Intra-arterial Melphalan for Neurologic Non-Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis
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Histiocytic neoplasms are rare hematologic disorders characterized by pathologic infiltration of activated histiocytes in affected tissues. The treatment of histiocytic neoplasms, including Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH) and non-LCH, has advanced in recent years owing to targeted kinase inhibitors (BRAF and MEK) as patients with somatic mutations in the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway derive robust and durable responses with these therapies.1 However, there are patients with non-LCH who do not benefit from targeted therapies, particularly those with histiocytic infiltration of the nervous system, which is associated with mortality in 1 non-LCH disorder. BRAF and MEK inhibitors have limited penetration into the nervous system and worsening neurologic disease despite targeted therapy has been observed.2 These treatments can be limited or contraindicated by disease-related organ dysfunction or comorbidities. The subset of patients without MAPK alterations may not benefit from targeted therapies.

Melphalan is an alkylating agent of mustard gas derivation and small case reports/series suggest histiocytic disease is sensitive to melphalan and similar agents.3 Here we describe an innovative treatment strategy using melphalan intra-arterially delivered to neurologic foci of patients with non-LCH.

Methods

This study was Institution Review Board–approved, exempt for informed consent for retrospective research by Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center. Patients gave informed consent for treatment. Three patients with neurologic or ocular sites of histiocytic disease treated with intra-arterially delivered melphalan were included. Intraarterial melphalan was delivered, as has been previously described,4 infused to one or multiple sites per treatment. The determination of target arterial vasculature and dose per infusion was a subjective process determined by anatomic site of the lesions, the hemodynamics of that site,5 and volume of tumorous disease. The total dose of melphalan was limited to 0.5 mg/kg based on prior experience with hematologic toxicity4 (standard single agent IV dose is 1 mg/kg). Treatment was repeated at 4–6 week intervals, timed with recovery of blood counts. Response to treatment was evaluated by MRI, fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET/CT, and functional ophthalmic assessments.

Results

The full treatment plan (dosing, vascular access, and schedule) and treatment-emergent toxicities are available from Dryad at doi.org/10.5061/dryad.m63xsj418 (tables e1 and e2). There were no procedural adverse events. Patient 1 is a 35-year-old man with heavily pretreated Rosai...
Dorfman disease (RDD) of the brain, ophthalmic structures including optic nerves, and no identifiable somatic mutation. His disease progressed despite systemic treatment with both chemotherapy and low-dose MEK inhibition. He received 3 infusions of intra-arterial melphalan to the vertebral and ophthalmic arteries with marked improvement in vision and radiologic lesions (figure, A; data available from Dryad [tables e1 and e2A]). Patient 2 is a 51-year-old woman with RDD of the orbital-craniofacial structures resulting in optic neuropathy, with no somatic mutation identified, refractory to corticosteroids and radiotherapy, with retinopathy contraindicating empiric MEK inhibition. She received intra-arterial melphalan to several arteries over 10 infusions with regression of lesions and resolution of profound visual impairment (figure, B; data available from Dryad [tables e1 and e2B]). Patient 3 is a 41-year-old woman with isolated MAP2K1-mutated, non-LCH of the hypothalamus who could not access targeted therapy due to insurance denial. She received 3 infusions of intra-arterial melphalan to the basilar artery with dramatic tumoral regression (figure, C; data available from Dryad [tables e1 and e2C]).

**Discussion**

The premise of intra-arterial chemotherapy is to deliver a therapeutic dose to the target lesion while minimizing systemic exposure. This approach has been implemented for the treatment of primary and metastatic brain and spinal tumors using a number of agents. Melphalan is particularly appealing for local administration because it is rapidly eliminated and has no neurotoxicity. Melphalan is myelosuppressive in a dose-dependent manner and myelosuppression may occur following intra-arterial delivery for this patient population.

We report a novel implementation of intra-arterial chemotherapy for neurologically severe and treatment-refractory non-LCH. In our patients, this therapy was both feasible and safe, consistent with its implementation in children. Even in the era of targeted therapies for histiocytosis, this approach holds promise for mutation-negative histiocytosis or neurohistiocytosis unmanaged by targeted therapy for a variety of reasons (i.e., poor brain penetration, contraindication, or lack of access). RDD may be particularly suited for this therapy as a substantial subset of patients do not harbor MAPK pathway mutations and neurologic RDD is an especially morbid disease manifestation. Limitations of this treatment include restriction of this specialized technique to few centers and the fact that intra-arterial treatment is best suited for a limited number of vascular territories and therefore less practical for multifocal disease. In our patients, we observed successful intra-arterial delivery with robust clinical and radiologic response. This treatment approach would benefit from systematic evaluation of its feasibility and safety across a variety of tumor sites and arterial targets, as well as its efficacy.
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