Effects of Sex, APOE4, and Lifestyle Activities on Cognitive Reserve in Older Adults
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Background and Objectives: Lifestyle activities, such as physical activity and cognitive stimulation, may mitigate age-associated cognitive decline, delay dementia onset, and increase cognitive reserve. Whether the association between lifestyle activities and cognitive reserve differs by sex and APOE4 status is an understudied yet critical component for informing targeted...
prevention strategies. The current study examined interactions between sex and physical or
cognitive activities on cognitive reserve for speed and memory in older adults.

**Methods:** Research participants with unimpaired cognition, mild cognitive impairment, or
dementia from the Washington Heights-Inwood Columbia Aging Cohort were included in this
study. Cognitive reserve scores for speed and memory were calculated by regressing out
hippocampal volume, total gray matter volume, and white matter hyperintensity volume from
composite cognitive scores for speed and memory, respectively. Self-reported physical activity
was assessed using the Godin Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire, converted to metabolic
equivalents (METS). Self-reported cognitive activity (COGACT) was calculated as the sum of
three yes/no questions. Sex by activity interactions and sex-stratified analyses were conducted
using multivariable linear regression models, including a secondary analysis with APOE4 as a
moderating factor.

**Results:** 758 participants (mean age=76.11±6.31 years, 62% women) were included in this
study. Higher METS was associated with greater speed reserve in women (β=0.04, CI: (0,0.08)),
but not in men (β=0.004, CI: (-0.04,0.05)). METS was not associated with memory reserve in
women or men. More COGACT was associated with greater speed reserve in the cohort (β=0.13,
CI: (0.05,0.21)). More COGACT had a trend for greater memory reserve in women (β=0.06, CI:
(-0.02,0.14)), but not in men (β=-0.04, CI: (-0.16,0.08)). Among women only, APOE4 carrier
status attenuated relationships between METS and speed reserve (β=-0.09, CI: (-0.22,0.04)), and
between COGACT and both speed (β=-0.26, CI: (-0.63,0.11)) and memory reserves (β=-0.20,
CI: (-0.50,0.093)).

**Discussion:** The associations of self-reported physical and cognitive activities with cognitive
reserve are more pronounced in women, although APOE4 attenuates these associations. Future
studies are needed to understand the causal relationship among sex, lifestyle activities, and genetic factors on cognitive reserve in older adults to best understand which lifestyle activities may be most beneficial and for whom.
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**Introduction**

With a scarcity of disease-modifying treatments for Alzheimer’s disease (AD), prevention is critical. Enhancing cognitive reserve, operationalized as preserved cognitive health despite presence of brain pathology (1,2), is a promising path towards dementia prevention. Lifestyle factors, such as physical activity and cognitive stimulation are two modifiable behaviors that may enhance cognitive reserve and reduce dementia risk (3-8), yet it is unclear who benefits most from these activities. Sex differences associated with cognitive benefits of physical activity are evident, but mixed. While meta-analytic reviews aggregating retrospective data suggest a stronger relationship between exercise and cognition in women than men (9, 10), recent prospective studies, including an exercise randomized controlled trial, show larger cognitive gains following exercise in men than in women (11). Even less is known about sex differences on the benefit of cognitive stimulation on cognitive reserve (5). Factors such as sex, APOE4, the major genetic risk factor for late onset AD, and their interaction importantly affect cognitive trajectories, yet little is known on whether they moderate the beneficial effects of modifiable lifestyle activities on cognitive reserve. The current study investigated whether associations of physical and cognitive activities with cognitive reserve are modified by sex and
APOE4 carrier status in multiethnic, community-dwelling older adults. This approach will enable a better understanding of which modifiable factors may influence cognitive reserve and in whom.

Methods

Participants

Study participants were selected from the Washington Heights/Hamilton Heights Inwood Columbia Aging Project (WHICAP). WHICAP recruitment was conducted in 3 waves, starting in 1992, 1999, and 2009. Participants were English or Spanish speaking, Medicare-eligible, racially and ethnically diverse residents of Northern Manhattan (12). The WHICAP inclusion criteria included individuals 65 years and older and language competency in English or Spanish. Exclusion criteria included dementia diagnosis for the 1999 and 2009 waves only (12,16). No other health-related exclusions were included to maximize population representativeness. Participants completed assessments for health status, functional ability, neurological status, and neuropsychological test performance (13). A subset of participants received high resolution structural T1 magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) brain scans at 1.5T or 3T MRI field strength (14). Their first imaging visit was selected for the analysis. Participants met eligibility for inclusion in this study if they had the following available data no more than 12 months apart (when applicable): 1) MRI imaging data, 2) lifestyle activities data, 3) neuropsychological data, 4) APOE genotype, and 5) demographic information including age at time of scan, sex,
education, and diagnosis. Due to differing sex physiologies and systematic social norms that cannot be differentiated in our current study design, the terms “women” and “men” used in the present study refer to sex differences that may have biological, physiological or social etiologies.

Diagnoses were assigned through diagnostic consensus conferences attended by a panel of neurologists, psychiatrists, and neuropsychologists using a combination of neuropsychological, functional, and neurological assessments (15,16). Dementia diagnoses also included use of the DSM-III criteria for dementia. The flowchart shows the final sample size of 758 participants (eFigure 1).

**Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents**

The project design and protocol were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center and the New York State Psychiatric Institute. Participants provided written informed consent at the enrollment.

**MRI**

1.5T and 3T MRI scans were collected at Columbia University Medical Center with the following parameters: 1.5T Phillips Intera MRI scanner (T1-weighted structural MRI: repetition time (TR) 20 ms, echo time (TE) 2.1ms, FOV 240×256×160 matrix, 1.3 mm slice thickness; T2-FLAIR: TR 11,000ms, TE 144 ms, inversion time=2800, FOV 25cm×256×192 matrix, 3 mm slice thickness; proton density: TR 2675 ms, TE 12 ms, FOV 220×165×140, 4 mm slice thickness) and 3T Philips MRI scanner (T1-weighted structural MRI: TR 6.6 ms, TE 3 ms, FOV 256×256×165, 1 mm slice thickness, and T2-FLAIR: TR 8.0 s, TE 332 ms, FOV 240×240×180, 0.43 mm slice thickness). Hippocampal volumes, total gray matter volumes, and white matter
hyperintensity (WMH) volumes were calculated using Freesurfer and in-house processing pipeline as previously described (14,17). Hippocampal volumes and total gray matter volume were regressed against total intracranial volume. WMH were log transformed to normalize their distribution. Scanner field strength was covaried for in the analysis using the recruitment cohort categorical variable, as described below.

**APOE genotyping**

APOE genotype was determined using restriction isotyping (18). Participants with at least one E4 allele were classified as APOE4 carriers. All other participants were classified as APOE4 non-carriers. Analyses were repeated excluding APOE E2/E2 (n=7) and E2/E4 genotype (n=20).

**Physical and cognitive activity measures**

Physical activity measures of duration, intensity, and frequency were collected using the Godin leisure time exercise questionnaire (19). Participants’ typical weekly physical activity was evaluated in terms of metabolic equivalent of task (METS) and was constructed as number of minutes × number of times × coefficient (9 for vigorous, 5 for moderate, and 3 for light activities corresponding to the metabolic equivalent) based on previously published work (20-22). A natural logarithm of physical activity was taken, and participants with no physical activity were bottom-coded and assigned the lower limit of the score distribution. Cognitive activities (COGACT) were defined as an aggregate score based on self-reported participation (yes/no) in the following activities in the preceding 13 months: reading magazines, newspapers, or books; going to classes; and playing cards, games, or bingo (23). These measures were collected within 12 months of the MRI scan date.
Domain-specific cognitive reserve measures

In previously published work, confirmatory factor analysis identified the Selective Reminding Test (total recall, immediate recall, and delayed recognition) and Color Trails Test A and B as domain specific scores for memory and speed domains, respectively (24). We used a residual approach to quantify domain-specific cognitive reserve (1,25). Memory and speed factor scores were regressed on hippocampal volumes, total gray matter volume, and white matter hyperintensity volume. Sex was not included as a factor in the regression models. The resulting residuals from these regressions were used as proxies to represent their respective domain-specific cognitive reserve according to prior approaches to quantify “cognitive reserve” (1,25). Higher residual values indicate better speed or memory function.

Statistical Analyses

Analyses were conducted in SPSS Statistics 25 (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.) and R (R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL: https://www.R-project.org/). Sex differences of participants’ demographic variables were compared using t-tests for continuous variables and \( \chi^2 \) tests for categorical variables. Analysis of variance tests with interaction terms for physical or cognitive activities by sex were conducted to assess group differences in speed and memory reserve independently. Main effects were considered significant if Type I error rate (\( \alpha \)) was less than or equal to 0.05. Power analysis for One-Way ANOVA F-Tests was used to determine significance levels for interactions. Interactions with \( p<0.20 \) significance were subsequently probed with sex-
stratified analyses (Power=0.6) (26). Further analyses explored the moderating role of APOE4 in activity-reserve relationships by testing activity by sex by APOE4 three-way interactions. Activities by APOE4 interactions with a corresponding p<0.05 were probed with APOE4-stratified analyses. Covariates for the analyses included age, sex (when applicable), race/ethnicity, education, recruitment cohort, diagnosis (cognitively unimpaired, MCI, or dementia), and APOE4 status (when applicable). APOE4 non-carriers, men, non-Hispanic white participants, and dementia diagnosis were used as the referent groups in the analyses. Partial $R^2$-s were used to calculate the coefficient of determination of METS and COGACT.

Sensitivity analyses assessed whether imaging subsamples from Wave 2 and Wave 3 should be combined or considered separately by adjusting the reserve measures to reflect differences in association patterns and conducting Student’s t-test. Additionally, participants were grouped by cognitive impairment status (cognitively normal (CN) vs cognitively impaired (MCI/AD), and the modifying effect of the group on activity—domain reserve relationship was tested to assess whether the relationships were driven by group. The modifying effect of race/ethnicity was also evaluated in the sensitivity analyses.

Data Availability:

Data can be requested and approved from the WHICAP Publication Committee (reference): https://cumc.co1.qualtrics.com/jfe/form/SV_6x5rRy14B6vpoqN

Results

Demographic variables, physical and cognitive activity measures, and domain reserve scores in the cohort are summarized in Table 1. Men and women did not differ significantly on
education, APOE4 carrier status, race/ethnicity, diagnosis, self-reported cognitive activities, and speed reserve. Women participants were older, reported less physical activity, and had larger memory reserve. For cognitive activities, women and men had similar reading and card-playing habits, but more women than men attended classes.

**Table 1 here**

**Physical Activity (METS) vs. Speed and Memory Reserves**

METS was positively associated with speed reserve among all participants ($\beta=0.05$, CI: (0.01,0.08), $p=0.01$, model $R^2=0.33$, METS $R^2=0.05$); however, a METS by sex interaction ($\beta=-0.05$, CI: (-0.1,0.08), $p=0.09$, interaction $R^2=0.04$) indicated the relationship differed by sex. Sex-stratified analyses revealed a significant positive relationship among women ($\beta=0.04$, CI: (0,0.08), $p=0.05$, model $R^2=0.36$, METS $R^2=0.08$) that was not observed in men ($\beta=0.004$, CI: (-0.04,0.05), $p=0.85$, model $R^2=0.26$, METS $R^2<0.01$) (see Figure 1A, eTable 1 for model estimates).

METS was not associated with memory reserve ($\beta=0.004$, CI: (-0.04,0.05), $p=0.82$, model $R^2=0.32$, METS $R^2=0.02$), and a METS by sex interaction was not observed ($\beta=-0.01$, CI: (-0.06,0.03), $p=0.60$, interaction $R^2<0.01$) (Figure 1B, eTable 1).

**Cognitive activity (COGACT) vs. Speed and Memory Reserves**

COGACT was positively associated with speed reserve among all participants ($\beta=0.13$, CI: (0.05,0.21), $p=0.003$, model $R^2=0.33$, COGACT $R^2<0.01$), and a COGACT by sex
interaction was not observed (β=-0.01, CI: (-0.17, 0.16), p=0.93, interaction $R^2<0.01$) (Figure 1C, eTable 2).

COGACT was not associated with memory reserve among all participants (β=0.04, CI: (-0.03, 0.11), p=0.26, model $R^2=0.33$, COGACT $R^2=0.07$); however, a COGACT by sex interaction was observed (β=-0.11, CI: (-0.24, 0.02), p=0.11, interaction $R^2=0.01$), revealing a positive trend among women (β=0.06, CI: (-0.02, 0.14), p=0.15, model $R^2=0.37$, COGACT $R^2=0.07$) and no association among men (β=-0.04, CI: (-0.16, 0.08), p=0.52, model $R^2=0.28$, interaction $R^2=0.02$) (Figure 1D, eTable 2).

[Figure 1 here]

**APOE4 attenuates the relationship between lifestyle activity and cognitive reserve in women**

Planned secondary analyses assessed the association of activity (METS, COGACT), sex, APOE4 status on reserve (Speed, Memory). Among women, the recruitment cohort, diagnoses, and age differed between APOE4 carriers and non-carriers (p<0.01), such that the frequency of APOE4 carriers was lower in wave 2, and APOE4 carriers were more likely to be cognitively normal and younger. There was a difference in education between APOE4 carriers and non-carriers among men (p=0.04).

There was a significant METS by sex by APOE4 interaction on speed reserve (β=-0.09, CI: (-0.22, 0.04), p=0.17, model $R^2=0.33$, interaction $R^2=0.02$). Sex-stratified analyses indicated
that the presence of APOE4 allele moderated the relationships between METS and speed reserve among women ($\beta=0.08$, CI: (0.01,0.15), $p=0.05$, model $R^2=0.37$, interaction $R^2=0.08$), such that APOE4 non-carrier women had a stronger association between METS and speed reserve ($\beta=0.06$, CI: (0.02,0.11), $p<0.01$, model $R^2=0.42$, METS $R^2=0.03$) (Figure 2A) compared to APOE4 carrier women ($\beta=-0.03$, CI: (-0.10,0.05), $p=0.52$, model $R^2=0.36$, METS $R^2=0.02$). No significant METS effect ($\beta=0.02$, CI: (-0.08,0.11), $p=0.71$, model $R^2=0.27$, METS $R^2<0.01$) or APOE4 allele moderation was observed among men ($\beta=-0.02$, CI: (-0.12,0.09), $p=0.74$, interaction $R^2<0.01$) (Figure 2B). The METS by sex by APOE4 interaction for memory reserve was not significant ($\beta=-0.04$, CI: (-0.14,0.07), $p=0.48$, model $R^2=0.32$, interaction $R^2<0.01$) (Figure 2C, 2D).

The COGACT by sex by APOE4 interaction effect on speed reserve was significant ($\beta=-0.26$, CI: (-0.63,0.11), $p=0.16$, model $R^2=0.34$, interaction $R^2=0.03$). Sex-stratified analyses found that APOE4 allele moderated the COGACT-speed reserve relationship among women ($\beta=0.24$, CI: (0.03,0.44), $p=0.02$, model $R^2=0.37$, interaction $R^2=0.01$), such that increased engagement in COGACT was associated with higher speed reserve for APOE4 non-carrier women ($\beta=0.20$, CI: (0.07,0.32), $p<0.01$, model $R^2=0.37$, COGACT $R^2=0.03$). This association was not observed among women APOE4 carriers ($\beta=-0.11$, CI: (-0.31,0.09), $p=0.27$, model $R^2=0.43$, COGACT $R^2=0.01$) (Figure 3A). Among men, no significant APOE4 moderation was found for COGACT-speed relationships ($\beta=-0.04$, CI: (-0.34,0.25), $p=0.77$, model $R^2=0.28$, interaction $R^2<0.01$) (Figure 3B).

COGACT by sex by APOE4 interaction on memory reserve was significant ($\beta=-0.20$, CI: (-0.50,0.093), $p=0.18$, model $R^2=0.33$, interaction $R^2=0.02$). Sex-stratified analyses found an APOE4 moderation among women ($\beta=0.24$, CI: (0.08,0.40), $p<0.01$, model $R^2=0.34$, COGACT
There was a significant positive association among APOE4 non-carrier women ($\beta=0.15$, CI: (0.05,0.25), $p<0.01$, model $R^2=0.34$, COGACT $R^2=0.03$) while a non-significant negative COGACT-memory reserve association was observed among APOE4 carrier women ($\beta=-0.10$, CI: (-0.25,0.05), $p=0.19$, model $R^2=0.36$, COGACT $R^2=0.01$), (Figure 3C). APOE4 moderation of COGACT-memory reserve was not significant among men ($\beta=0.05$, CI: (-0.21,0.31), $p=0.70$, model $R^2=0.28$, interaction $R^2<0.01$) (Figure 3D).

Analyses were repeated excluding those with an APOE E2/E2 or E2/E4 genotype, and the findings did not change.

**Sensitivity Analyses by Group (CN vs. MCI/AD and Race/Ethnicity)**

Demographic variables, physical and cognitive activity measures, and domain reserve scores stratified by recruitment cohorts to account for different scanners and different MRI sequences are summarized in eTable 3. After adjusting for key demographic variables (i.e., age, sex, APOE4, education, and diagnosis), there were no significant differences in the memory reserve between the cohorts ($p=0.44$) and in the speed reserve between the cohorts ($p=0.39$). Because there were no significant differences in the reserve measurements based on the MRI scanner, no further cohort-stratified analyses were conducted.

The results did not change for sensitivity analyses stratified by impairment group. Stratified analyses revealed that the relationship between METS and speed reserve was primarily driven by CN participants (eTable 4). All reported interactions were observed for CN participants. While there was a significant interaction with impairment, the relationship between METS and memory was not significant in either subgroup (eTable 4).
Impairment status significantly moderated COGACT—speed reserve relationship ($\beta=0.17$, CI: (0.01, 0.33), $p=0.04$, model $R^2=0.32$, interaction $R^2<0.01$, eTable 5). The relationship between COGACT and speed reserve was primarily driven by participants with MCI and dementia. Impairment was not a modifier in COGACT—memory reserve relationship ($\beta=0.05$, CI: (-0.08, 0.18), $p=0.45$, model $R^2=0.31$, COGACT $R^2<0.01$, eTable 5). Impairment was not a significant moderator in reserve—activity by sex by APOE4 relationships.

Race/ethnicity was not a significant moderator of any of the relationships of interest (eTables 6-7).

**Discussion**

Understanding how the relationship between lifestyle activities and cognitive reserve are modified by sex and APOE4 status is needed to inform strategies for Alzheimer’s prevention and clinical trials. In the present study, physical activity was associated with speed reserve in women, but not men. Based on the effect sizes observed for physical activity (METS) and age, a two-fold increase in physical activity would be equivalent to an estimated 2.75 fewer years of processing speed aging in women. Physical activity was not associated with memory reserve in women or men. While cognitive activities were positively associated with speed reserve in both women and men; however, cognitive activities were positively associated with memory reserve in women.
only. Each additional cognitive activity corresponded to 13 fewer years of processing speed aging (10 years among women, 17 years among men). Furthermore, the associations observed for women were attenuated by APOE4 carrier status, such that APOE4 had a negative effect on the association between lifestyle activities and cognitive reserve in women only. Overall, our findings suggest that sex and APOE4 carrier status are important factors to consider in the association between the beneficial effects of lifestyle activities on cognitive reserve.

It is widely believed that physical activity confers brain benefit by maintaining or enhancing brain integrity through neuronal growth, synaptic plasticity, or dendritic spine growth based on animal studies (27-29). In the current study, physical activity mapped onto speed reserve, despite controlling for hippocampal volume, total gray matter volume and white matter hyperintensities. These observations suggest that, in addition to physical activity preserving brain volume, physical activity may also maintain other aspects of brain health not captured by structural markers, such as functional brain networks and brain perfusion, important for processing speed (30, 31). This relationship is consistent with the literature that reports aerobic exercise more often impacts frontally-mediated processes, such as executive function and processing speed, than hippocampal-mediated processes, such as episodic memory (32-34). However, the effects of aerobic exercise on cognitive domains are not always consistent likely due to differences in population studied, type, duration and intensity (35).

Contrary to physical activity, which was only associated with speed reserve, cognitively-stimulating activities such as reading or group classes were associated with both speed and memory reserve. Cognitive activities have been postulated to help maintain the integrity of the brain (4) in a way that reflects the cognitive demands of the specific activity. For example, if playing a card game utilizes executive skills, then playing card games more frequently would
confer longer term benefits in the cognitive domain of executive functioning (36). Based on the nature of the cognitive activities reported in the present study, such as card games and reading, these activities could conceivably involve both processing speed and memory functions. However, reverse causality cannot be ruled out, such that those with greater speed or memory reserve are more likely to engage in these types of cognitively-stimulating activities, and these relationships may differ in those with normal cognition compared to those with impaired cognition.

The sex-specific associations of physical activity-speed (observed in both) and cognitive activity-memory (observed only in women) may be related to the types of activities women vs. men engaged in. Although no differences were observed for card playing and reading behaviors by sex, women did report higher levels of group-based classes than men. Contrary to card play and reading activities, group-based classes inherently encompass a social component that may differentially engage cognitive abilities.

These sex effects may be further modified (37) by interactions with APOE4, the major genetic risk for late-onset AD (38). Compared to non-carrier women or APOE4 carrier men, women with an APOE4 allele have increased lifetime risk for AD (39,40), smaller adjusted hippocampal volumes (41-43), more pathological levels of CSF abeta and tau (44,45), more senile plaques and neurofibrillary tangles post-mortem (46), poorer cognition (41,42). More recently, studies indicate that APOE4 carrier women also have greater tau burden based on cerebrospinal fluid and tau positron emission tomography (PET) imaging (47,48) and have steeper rates of cognitive decline (49) than women without an APOE4 allele. Findings from these previous studies are consistent with the unfolding story that APOE4 may dampen the beneficial relationships between lifestyle activities and cognitive reserve, with a unique effect in
women, as found in the present study. Several mechanistic underpinnings may explain this unique decrement in APOE4 carrier women. For example, women experience dramatic reductions in estrogen production after menopause, which can lead to metabolic deficiencies and ultimately cognitive decline. The double-hit of APOE4 carriage exacerbates these negative effects by further reducing the availability of bioenergetic fuel (50).

There are several notable limitations. The current study is focused on a cohort living in Northern Manhattan communities, and thus excludes the activity patterns of suburban and rural community dwellers. The activity scores were derived from self-reported questionnaire answers, which may include some level of recall bias in reporting by sex or in those individuals with cognitive impairment. Notably, however, the observed associations between lifestyle activities and cognitive reserve were also detected in the impaired group. Structural and societal factors, which are reflected in part, by educational opportunities and attainment, are major determinants of cognitive reserve, and were not directly measured or assessed in this study. Future studies with controlled activity interventions or the utilization of objective activity levels (e.g., accelerometers) to measure physical activity would help determine the validity of our findings. The present study is limited to participants who volunteered for MRI studies. Those who volunteer for MRI studies generally tend to self-report good or excellent health, thereby possibly restricting our findings to a healthier cohort. Lastly, the present study uses an observational cross-sectional design limiting conclusions on causality.

Overall, the findings from the present study can be used to develop more precise lifestyle recommendations based on sex and APOE4 status. Future studies are needed to test the causal relationship between lifestyle activities and cognitive reserve and how causality is modified by sex and APOE4. Observing these sex- and APOE4-specific associations in a community-
dwelling and racially-diverse cohort is a strength. Despite the large literature on modifiable lifestyle risk factors for AD, more studies are needed to establish causality to better inform dementia prevention approaches. It is indeed possible that a combination of modifiable lifestyle factors will need to be engaged for greater impact and that this may differ between women and men and by those with APOE4.
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Table 1. Participant Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Characteristics</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Effect size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
<td>76.11 (6.31)</td>
<td>76.63 (6.54)</td>
<td>75.26 (5.82)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, years</td>
<td>12.14 (4.49)</td>
<td>12.11 (4.44)</td>
<td>12.18 (4.58)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency of APOE4 carriers</td>
<td>28.1%</td>
<td>28.5%</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort (Wave 2, 1999/Wave 3, 2009)</td>
<td>410/348</td>
<td>273/201</td>
<td>137/147</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity, n (Black/Hispanic/White)</td>
<td>292/224/242</td>
<td>195/142/137</td>
<td>97/82/105</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis n (Normal/MCI/Dementia)</td>
<td>449/242/67</td>
<td>279/147/48</td>
<td>170/95/19</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (METS)</td>
<td>6.12 (2.03)</td>
<td>5.94 (2.06)</td>
<td>6.41 (1.95)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Activities (COGACT)</td>
<td>1.42 (0.70)</td>
<td>1.45 (0.72)</td>
<td>1.39 (0.67)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed Reserve</td>
<td>0.06 (0.92)</td>
<td>0.07 (0.97)</td>
<td>0.04 (0.83)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory Reserve</td>
<td>0.01 (0.73)</td>
<td>0.09 (0.73)</td>
<td>-0.13 (0.73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Participant Characteristics. Means and standard deviations are reported unless otherwise noted. Sex differences were tested by Student’s t-test for continuous variables and with χ² test for categorical variables. p value for APOE4 carrier frequency was determined using absolute counts and χ² test. Effect size (ES) was determined using Cohen’s D for continuous variable and φ for categorical variables. Note: METS variable represents the natural log of METS.
Figure 1. Association between Physical (METS) and Cognitive (COGACT) Activities and Speed and Memory Reserve. The (added variable) plots demonstrate the association between METS and Speed and Memory Reserves (A, B) and between COGACT and Speed and Memory Reserves (C, D) stratified by sex by regressing out the covariates from both the dependent and independent variables in each panel.
Figure 2. Sex-stratified Associations for Physical Activity (METS) and Speed and Memory Reserves by APOE4 Carrier Status. The plots demonstrate the association between METS and Speed and Memory Reserves among women (A, C) and men (B, D) stratified by APOE4 carrier status by regressing out the covariates from both the dependent and independent variables in each panel.
Figure 3. Sex-stratified Associations for Cognitive Activities (COGACT) and Speed and Memory Reserve by APOE4 Carrier Status. The plots demonstrate the association between COGACT and Speed and Memory Reserves among women (A, C) and men (B, D) stratified by APOE4 carrier status by regressing out the covariates from both the dependent and independent variables in each panel.
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