

Multicenter prospective study on predictors of short-term outcome in disorders of consciousness

Anna Estraneo, MD, Salvatore Fiorenza, NphT, Alfonso Magliacano, PsyD, et al., on behalf of IBIA DoC-SIG

Cite as: *Neurology*® 2020;95:e1488-e1499. doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000010254

Correspondence

Dr. Estraneo
aestraneo@gmail.com

Study question

What are the predictors of short-term clinical outcomes in patients with prolonged disorders of consciousness (DoC) due to acquired severe brain injury?

What is known and what this paper adds

Previous studies have identified single variables, such as the characteristics of somatosensory evoked potentials (SEPs), as potential predictors of outcomes in patients with prolonged DoC (i.e., DoC with durations >28 days). This investigation's results elucidate the value of multimodal assessments for predicting outcomes.

Methods

For this prospective observational study, the investigators enrolled 147 adults (70.1% male; mean age, 49.4 years; 95% confidence interval [CI], 46.1–52.6 years) who entered forms of DoC (vegetative state/unresponsive wakefulness syndrome [VS/UWS] for 71; minimally conscious state [MCS] for 76) with anoxic, traumatic, or vascular etiologies. These patients received treatment through 12 specialized centers in North America, Europe, and Asia during 2017. Enrollment occurred ≤3 months after brain injury. At 6 months, clinicians assessed the patients to detect improvements (i.e., a patient in MCS at baseline emerging from MCS or a patient in VS/UWS at baseline entering MCS or regaining full consciousness). These improvements were the primary outcomes. The investigators used regression models to identify associations between baseline demographic, anamnestic, clinical, and neurophysiologic features and 6-month improvements.

Table Predictors of improved diagnoses at 6 months

Predictor	β	Odds ratio (95% confidence interval)
Age, per y	-1.91	0.14 (0.04–0.53)
Time postinjury, per d	-2.26	0.10 (0.02–0.39)
CRS-R, per point	2.20	9.10 (1.17–70.30)
EEG reactivity to eye-opening	2.30	10.04 (1.07–94.10)

Results and study limitations

At 6 months, clinical diagnoses had improved for 72 patients, including 27 in the VS/UWS group and 45 in the MCS group. The predictors of improvement were younger ages, shorter postinjury times, higher Coma Recovery Scale–Revised (CRS-R) scores, and the presence of EEG reactivity to eye-opening. Interestingly, EEG background activity features and the SEP cortical component, which predicted outcomes in earlier studies, were not prognostically informative in multivariate analysis. The present study's limitations include not having enough patients for separate analyses of the VS/UWS and MCS groups and not having neurophysiology data for all patients. The international nature of the study sample favors generalizability.

Study funding and competing interests

This study was funded by the NIH, the James S. McDonnell Foundation, the Tiny Blue Dot Foundation, the Belgian and Italian governments and European Union's Horizon 2020 program (grant agreement N° 778234- DoCMA project). The authors report no competing interests. Go to [Neurology.org/N](https://www.neurology.org/N) for full disclosures.

A draft of the short-form article was written by M. Dalefield, a writer with Editage, a division of Cactus Communications. The corresponding author(s) of the full-length article and the journal editors edited and approved the final version.

Neurology[®]

Multicenter prospective study on predictors of short-term outcome in disorders of consciousness

Anna Estraneo, Salvatore Fiorenza, Alfonso Magliacano, et al.
Neurology 2020;95:e1488-e1499 Published Online before print July 13, 2020
DOI 10.1212/WNL.0000000000010254

This information is current as of July 13, 2020

Updated Information & Services	including high resolution figures, can be found at: http://n.neurology.org/content/95/11/e1488.full
References	This article cites 48 articles, 9 of which you can access for free at: http://n.neurology.org/content/95/11/e1488.full#ref-list-1
Subspecialty Collections	This article, along with others on similar topics, appears in the following collection(s): All Cerebrovascular disease/Stroke http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/all_cerebrovascular_disease_stroke All Clinical Neurology http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/all_clinical_neurology All clinical neurophysiology http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/all_clinical_neurophysiology All Rehabilitation http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/all_rehabilitation Prognosis http://n.neurology.org/cgi/collection/prognosis
Permissions & Licensing	Information about reproducing this article in parts (figures, tables) or in its entirety can be found online at: http://www.neurology.org/about/about_the_journal#permissions
Reprints	Information about ordering reprints can be found online: http://n.neurology.org/subscribers/advertise

Neurology® is the official journal of the American Academy of Neurology. Published continuously since 1951, it is now a weekly with 48 issues per year. Copyright © 2020 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the American Academy of Neurology. All rights reserved. Print ISSN: 0028-3878. Online ISSN: 1526-632X.

