Clinical Reasoning: A 64-Year-Old Man With History of Meningitis Presenting With Proximal Weakness of the Arms
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Abstract (unstructured)

A 64-year-old man presented for evaluation of proximally pronounced weakness of the arms with preserved facial and lower extremity strength. Symptoms slowly developed over the last two years, and the patient’s history was notable for severe *Listeria monocytogenes* meningitis four years prior to presentation, which was adequately treated with antibiotics. On examination, symptoms clinically resembled ‘man-in-the-barrel’ syndrome and localized to the cervicothoracic central cord. Blood analysis was unremarkable, and CSF analysis showed no recurrent or persistent infection. Spinal MRI revealed pockets of sequestered CSF from C3 to C4 and areas of CSF space effacement from C3 to T12. MRI findings were interpreted as cord tethering suggestive of adhesive arachnoiditis. CT myelogram showed insufficient contrast agent migration above T10 and contour irregularities of the conus medullaris, confirming the postulated pathomechanism of cord tethering. Final diagnosis was therefore cervicothoracic central cord damage due to cord tethering in the setting of postinfectious adhesive arachnoiditis following bacterial meningitis. The patient failed a course of pulsed methylprednisolone therapy, and symptoms progressed. Best supportive care was provided. The clinical presentation of adhesive arachnoiditis is variable, and advanced imaging techniques and invasive studies such as CT myelogram may be required to establish the diagnosis. Timely diagnosis is warranted as early surgical or medical therapy can improve symptoms.
Section 1

A 64-year-old man presented for evaluation of bilateral upper limb weakness. Two years prior, the patient noticed burning dysesthesias in his left shoulder associated with inability "to lift his arm up to the steering wheel". Symptoms slowly progressed, and soon involved his right arm following a similar pattern. Moreover, he described a band of painful dysesthesias around his chest aggravated by physical activity, and shooting pain from the neck down when sneezing.

His history revealed *Listeria monocytogenes* meningitis four years before presentation, which was diagnosed by PCR from CSF, manifested as depressed sensorium, and resulted in ICU treatment. Brain and spine MRI was unremarkable at the time. Symptoms resolved after a five-week course of intravenously administered antibiotics (two weeks of ampicillin combined with gentamicin, followed by three weeks of ampicillin). Past medical history was otherwise remarkable for hyperlipidaemia and myocardial infarction. No trauma, alcohol abuse, or smoking was reported.

General examination was unremarkable. On neurological evaluation, there were no cranial nerve deficits. Fasciculations were noted in the deltoid and biceps brachii muscles, and atrophy of the hypothenar more than thenar muscles was present particularly in the left hand. Confrontational strength testing of his upper extremities revealed profound bilateral deltoid weakness (1/5). More distal arm muscles were less affected (biceps: 2/5 left, 4/5 right; triceps: 3/5 left, 5/5 right; wrist flexion and extension: 3/5 bilaterally; grip: 3/5 left, 4/5 right; finger flexion (DII-IV): 4/5 bilaterally; dorsal interossei muscles: 0/5 left, 3/5 right). There was no weakness in the lower extremities. Deep tendon stretch reflexes were absent (grade 0) and muscle tone was decreased in the arms, and tone as well as deep tendon stretch reflexes were markedly increased in the legs (grade 3+). There were segmental sensory deficits with loss of temperature perception in the trunk (level: T2-T10 left, T4-T12 right), and
mild perineal and lower leg numbness without loss of temperature perception. The patient indicated intermittent difficulty initiating micturition. Gait was steady.

**Questions for consideration:**

1. Where would you localize the patient’s neurologic symptoms?
2. Which neurologic clinical syndrome do the presenting symptoms resemble?
3. What are possible aetiologies for the patient’s syndrome?
The patient presented with proximally pronounced motor impairment of the arms and sensory impairment of the trunk, whereas cranial nerves and lower extremity function were largely preserved. The symmetric proximal motor deficits combined with absent reflexes and fasciculations in the arms pointed towards lower motor neuron involvement. Long tract signs as demonstrated by hyperreflexia in the legs, increased leg muscle tone, and bladder dysfunction pointed towards corticospinal tract damage at the cervicothoracic level. Asymmetrical sensory deficits of the trunk with suspended sensory loss suggested thoracic spinal cord involvement, or less likely peripheral nerve involvement. We concluded that symptoms may localize to the cervicothoracic central cord with accentuation at the lower cervical cord because of motor neuron involvement at these levels.

Bilateral paresis of the proximal upper extremities with preserved facial and lower extremity strength is denoted by the term 'man-in-the-barrel' syndrome.\(^1\) This condition has been described in two classical scenarios allowing topical diagnosis. First, 'man-in-the-barrel' syndrome was described following cerebral hypoperfusion resulting in bilateral watershed infarctions between anterior and middle cerebral artery territories.\(^1\) Second, central spinal cord pathologies such as spinal cord infarction resulting in injury to anterior horn cells may give rise to such symptoms.\(^2\) Less common causes involve other cerebral pathologies (e.g. metastases), pontine damage (e.g. central pontine myelinolysis), anterior horn cell disease (e.g. ALS), bilateral brachial plexopathies, polyradiculopathies, myopathies, neuromuscular junction disorders, or muscle-related pathologies (e.g. paraneoplastic, inflammatory, or drug-induced myopathies).\(^2\)

Given potential involvement of the cervicothoracic central cord in our case with suspended sensory loss and relative preservation of long tract function, a rather extensive but localized process involving multiple spinal cord segments, such as...
infiltrative cord neoplasms or traction-related myelopathy from adhesive arachnoiditis, was felt to be most likely. We also considered nutritional deficits including vitamin B12-deficiency and disorders of copper or zinc metabolism. Spinal cord ischemia was less likely given the absence of symptom correlation with vascular territories, but a perfusion-related deficit resulting from a dural arteriovenous malformation could not be excluded. The time course was not consistent with acute myelitis.

Questions for consideration:
1. Which tests would you include in your initial diagnostic work-up?
2. Does the history of meningitis influence the testing you want to pursue?
A blood analysis was performed to exclude a systemic inflammatory process, nutritional deficits, metabolic disorders, or an immunocompromised state. A lumbar puncture was obtained to rule out chronic infectious or neoplastic meningeal processes. The possibility of intrinsic neoplasms or vascular malformations was evaluated by cervicothoracic spine MRI (Figure 1B, D). Results were compared to previous imaging studies performed after treatment for meningitis (Figure 1A, C).

Blood analysis (complete blood count, comprehensive metabolic panel, antinuclear antibody screen, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, serum protein and immunofixation electrophoresis, thyroid-stimulating hormone, creatine kinase, aldolase, vitamin B12, vitamin E, folate, copper, rapid plasma reagin, Lyme and HIV serology) was unremarkable. Although CSF analysis showed mildly elevated protein levels, there were no signs of active viral or bacterial infections on CSF cell count, microscopy, culture, or PCR. No neoplastic cells were seen on CSF microscopy. Spinal MRI revealed pockets of sequestered CSF from C3-C4 resulting in cord compression (Figure 1B, D). Areas of CSF space effacement around the spinal cord suggestive of cord tethering were seen from C3-T12. Intrinsic spinal cord signal on T2-weighted sequences was increased from C3 to conus medullaris on T12, most prominent in the grey matter giving rise to a ‘snake-eye’ appearance (Figure 1D).³ There was subtle diffuse dural contrast enhancement.

Given the patient’s history of infectious meningitis, the radiographic findings were interpreted as adhesive arachnoiditis with cord tethering resulting in ‘man-in-the-barrel’ syndrome. To verify the postulated pathomechanism, CT myelogram of the thoracolumbar spine was performed (Figure 1E). Although the contrast agent entered the thecal sac, no contrast agent migrated above T10. After repetitive patient placement in Trendelenburg position, only a small amount of contrast agent
ascended along the ventral median sulcus of the cord. Contour irregularities of the conus medullaris were consistent with spots of cord tethering.

Questions for consideration:

1. How is bacterial meningitis, in particular from *Listeria monocytogenes*, treated?

2. How common is post-infectious adhesive arachnoiditis? Are there any means which help preventing this condition?

3. What are possible therapeutic approaches for the described pathology?
Section 4

Bacterial meningitis can be divided into the more frequently encountered community-acquired meningitis, and into the less often encountered hospital-acquired meningitis. *Streptococcus pneumoniae, group B streptococcus, Haemophilus influenzae, Neisseria meningitidis,* and *Listeria monocytogenes* are the most frequently found pathogens in community-acquired bacterial meningitis. Empiric antimicrobial therapy is recommended to include a combination of vancomycin, ampicillin, and a third-generation cephalosporin like ceftriaxone. Directed antimicrobial therapy can be administered once the pathogen has been identified. *Listeria monocytogenes* harbours cephalosporin resistance; ampicillin is effective and may be combined with gentamicin. Treatment duration should be at least three weeks.

Adjunctive dexamethasone therapy may improve neurologic outcomes from bacterial meningitis and prevent scar formation. However, adhesive arachnoiditis appears exceedingly rare even in the absence of dexamethasone. When progressive symptoms due to adhesive arachnoiditis and spinal cord traction in the post-infectious stage occur, neurosurgical adhesiolysis has been reported. In our patient, detethering was not deemed feasible due to the extent of cord tethering. Although systemic steroids can ameliorate symptoms, our patient failed a course of pulsed methylprednisolone. Epidural steroids may increase the risk of adhesive arachnoiditis rather than represent a promising therapy. One year after initial presentation, weakness in the arms progressed and gait became increasingly unsteady. Supportive care was provided using neuropathic pain medication.
Discussion

The final diagnosis was cervicothoracic central cord damage due to cord tethering in the setting of postinfectious adhesive arachnoiditis following bacterial meningitis, clinically giving rise to 'man-in-the-barrel' syndrome.

Our patient presented with adhesive arachnoiditis four years after bacterial meningitis. We did not find evidence of recurrent or residual CNS infection in the CSF or blood. However, bacterial meningitis may induce sterile inflammation resulting in leptomeningeal scar formation that can lead to spinal cord traction.\(^8\), \(^9\)

Other aetiologies driving adhesive arachnoiditis include mechanical (e.g. trauma, spinal surgery, lumbar punctures) or chemical (subarachnoid haemorrhage, intrathecal contrast dye or anaesthetics) causes of arachnoidea irritation, infections (e.g. tuberculosis, syphilis, Lyme disease), autoimmune diseases (e.g. sarcoidosis),\(^8\) and very rarely potentially also genetic causes.\(^13\), \(^14\)

Diagnosis of adhesive arachnoiditis was established through clinical, neurological, and imaging findings. Notably, the clinical presentation is variable and determined by the affected cord level. Laboratory blood and CSF studies are non-specific and mainly used to rule out other (e.g. infectious or autoimmune) causes of arachnoiditis.\(^10\), \(^13\), \(^14\) MRI often shows arachnoid cysts, nerve root clumping or enhancement, cord swelling, and arachnoid septations.\(^8\), \(^15\) 'Snake-eye' cord appearance on imaging is non-specific, but may be prognostically unfavourable as it can represent cystic grey matter necrosis.\(^3\) CT myelogram may assist in establishing diagnosis. Timely diagnosis is warranted as early therapy may improve outcome.
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Figure legends

Figure 1: Adhesive arachnoiditis on MRI and CT myelogram.
A-D: Sagittal and axial T2-weighted MRI sequences performed during prior hospitalization for *Listeria monocytogenes* meningitis (A, C) and at first presentation for bilateral upper limb weakness four years later (B, D). The level of the axial images (C) and (D) is indicated by arrow heads in (A) and (B). T2 hyperintense pockets of sequestered CSF at the level of C3 resulting in cervical cord compression are demonstrated (B, D). The cord appears to be tethered to the dura by soft-tissue-isointense bands consistent with adhesive arachnoiditis. Increased intramedullary T2 signal confined to the grey matter is noted in the central spinal cord at the level of C3 and below giving rise to a ‘snake-eye’ appearance of the grey matter on axial sections (inlay and arrows in D). E: Sagittal view of a CT myelogram shows contrast agent entering the thecal sac and surrounding the distorted conus medullaris, but only a small amount of contrast agent migrates above the level T10 after Trendelenburg placement. The findings are highly suggestive of scarring of the arachnoid.
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