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Abstract

Objective
To assess whether chronic white matter inflammation in patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) as detected in vivo by paramagnetic rim MRI lesions (PRLs) is associated with higher serum neurofilament light chain (sNfL) levels, a marker of neuroaxonal damage.

Methods
In 118 patients with MS with no gadolinium-enhancing lesions or recent relapses, we analyzed 3D-submillimeter phase MRI and sNfL levels. Histopathologic evaluation was performed in 25 MS lesions from 20 additional autopsy MS cases.

Results
In univariable analyses, participants with ≥2 PRLs (n = 43) compared to those with ≤1 PRL (n = 75) had higher age-adjusted sNfL percentiles (median, 91 and 68; p < 0.001) and higher Multiple Sclerosis Severity Scale scores (MSSS median, 4.3 and 2.4; p = 0.003). In multivariable analyses, sNfL percentile levels were higher in PRLs ≥2 cases (βadd, 16.3; 95% confidence interval [CI], 4.6–28.0; p < 0.01), whereas disease-modifying treatment (DMT), Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score, and T2 lesion load did not affect sNfL. In a similar model, sNfL percentile levels were highest in cases with ≥4 PRLs (n = 30; βadd 30.4; 95% CI, 15.6–45.2; p < 0.01). Subsequent multivariable analysis revealed that PRLs ≥2 cases also had higher MSSS (βadd 1.1; 95% CI, 0.3–1.9; p < 0.01), whereas MSSS was not affected by DMT or T2 lesion load. On histopathology, both chronic active and smoldering lesions exhibited more severe acute axonal damage at the lesion edge than in the lesion center (edge vs center: p = 0.004 and p = 0.0002, respectively).

Conclusion
Chronic white matter inflammation was associated with increased levels of sNfL and disease severity in nonacute MS, suggesting that PRL contribute to clinically relevant, inflammation-driven neurodegeneration.
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Glossary

APP = amyloid precursor protein; AT = acquisition time; CI = confidence interval; DAB = 3,3'-diaminobenzidine; DMT = disease-modifying treatment; EDSS = Expanded Disability Status Scale; EPI = echoplanar imaging; FA = flip angle; FLAIR = fluid-attenuated inversion recovery; Gd = gadolinium; IQR = interquartile range; MBP = myelin basic protein; MHC = major histocompatibility complex; MPRAGE = magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo; MS = multiple sclerosis; MSSS = Multiple Sclerosis Severity Scale; NfL = neurofilament light chain; PMS = primary or secondary progressive multiple sclerosis; PRL = paramagnetic rim lesions; RRMS = relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis; sNfL = serum neurofilament light chain; TE = echo time; TR = repetition time.

An important unmet clinical and research need for patients with multiple sclerosis (MS) is the understanding of the clinical and pathologic effect of ongoing chronic inflammation within the CNS, which may become the target of future disease-modifying treatment (DMT).

MS is a chronic neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative disease characterized by focal demyelinated lesions scattered throughout the CNS. New active MS lesions are characterized by overt inflammation with blood–brain barrier damage and are visible on MRI as focal areas of gadolinium (Gd) enhancement. After the first phase of acute demyelination, a subset of these lesions retains chronic inflammation at the edge (chronic active/smoldering lesions). In the past decade, several MRI–histopathologic validation studies have shown that chronic active/smoldering lesions (although probably not all of them) can be visualized on susceptibility-based MRI as non-Gd–enhancing lesions with a paramagnetic rim (PRL). The MRI susceptibility contrast at the lesion rim is mainly related to iron accumulation within activated microglia/macrophages, although persistent oxidative stress may also contribute. From a clinical point of view, PRL are frequent in both relapsing-remitting and progressive MS and are clinically associated with more aggressive disease. Whether PRL are associated with increased neuroaxonal damage in living patients with MS is unknown.

Neuropathology studies have shown that iron-rich activated microglia/macrophages and smoldering demyelination can be found at the edge of some chronic MS lesions, which have been classified as “chronic active” or “smoldering” lesions depending on the amount of myelin phagocytosis observed. Indeed, chronic inflammation and damage to myelin and axons fall on a spectrum, making it sometimes difficult to accurately separate chronic active from smoldering lesions also in histopathologic analyses. Axon loss and ongoing axon damage have been described, respectively, at the center and edge of such lesions, and there is a correlation between axon injury and the number of chronic active and smoldering lesions in progressive MS cases. Taken together, these results suggest that focal chronic inflammation can drive neurodegeneration.

Neuroaxonal damage is considered the substrate of permanent neurologic disability, and monitoring the levels of neurofilament proteins in the peripheral blood has shown promise as a marker of disease activity and neurodegeneration in MS. Specifically, previous studies suggest that serum neurofilament light chain (sNfL) levels mirror not only diffuse neuronal loss but also focal inflammatory damage in MS. However, whether sNfL levels reflect the presence of chronic white matter inflammation—in the form of PRL—has not been investigated in vivo.

In this study, we assessed whether the presence of PRL in patients with MS, as detected in vivo with susceptibility-based MRI, is associated with an increase in the levels of sNfL. We also aimed to confirm and extend previous knowledge by showing that chronic active and smoldering lesions—the histopathologic correlate of PRL—exhibit substantial axonal loss that colocalizes with chronic inflammatory cells and results in increased neurofilament light chain (NfL) release.

Methods

Assessment of the Relationship Between PRL and sNfL in Vivo

Patients

For all participants, imaging, laboratory, and clinical data were collected between December 2017 and September 2019 in 2 university hospitals (University Hospital Basel and Lausanne University Hospital, Switzerland). Inclusion criteria were adults with a diagnosis of relapsing-remitting MS (RRMS) or primary or secondary progressive MS (PMS) according to the 2017 McDonald MS criteria, either untreated or on stable DMT for at least 3 months. Cases were excluded for subsequent analysis if they lacked matched imaging, laboratory, and clinical assessments within 6 months; had a clinical relapse or corticosteroid treatment within the 4 months preceding testing; had any Gd-enhancing lesions assessed in clinical MRI performed within 2 months of the study MRI; or had motion-corrupted MRI.

The imaging protocol included high-resolution, susceptibility-based MRI for PRL assessment (see below). Serum samples
were collected, stored, and processed for sNfL analysis at the University Hospital Basel using the NF-Light® assay on single molecule array HDX platform (Quanterix; Billerica). Age-adjusted percentiles of sNfL were calculated in patients with MS in relationship to a database of 259 healthy controls (485 sNfL samples). Clinical data included Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) and Multiple Sclerosis Severity Scale (MSSS). DMT were categorized as follows: (1) untreated; (2) injectable platform drugs with moderate efficacy, including glatiramer acetate and interferon β-1a/b; (3) oral drugs with mostly high efficacy, including teriflunomide, dimethyl fumarate, and fingolimod; (4) very-high-efficacy drugs, including ocrelizumab, rituximab, natalizumab, and mitoxantrone.

**Imaging Acquisition and Analysis**

All participants underwent brain MRI on a 3T Magnetom Skyra or Prisma-fit scanner (Siemens Healthcare) in Lausanne, Switzerland, or a 3T Magnetom Prisma scanner (Siemens Healthcare) in Basel, Switzerland. Imaging at both centers included a high-resolution 3D segmented echoplanar imaging (EPI) sequence providing T2*-weighted and phase contrasts (repetition time [TR], 64 ms; echo time [TE], 35 ms; flip angle [FA], 10°; echo-train length, 15; acquisition time [AT], 5 minutes 46 seconds; 288 sagittal slices; 0.65-mm isometric resolution) and a 3D T2−fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence (TR, 5,000 ms; TE, 391 ms; FA, variable; AT, 4 minutes 47 seconds; 176 sagittal slices; 1.0-mm isometric resolution). 3D T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) or MP2RAGE scans were acquired in Lausanne and Basel, respectively.

Phase postprocessing was performed as previously reported, with paramagnetic shifts rendered as hypointense. For each participant, the presence of PRL on unwrapped phase images was independently assessed by 2 raters (P.M. and M.A.). In case of initial disagreement on the presence/absence of a specific PRL, agreement was reached in a separate session by consensus between the 2 raters. A chronic lesion was rated as a PRL when it showed a hypointense rim and internal isointensity to perilesional white matter on phase images. Automated lesion segmentation was performed using FLAIR and MPRAGE/MP2RAGE images and manually corrected if needed, yielding total white matter T2-hyperintense lesion volume (T2 lesion load). Brain volumes (gray matter volume + white matter volume/total intracranial volume) were computed using FreeSurfer (surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu) after lesion filling on MPRAGE/MP2RAGE images.

**Statistical Analysis**

Cases were initially categorized in 3 groups based on previous evidence from the literature according to the number of PRLs (0, 1–3, ≥ 4). Due to the statistical distribution of the data in this particular study, we implemented a simpler cutoff of PRL 0–1 and ≥ 2. The interrater reliability for PRL groups was computed using Cohen k. Baseline differences (demographic and clinical) between PRL groups were assessed in a univariate analysis using t test, Mann-Whitney U test, or χ² test, as appropriate. The association between clinical and MRI measures and PRL (0–1 and ≥ 2; dependent variable) was assessed in a multivariable logistic model using MRI lesion load, disease duration, MS subtype, and DMT as covariates (estimates β_0 OR are back-transformed, indicating the odds ratio for ≥ 2 vs 0–1 PRL). The number of PRLs was assessed in a negative binomial model using the same independent variables (estimates β_1 OR are back-transformed, indicating the PRL incidence rate ratio associated with unit increase in the respective covariate). To achieve an age-independent estimation of sNfL, levels were described as age-dependent percentiles derived from healthy controls, as previously described.

The association between PRL categories and sNfL percentiles (dependent variable) was tested in a multivariable linear model using EDSS, MS subtype, MRI lesion load, and DMT as covariates (estimates β_0 OR are back-transformed, indicating the sNfL percentile). To compare our results to 2 recent studies, we repeated the same analysis after grouping MS cases according to a different PRL number cutoff (0, 1–3, and ≥ 4). The association between PRL groups and MSSS (dependent variable) was assessed in a multivariable linear model using PRL number, MS subtype, MRI lesion load, and DMT as covariates (estimates β_1 OR are back-transformed, indicating the number of points MSSS is estimated to increase).

To exclude any possible influence of the different 3T scanners on the results, we repeated all analyses adding “scanner type” as covariate.

**Standard Protocol Approvals, Registrations, and Patient Consents**

For this study, we received approval from the local ethical standards committee on human experimentation and written informed consent was obtained from all patients participating in the study (consent for research). The Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials chart is provided in eFigure 1 (doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pk0p2ngn3).

**Assessment of the Presence of Acute Axonal Damage in Histopathologic Correlates of PRL (Chronic Active/Smoldering Lesions)**

**Neuropathologic Analysis**

Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded brain tissue was randomly selected from archival autopsy MS cases that had been collected at the Institute of Neuropathology at the University Medical Center Göttingen.

Neuropathologic evaluation of lesions at different histopathologic stages was performed to assess the extent of acute axonal damage in relation to macrophage infiltration/microglia activation and ongoing demyelination in both the lesion core and edge. Paraffin-embedded 2- to 3-μm-thick
tissue sections were deparaffinized; stained with hematoxylin & eosin, Luxol fast blue/periodic acid–Schiff, myelin, and Bielschowsky silver impregnation method (axons); and immunohistochemistry was performed according to standard procedures. Primary antibodies were diluted in blocking buffer and incubated overnight at 4°C. Antibody binding was visualized using biotinylated secondary antibodies (GE Healthcare, Jackson ImmunoResearch, and DCS Innovative Diagnostic Systems), peroxidase-conjugated avidin, and 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB; Sigma-Aldrich). Double-labeling immunohistochemistry was performed combining DAB and Fast Blue using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibody (Dako). The following primary antibodies were used: mouse anti–CD68-macrophage/activated microglia antibody (clone KiM1P, 1:50, gift of Prof. Dr. Heinz-Joachim Radzun, Göttingen), mouse anti–β-amyloid precursor protein (APP; Merck Millipore, MAB348, clone 22C11, 1:2000), and rabbit anti–myelin basic protein (MBP; Dako, A0623, 1:2000). Quantitative analysis of APP-positive (APP+) axonal spheroids (acutely injured axons), CD68-positive macrophages/activated microglia, and MBP-containing phagocytes was performed using a 10 × 10 ocular morphometric grid (400× magnification; Olympus). The results were expressed as cells/mm².

MRI Pathology

One MS brain was imaged on a 3T Prisma MRI system using a 20-channel head-and-neck coil and a dome-shaped brain container filled with perfluoropolyether. The brain was fixed in 4% formalin within 24 hours from the time of death and for 4 months before the MRI. Postmortem unwrapped phase images were obtained from a 3D-EPI acquisition (330 μm isometric, TR 65 ms, TE 35 ms, echo train length 13, bandwidth 394 Hz/pixel). The matching between MRI and histopathology was achieved through a personalized 3D-printed cutting box. Additional manual registration between the digitized brain slab surfaces and the corresponding MRI slices was performed to further refine the match between histopathology and MRI. Immunohistochemistry for myelin, microglia/macrophages, and acute axonal injury was obtained using anti-MBP, major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II (clone CR3/43) and anti-β-APP (Chemicon) antibodies; ferrous iron (Fe²⁺) was visualized using Turnbull staining as previously described.

Statistical Analysis

Differences in APP+ spheroid densities between the center and the edge of lesions available for neuropathologic analysis were assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Differences in CD68+ phagocyte density and MBP+ phagocyte density between early active, chronic active, smoldering, and chronic inactive lesions were assessed using 1-way analysis of variance and Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn multiple comparison test. To assess a possible correlation between APP+ spheroids and CD68+ activated microglia/macrophages at the lesion edge, nonparametric Spearman correlation was used. Statistical analysis was performed using R version 3.6.3 (2020-02-29) and Prism version 6.0 (GraphPad).
Overall, 70/118 participants (59%) had ≥1 PRL, 43 (36%) had ≥2 PRL, and 30 (25%) had ≥4 PRL. Among patients with PMS, 22/32 (69%) had ≥1 PRL, 18/32 (56%) had ≥2 PRL, and 13/32 (41%) had ≥4 PRL; among patients with RRMS, 48/86 (56%) had ≥1 PRL, 29/86 (34%) had ≥2 PRL, and 17/86 (20%) had ≥4 PRL. Cohen κ for the interrater reliability of PRL assessment was 0.83. The median time lapse between MRI acquisition and clinical–laboratory assessment was 15 days (interquartile range [IQR], 1.5–36; range, 0–174). Only 14 of 118 patients (12%) had a blood sample taken for the laboratory assessment. Of the 118 patients included in the study, 28 (24%) were untreated (14 RRMS and 14 PMS). The percentage of patients receiving DMT (including very-high-efficacy treatments) was similar between PRL 0–1 and PRL ≥2 cases. PRLs ≥2 cases were more disabled (median EDSS score 3, IQR 3.5) than PRL 0–1 cases (median 2, IQR 2.5) (p = 0.01), and this was also true when scaling EDSS by disease duration (median MSSS score, 4.3 [IQR 3.1] vs 2.4 [IQR 3.16], respectively; p = 0.003).

T2 lesion load was higher in PRLs ≥2 cases (p < 0.001). Normalized brain volume (cortical plus white matter volumes/total intracranial volume) did not significantly differ between PRL 0–1 and PRLs ≥2 cases. Similarly, we did not observe between-group differences for volumes of cortex, white matter, thalamus, or basal ganglia (putamen, pallidus, and caudate) (eFigure 2, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pk0p2ngn3). Finally, median sNfL percentile was higher in PRLs ≥2 (median 91, IQR 20) than PRL 0–1 (median 68, IQR 43) cases (p < 0.001). Segregation of cases according to both PRL status (PRL 0–1 and PRLs ≥2) and different sNfL percentile thresholds (50th, 80th, and 90th) is shown in eTable 2, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pk0p2ngn3.

### Association between PRL and sNfL

PRLs ≥2 cases had on average 16 percentile-point higher sNfL (β adding 16.3; 95% confidence interval [CI], 4.6–28.0; p < 0.01) compared to PRL 0–1 cases. No other covariate, including MS subtype (RRMS vs PMS), DMT, EDSS, and T2 lesion load, showed an independent effect on sNfL levels (Figure 2A). Furthermore, cases with 1–3 PRLs (n = 40) and ≥4 PRLs (n = 30) had 15 and 30 percentile-point higher sNfL levels than cases with 0–1 PRL (β adding 14.8; 95% CI, 3.2–26.3; p = 0.01 and β adding 30.4; 95% CI, 15.6–45.2; p < 0.01, respectively). Again, no other covariate showed an effect on sNfL levels (Figure 2B).

Moreover, there was no association between normalized brain volume and sNfL in the model that included ≥2 PRLs as independent variable. Also, there was no evidence that the difference in scanners influenced the association between brain volume and sNfL percentiles (eTable 3, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pk0p2ngn3).

### Association Between PRL and Clinical MRI Measures

Higher T2 lesion load (per mL unit) and shorter disease duration (per year unit) were respectively associated with 21% and 7% higher odds of having PRLs ≥2 (β OR, 1.21; 95% CI, 1.12–1.33; p < 0.01 and β OR, 1.07; 95% CI, 1.01–1.14; p = 0.02, respectively).

MS subtype (RRMS vs PMS; β OR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.27–3.74; p = 0.99) and current DMT, including oral (vs. platform, β OR,
0.78; 95% CI, 0.21–3.05; \( p = 0.72 \)) and very-high-efficacy (vs. platform, \( \beta_{\text{OR}} 0.93; 95 \% \text{ CI}, 0.28–3.16; \ p = 0.91 \)) were not associated with PRLs ≥2 status.

Results were similar when using the number of PRLs per case as the dependent variable: only higher T2 lesion load (\( \beta_{\text{IRR}} 1.13/\text{mL}; 95 \% \text{ CI}, 1.09–1.18; \ p < 0.01 \)) and shorter disease duration (\( \beta_{\text{IRR}} 1.05/\text{year}; 95 \% \text{ CI}, 1.01–1.08; \ p < 0.01 \)) were associated with the number of PRLs.

**Association Between PRLs and Disease Severity**

Not surprisingly, MSSS was higher by 2.7 points in PMS vs RRMS cases (\( \beta_{\text{add}} 2.7; 95 \% \text{ CI}, 1.4–3.6; \ p < 0.01 \)). In PRLs ≥2 cases, MSSS was on average 1.1 points higher than in PRL 0–1 cases (\( \beta_{\text{add}} 1.1; 95 \% \text{ CI}, 0.3–1.9; \ p < 0.01 \). No other covariate, including current DMT (oral: \( \beta_{\text{add}} -0.8; 95 \% \text{ CI}, -1.8 \) to 0.3; \( p = 0.14 \); very-high-efficacy: \( \beta_{\text{add}} 0.1; 95 \% \text{ CI}, -0.7 \) to 1.0; \( p = 0.75 \)), and T2 lesion load (\( \beta_{\text{add}} -0.02; 95 \% \text{ CI}, -0.07 \) to 0.04; \( p = 0.54 \)), was associated with MS severity.
Assessment of the Presence of Acute Axonal Damage in Histopathologic Correlates of PRL (Chronic Active/Smoldering Lesions)

From an additional group of 20 autopsy MS cases (19 PMS/1 RRMS, 8 women/12 men, mean age 52 years, range 28–67), we studied the following MS lesions classified according to their pathologic stage:22,23 early active (n = 4), chronic active (n = 6), smoldering (n = 9), and chronic inactive (n = 6). Quantification of CD68+ activated microglia/macrophages and CD68+ phagocytes containing MBP + particles (eFigure 3, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pk0p2ngn3) showed that chronic active and smoldering lesions fall on a spectrum of inflammatory demyelinating activity at the lesion edge and a hypocellular core.22,23

Acutely injured axons (APP+) were rarely observed both in the center and at the edge of chronic inactive lesions. On the other hand, in chronic active/smoldering lesions, APP+ injured axons colocalized with the active edge of CD68+ macrophages/activated microglia, some of which contained MBP (Figure 3A). Quantitative analysis of acutely injured axons showed (1) in chronic active/smoldering lesions (Figure 3B and C), the median number of APP+ spheroids per mm² was higher at the lesion edge (149.3 [IQR 104.9]...
and 52.3 [IQR 129.6]) than at the lesion center (19.6 [IQR 52.0] and 6.4 [IQR 8.3]; \( p = 0.004 \) and \( p = 0.0002 \)); and (2) in chronic inactive lesions (Figure 3D), APP+ spheroids were only rarely found both at the lesion edge and in the lesion center (median 3.3 [IQR 16.4] and 3.8 [IQR 32.8]; \( p = 0.46 \)). The density of APP+ axonal spheroids positively correlated with the density of CD68 macrophages/activated microglia at the edge of chronic active/smoldering and chronic inactive lesions (\( r = 0.86; \ p < 0.0001; \) Figure 3E).

We acquired postmortem 3D-EPI images in a 59-year-old man with PMS (disease duration 24 years, Expanded Disability Status Scale = 8). The paramagnetic rim visible on the postmortem 3D-echoplanar imaging (EPI) phase (red arrows) corresponds on histopathology to an actively inflammatory demyelinating edge of major histocompatibility complex II clone CR3/43 (MHC II)+ macrophages/microglia containing myelin basic protein (MBP)+ myelin degradation products (MBP-MHC II) and iron (Turnbull-iron) [3,3’ diaminobenzidine-tetrahydrochloride–enhanced Turnbull staining]. Amyloid precursor protein (APP)+ acutely injured axons are found at the demyelinated lesion edge (MBP-APP).

**Discussion**

Our multicenter study provides clear evidence of a relationship between the presence of ≥2 PRLs and higher levels of sNfL in patients with MS (both RRMS and PMS) without acute disease activity, showing that PRLs are associated with neuroaxonal degeneration that can be detected in vivo. Furthermore, our postmortem evaluation shows that the histologic correlates of PRL—chronic active and smoldering lesions—exhibit pronounced axon damage at the lesion edge, which colocalizes with chronic inflammatory cells.

In our in vivo study, the association between PRL and sNfL was independent of other factors previously shown to influence sNfL, such as age, T2 lesion load, and DMT (including very-high-efficacy DMT).\(^{30,37,38}\) This somewhat surprising result may be partially explained by the fact that we studied a cohort with neither clinical nor radiologic inflammatory activity, whereas many other studies included both active and nonactive patients. In addition, it is possible that the effects of those clinical factors may partially be mediated through PRL.

Our data show that most patients with PRLs ≥2 (72%) had sNfL levels above the 80th percentile, a frankly pathologic threshold according to previous studies.\(^{30}\) Thus, our findings are relevant not only at the group level but also at the patient level (eTable 2, doi.org/10.5061/dryad.pk0p2ngn3). Discrepancies between sNfL levels and the presence/absence of PRL, observed in a minority of patients, require further investigation in larger cohorts and suggest that other factors may also play a role.
The increase in sNfL that we described typically corresponds to only a handful of additional PRLs in each case, indicating that even the limited amount of ongoing axon damage at the edge of chronic active/smoldering lesions—substantially less than what occurs in active lesions—can be detected in the serum. This profound focal damage also results in MRI-detectable expansion of some PRLs over a period of a few years, such that these lesions are both larger and more T1-hypointense on MRI than other MS lesions.6-8,24-26

In our cohort of nonactive MS, there was no difference in brain volume between PRLs ≥2 and PRL 0–1 cases. This suggests that cross-sectional brain volume measurements—unlike PRL detection—do not provide a reliable snapshot of ongoing neuroaxonal destruction at the time of MRI.39 Furthermore, despite the presence of a higher T2 lesion load in PRLs ≥2 compared to PRL 0–1 patients, the number and volume of T2 lesions were not significantly related to sNfL levels, signifying that it is fundamental to identify the subtype of lesions that drives relentless neuroaxonal degeneration outside clinical and radiologic relapses.

In agreement with recent evidence8,9,15 we found that PRLs are frequent in both RRMS and PMS, with more than one-third of the patients (36%) harboring at least 2 PRLs. We also found an inverse association between PRLs and disease duration, supporting the idea that smoldering inflammation/demyelination at early disease stages might trigger clinical progression, but also that it might partially decline at the later stages of the disease. In addition, these data suggest that clinical estimates of disease duration are not reliable, especially in patients with insidious and progressive disease course.

Our work also extends previous findings8,15,40 by showing that the reported association between PRLs and clinical disability also holds in the absence of clinical or radiologic signs of acute inflammation. Indeed, in our cohort, the association between PRLs and MSSS was stronger than, and independent from, factors known to influence clinical outcomes in MS, such as T2 lesion load and very-high-efficacy DMT.

The histopathologic analysis performed in this work provides additional evidence that the histopathologic correlates of PRL—chronic active and smoldering lesions—exhibit high numbers of acutely injured axons (APP+ spheroids) at the lesion edge and lesion core, which lead to the release of axonal cytoskeleton proteins (including NfL) in CSF and blood. Here, acute axon injury and degeneration, leading to the release of neurofilaments (axonal cytoskeleton proteins) in the CSF and blood, was assessed using APP immunostaining, since APP transiently accumulates in acutely transected axon end-bulbs25,27,41 whereas neurofilament immunostaining reflects only past axon depletion.42 In line with previous reports,6,24-26,43 we showed that acute axonal injury and transection occur along with the inflammatory activity of activated macrophages and microglia in both chronic active and smoldering lesions, despite variable ongoing demyelination.

This study has some limitations. First, although serum samples were collected as close as possible to the MRI scan, in some cases (14/118 [12%]) this time frame exceeded several months. While we cannot exclude that the chronic inflammatory status of such patients may have changed during this time gap, this is not likely considering the temporal persistence of PRLs, which have been shown to last for at least several years.7,10 Second, larger cohorts of participants are required to achieve adequate statistical power to consider the actual number of PRLs per case (rather than dichotomizing or trichotomizing that number) in a multivariable analysis. Also, studies focusing on specific patient subgroups (e.g., early- vs late-stage RRMS) will have to be performed in the future. Regarding the effect of treatment, future longitudinal studies are required to investigate the differential effect (if any) of available DMT on these biomarkers.

We provide in vivo MRI and laboratory evidence that chronically inflamed lesions on MRI (PRLs) are associated with elevated sNfL in people with MS. The association between PRLs and sNfL was strong and independent from other factors known to influence sNfL levels. Hence, we postulate that PRLs may be a substantial driver of neuroaxonal damage and clinical disability in patients without clinical or radiologic signs of acute inflammation. This is a concept of key importance and further supports the role of PRLs as a biomarker for patient stratification and treatment outcome in future clinical trials.
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