normal-pressure hydrocephalus. *Neuroradiology* 2002;44:740–748.
- Blair GW, Hernandez MV, Thrippleton MJ, Doubal FN, Wardlaw JM. Advanced neuroimaging of cerebral small vessel disease. *Curr Treat Options Cardiovasc Med* 2017;19:56.
- Zuur AF, Ieno EN, Elphick CS. A protocol for data exploration to avoid common statistical problems. *Methods Ecol Evol* 2010;1:3–14.
- Mestre H, Tithof J, Du T, et al. Flow of cerebrospinal fluid is driven by arterial pulsations and is reduced in hypertension. *Nat Commun* 2018;9:4878.
- Loos CM, McHutchison C, Cvorovic V, et al. The relation between total cerebral small vessel disease burden and gait impairment in patients with minor stroke. *Int J Stroke* 2018;13:518–524.
- Wardlaw JM, Makin SJ, Hernández MCV, et al. Blood-brain barrier failure as a core mechanism in cerebral small vessel disease and dementia: evidence from a cohort study. *Alzheimers Dement* 2017;13:634–643.
- Stringer M, Blair G, Shi Y, et al. A comparison of cerebrovascular reactivity at 1.5 and 3T in cerebral small vessel disease patients. *Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med* 2018;26:4818.
- McGrory S, Ballerini L, Doubal FN, et al. Retinal microvasculature and cerebral small vessel disease in the lothian birth cohort 1936 and mild stroke study. *Sci Rep* 2019;9:6320.
- Yezhuvath US, Uh J, Cheng Y, et al. Forebrain-dominant deficit in cerebrovascular reactivity in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2012;33:75–82.
- Uh J, Yezhuvath U, Cheng Y, Lu H. In vivo vascular hallmarks of diffuse leukoaraiosis. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2010;32:184–190.
- van Opstal AM, van Rooden S, van Harten T, et al. Cerebrovascular function in presymptomatic and symptomatic individuals with hereditary cerebral amyloid angiopathy: a case-control study. *Lancet Neurol* 2017;16:115–122.
- Conijn MM, Hoogduin JM, van der Graaf Y, Hendrikse J, Luijten PR, Geerlings MI. Microbleeds, lacunar infarcts, white matter lesions and cerebrovascular reactivity—a 7 T study. *Neuroimage* 2012;59:950–956.
- Gauthier CJ, Lefort M, Mekary S, et al. Hearts and minds: linking vascular rigidity and aerobic fitness with cognitive aging. *Neurobiol Aging* 2015;36:304–314.
- Richiardi J, Monsch AU, Haas T, et al. Altered cerebrovascular reactivity velocity in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 2015;36:33–41.
- Haight TJ, Bryan RN, Erus G, et al. Vascular risk factors, cerebrovascular reactivity, and the default-mode brain network. *Neuroimage* 2015;115:7–16.
- Hund-Georgiadis M, Zysset S, Naganawa S, Norris DG, Yves von Cramon D. Determination of cerebrovascular reactivity by means of fMRI signal changes in cerebral microangiopathy: a correlation with morphological abnormalities. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2003;16:158–165.
- Liu P, Hebrank AC, Rodrigue KM, et al. Age-related differences in memory-encoding fMRI responses after accounting for decline in vascular reactivity. *NeuroImage* 2013;78:415–425.
- Chung CC, Pimentel D, Jor'dan AJ, Hao Y, Milberg W, Novak V. Inflammation-associated declines in cerebral vasoreactivity and cognition in type 2 diabetes. *Neurology* 2015;85:450–458.
- Chung CC, Pimentel Maldonado DA, Jor'dan AJ, et al. Lower cerebral vasoreactivity as a predictor of gait speed decline in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Neurol* 2018;265:2267–2276.
- Frosch OH, Yau PL, Osorio RS, Rusinek H, Storey P, Convit A. Insulin resistance among obese middle-aged is associated with decreased cerebrovascular reactivity. *Neurology* 2017;89:249–255.
- Catchlove SJ, Pipingas A, Hughes ME, Macpherson H. Magnetic resonance imaging for assessment of cerebrovascular reactivity and its relationship to cognition: a systematic review. *BMC Neurosci* 2018;19:21.
- Rasmussen MK, Mestre H, Nedergaard M. The glymphatic pathway in neurological disorders. *Lancet Neurol* 2018;17:1016–1024.
- Shi Y, Wardlaw JM. Update on cerebral small vessel disease: a dynamic whole-brain disease. *Stroke Vasc Neurol* 2016;1:83–92.
- Blair GW, Appleton JP, Flaherty K, et al. Tolerability, safety and intermediary pharmacological effects of cilostazol and isosorbide mononitrate, alone and combined, in patients with lacunar ischaemic stroke: the LACunar intervention-1 (LACI-1) trial. *EClinicalMedicine* 2019;11:34–43.

Neurology®

Intracranial hemodynamic relationships in patients with cerebral small vessel disease

Gordon W. Blair, Michael J. Thrippleton, Yulu Shi, et al.

Neurology 2020;94:e2258-e2269 Published Online before print May 4, 2020

DOI 10.1212/WNL.00000000000009483

This information is current as of May 4, 2020

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/94/21/e2258.full
References	This article cites 52 articles, 11 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/94/21/e2258.full#ref-list-1
Citations	This article has been cited by 3 HighWire-hosted articles: http://n.neurology.org/content/94/21/e2258.full#otherarticles
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.