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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Topographical distribution of white matter hyperintensities (WMH) are hypothesized to vary by cerebrovascular risk factors. We used an unbiased pattern discovery approach to identify distinct WMH spatial patterns and investigate their association with different WMH etiologies.

Methods: We performed a cross-sectional study on participants of the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) to identify spatially distinct WMH distribution patterns using voxel-based spectral clustering analysis of aligned WMH probability maps. We included all participants from the ADNI Grand Opportunity/ADNI 2 study with available baseline 2D-FLAIR MRI scans, without prior history of stroke or presence of infarction on imaging. We evaluated the associations of these WMH spatial patterns with vascular risk factors, amyloid-β PET, and imaging biomarkers of cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA), characterizing different forms of cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD) using multivariable regression. We also used linear regression models to investigate whether WMH spatial distribution influenced cognitive impairment.

Results: We analyzed MRI scans of 1,046 ADNI participants with mixed vascular and amyloid-related risk factors (mean age 72.9, 47.7% female, 31.4% hypertensive, 48.3% with abnormal amyloid PET). We observed unbiased partitioning of WMH into five unique spatial patterns: deep frontal, periventricular, juxtacortical, parietal, and posterior. Juxtacortical WMH were independently associated with probable CAA, deep frontal WMH were associated with risk factors for arteriolosclerosis (hypertension and diabetes), and parietal WMH were associated with brain amyloid accumulation,
consistent with an Alzheimer’s disease (AD) phenotype. Juxtacortical, deep frontal, and parietal WMH spatial patterns were associated with cognitive impairment. Periventricular and posterior WMH spatial patterns were unrelated to any disease phenotype or cognitive decline.

Discussion: Data-driven WMH spatial patterns reflect discrete underlying etiologies including arteriolosclerosis, CAA, AD, and normal aging. Global measures of WMH volume may miss important spatial distinctions. WMH spatial signatures may serve as etiology-specific imaging markers, helping to resolve WMH heterogeneity, identify the dominant underlying pathological process, and improve prediction of clinical-relevant trajectories that influence cognitive decline.

INTRODUCTION

Cerebral white matter lesions or hyperintensities (WMH) are a highly prevalent radiographic phenotype increasingly recognized as a marker of poor brain health, characterized by hyperintense signals on T2-weighted MRI. WMH are a cardinal manifestation of cerebral small vessel disease (CSVD), and a major component of vascular contributions to cognitive impairment and dementia (VCID) and Alzheimer’s disease (AD). WMH reflect a diverse array of underlying etiologies that belie their homogenous macroscale appearance. This heterogeneity poses a significant challenge towards disentangling the underlying WMH pathogenesis. Consequently, efforts toward identifying WMH features or patterns that are able to discriminate different disease etiologies such as AD, vascular-related CSVD (arteriolosclerosis), and amyloid-related CSVD (cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA)), are needed. However, prior studies using whole-brain, voxel-based comparisons have failed to identify distinct patterns as they require the erroneous assumption of voxel-wise independence, and lack statistical power due to high dimensionality and multiple comparison problems.

Increasing evidence support the hypothesis that WMH location may provide etiological information. Neuropathological studies have suggested that different pathological processes underlie WMH in different brain regions. However, WMH is commonly assessed by quantifying cumulative burden expressed as total WMH volume (WMHv), which eliminates latent spatial specificity and lacks discriminative potential for different
etiologies. Beyond global WMHv, studies exploring WMH by spatial location have traditionally utilized arbitrarily-defined categories of periventricular and subcortical/deep WMH,\textsuperscript{10,11} or by dividing white matter into different anatomical brain regions (cortical lobes)\textsuperscript{12} or vascular territories.\textsuperscript{13} While these studies considered the concept of WMH spatial heterogeneity, their use of predefined regions of interest or empirically-defined visual WMH patterns introduce bias and confound the identification of robust disease-dependent WMH distribution patterns.

WMH are associated with cognitive decline but WMH burden correlates inconsistently with cognitive impairment. This clinicoradiographic discrepancy is thought to be partly influenced by location-specific effects of WMH on different cognitive domains,\textsuperscript{14,15} which may reflect different underlying disease processes and further complicated by the frequent co-existence of both AD and CSVD pathologies in the elderly.\textsuperscript{16} Here, we conducted a multivariate analysis of WMH spatial distribution using voxel-based spectral clustering of WMH probability maps to identify distinct WMH patterns. This approach addresses prior methodological constraints by preserving localized voxel variations without losing voxel-wise interactions, and has been used successfully in identifying face\textsuperscript{17} and regional brain shape variations.\textsuperscript{18} We hypothesized that data-driven WMH spatial patterns capture disease-dependent WMH patterns in a robust and spatially precise manner that can discriminate different WMH etiologies. The establishment of distinct WMH spatial patterns that maps robustly to different WMH etiology will improve diagnostic specificity and characterization of WMH.

METHODS

Study design

Data utilized in this study were obtained from participants in the Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) study (eMethods). ADNI data were downloaded\textsuperscript{19} in September 2019. Briefly, all ADNI participants were between 55-90 years old, had completed at least 6 years of education, were English or Spanish speakers, and had no significant neurologic disease other than AD. We included all participants with available
baseline 3.0T 2D-FLAIR MRI scans, without history of stroke or presence of infarction on imaging (n=1,046) for the present analyses. We applied (a) deep learning to construct WMH probability maps representing voxel-wise WMH distribution, (b) spectral clustering of WMH probability maps to compute data-driven WMH spatial patterns, and (c) multivariable regression to examine location-specific relationships with risk factors for arteriolosclerosis, CAA, AD, and cognitive impairment. We extracted the following variables for our analysis: serial systolic blood pressure, anti-hypertensive medication use, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, smoking, alcohol use, atrial fibrillation, cardiovascular disease, APOE genotype, Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) scores, cortical standardized uptake value ratio (SUVR) from amyloid PET, and CAA diagnosis from T2*-weighted MRI.

*Standard protocol approvals, registrations, and patient consents*

The ADNI study was approved by the individual Institutional Review Boards of all participating institutions, with written informed consent obtained from all study participants, and all data were deidentified.

*Image preprocessing and constructing WMH probability maps*

A standardized MRI protocol for image acquisition was implemented across ADNI sites and validated across platforms. We performed image analysis using baseline 2D-FLAIR and T1-weighted brain MRIs, with imaging parameters as described (eTable 1). We implemented our analytical framework (Figure 1A-D) to extract individual-level probabilistic information of voxel-wise WMH distribution in the form of a WMH probability map; detailed description provided in eMethods. Briefly, we constructed an 18-layer convolutional neural network with U-Net architecture (eFigure 1) in Python using Pytorch to automatically segment WMH and generate participant-specific 2D-WMH probability maps from 2D-FLAIR scans, which were co-registered to their corresponding T1-weighted MRIs, then aligned to a common template space. We validated our deep-learning network performance against a reference standard of manual WMH segmentations, and the UCD four-tissue segmentation method used by ADNI on 70 randomly selected ADNI images, divided into training (n=50) and test datasets (n=20). The test dataset for final model performance evaluation consisted of
hold-out images not used in network training or tuning. Two trained reviewers performed manual delineation of supratentorial WMH in accordance with the STRIVE criteria,\(^3\) with high inter-rater agreement for the manually-derived WMH segmentation in spatial (Dice Similarity Coefficient, DSC=0.72; 95% CI=0.67-0.81) and volumetric correspondence (Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC)=0.92). Deep-learning (U-Net) was superior to the UCD four-tissue segmentation method across all evaluation metrics, including improved spatial accuracy (DSC), sensitivity and specificity in detecting WMH (area under precision-recall curve, AUC-PR) (\textbf{eTable 2}). Small lesion size and burden reduce WMH segmentation accuracy,\(^23\) creating detection bias for larger, confluent WMH. Our U-Net algorithm maintained high segmentation accuracy across a range of WMH burden (\textbf{eFigure 2}, \textbf{eTable 3}). Visual comparisons of the different segmentation methods are shown in \textbf{eFigure 3}.

\textit{Data-driven WMH phenotyping, identifying distinct WMH spatial localizations}

Aligned WMH probability maps (voxel size 1x1x1) were uniformly down-sampled by a factor of 2 and voxels with population-wise WMH probability <1% were excluded to improve computational efficiency, generating a WMH probability feature vector length of 30353 per participant. We applied spectral clustering to the entire analysis cohort (n=1,046) to identify distinct spatial clusters of WMH using a custom script\(^24\) in MATLAB (2019a, Natick, Massachusetts: The MathWorks Inc.) (\textbf{Figure 1E}). Aligned WMH probability maps were jointly analyzed by concatenation into \(n\) channels, each voxel as a vector with \(n\) values of WMH probability. Similarity measures between \(ij\) voxel-pairs were calculated as a Gaussian kernel function (\(\sigma=16\)) of the Euclidean distance between their WMH probability feature vectors forming an \(ij\), affinity matrix, M. Laplacian transformation was applied to matrix M prior to Eigen-decomposition of the squared matrix followed by \(k\)-means clustering to group highly correlated voxels, then mapped back to common template space to visualize spatial clusters of WMH. This result in partitioning of the white matter into a map of \(k\) data-driven WMH spatial patterns. To estimate the optimal number of non-overlapping clusters (\(k\)), we applied the eigengap method to identify \(k\) corresponding to the maximal difference between consecutive eigenvalues of the Laplacian. Finally, this map was warped into individual participant
space to calculate WMH burden (volume of voxels with WMH probability threshold of ≥0.5) for each data-driven WMH spatial pattern.

**MRI-based CAA assessment**

Two trained reviewers assessed blood-sensitive T2*-weighted MRIs for strictly lobar cerebral microbleeds (SL-CMB) and cortical superficial siderosis (cSS) to ascertain CAA diagnosis by the Modified Boston criteria. We carefully excluded microbleed mimics, including vessels, flow voids, and calcification. We reassessed 50 randomly selected scans for intra-rater reliability (K=0.91; 95% CI=0.88-0.94) and inter-rater reliability (K=0.85; 95% CI=0.82-0.90).

**Amyloid-related biomarkers**

Brain amyloid had been quantified by \(^{18}\)F-florbetapir (FBP) AV-45 PET summary data obtained from the ADNI database. Detailed acquisition and standardized processing methods were previously described. Briefly, FBP PET analyses utilized FreeSurfer v4.5.0 segmentation of a contemporary co-registered MRI to define cortical regions of interest that commonly harbor A\(_{\beta}\). We defined global A\(_{\beta}\) burden based on a composite SUVR of weighted FBP mean uptake across four cortical regions (frontal, lateral temporal, lateral parietal and cingulate) normalized to the whole cerebellum uptake, calculated from FBP PET performed within 1 year of study participants’ baseline FLAIR MRI.

**APOE genotyping** was performed using DNA extracted from leukocytes collected from ADNI participants, and finalized, quality-controlled data were obtained from the ADNI database. APOE genotype (available for n=967) was analyzed as a categorical variable indicated by the number of ε2 and ε4 alleles (0, 1, or 2).

**Assessment of cognitive impairment**

We used CDR scores assessed within 6 months of baseline FLAIR MRI as a measure of cognitive impairment. The CDR is a well-validated instrument for staging dementia severity into a 5-point scale: 0, no cognitive impairment; 0.5, very mild dementia; 1, mild dementia; 2, moderate dementia; 3, severe dementia. Due to extremely low numbers of
study participants with CDR ≥2 (n=2), we analyzed CDR as a categorical variable indicated by CDR 0, 0.5, and ≥1.

Non-amyloid/vascular risk factors

We obtained vascular risk factors most relevant to cerebrovascular disease for our study participants including type 2 diabetes mellitus, atrial fibrillation, history of cardiovascular disease (coronary heart disease, cardiac failure, or intermittent claudication), smoking status, alcohol use, and hyperlipidemia by screening the general health evaluation data in ADNI. We calculated the average systolic blood pressure (SBP) from brachial artery SBP measurements collected during each study visit and screened for antihypertensive medication use to construct a composite hypertension score (HTN-S; range 0-10) derived from the Framingham Risk Score\textsuperscript{28} to quantify subject-specific hypertension risk (eTable 4). 92.8% of study participants had ≥2 study visits (median (interquartile range, IQR) =5 (4-6)).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed with \textit{R} v4.0.3. For each \( k \) WMH spatial pattern, we normalized their territorial WMHv by the total WMHv to describe a relative WMH burden (WMHv\textsubscript{rel}) per pattern. We transformed WMHv\textsubscript{rel} for each WMH spatial pattern using rank-based inverse normal transformation to normalize their distributions. We examined associations of the WMH spatial patterns with different CSVD pathologies using a multivariable linear regression model for each WMH spatial pattern as outcome (WMHv\textsubscript{rel} for each pattern), and arteriolosclerosis-related (HTN-S and diabetes mellitus) and amyloid-related (CAA, amyloid SUVR, and \textit{APOE} \( \varepsilon4 \) genotype) CSVD risk factors as predictors (resulting in 5 models). We investigated for interaction effects of CSVD risk factors on the WMH spatial patterns with linear regression models including HTN-S*diabetes mellitus, HTN-S*CAA, and HTN-S*amyloid SUVR interaction terms. Similarly, we assessed for pattern-specific WMH effects on cognitive impairment with CDR at time of imaging. All regression models were adjusted for age, sex, race, and other vascular risk factors including hyperlipidemia, smoking status, alcohol use, atrial fibrillation, and cardiovascular disease. Regression models for cognitive status were
separately adjusted for years of education. Significance threshold was set at Bonferroni-corrected \( p < 0.01 \).

Data availability

All relevant source data are available from ADNI.\(^{19}\) Relevant image IDs are provided in the Supplement (eTable 5). The derived data supporting the study findings are available upon request for the express purpose of reproducing the results.

RESULTS

There were 1,067 participants with available 2D-FLAIR sequence, but 21 were excluded due to missing clinical data, presence of infarct on imaging, or failing subsequent image quality screening (Figure 2). Our final cohort comprised 1,046 participants (mean age 72.9 years (SD 7.6); 47.7% female; 93.3% White) from ADNI with mixed CSVD (31.4% with hypertension; 13.0% with CAA) and AD pathology (48.3% with abnormal amyloid PET). Characteristics of the study cohort are shown in Table 1.

Identification of data-driven WMH spatial patterns

We identified five WMH clusters representing five distinct, non-overlapping WMH spatial patterns (Figure 3) in our study cohort. The optimal number of clusters, \( k = 5 \) was determined using the eigengap method, representing the maximum difference between consecutive eigenvalues (eFigure 4). We validated the robustness of the WMH spatial patterns through repeated clustering experiments with bootstrap resampling and quantified the extent of spatial overlap (0<\( \text{DSC} \)<1; 0, no overlap; 1, perfect overlap) for the resulting WMH clusters between pairs of repeat clustering attempts. The WMH spatial patterns were highly reproducible, with high median overlap (\( \text{DSC}_1 = 0.90 \), \( \text{DSC}_2 = 0.96 \), \( \text{DSC}_3 = 0.89 \), \( \text{DSC}_4 = 0.88 \), \( \text{DSC}_5 = 0.92 \)) (eTable 6). Furthermore, voxel-based spectral clustering partitioned WMH into recognizable spatial distributions: the first involved the juxtacortical region in the intersection between cortical gray and white matter (WMH-J, green in Figure 3A), the second location was in the deep frontal WMH.
region (WMH-D, yellow in Figure 3A), the third specified a narrow area surrounding the ventricles mostly involved the frontal and occipital horns of the lateral ventricles (WMH-PV, blue in Figure 3A), the fourth location involved deep white matter in the dorsal parietal region (WMH-Pa, red in Figure 3A), and the fifth mainly involved subcortical white matter in the occipital lobe including the splenium (WMH-Post, orange in Figure 3A).

We created a territorial map of the five WMH spatial patterns identified, registered each participant’s WMH probability map in standard space and calculated their WMHvrel for each WMH spatial pattern. The median total WMHv was 13.2 cm³ (interquartile range (IQR): 7.9–22.5). The WMHvrel distributions of the five WMH spatial patterns are summarized in Figure 4. Periventricular and posterior WMH patterns were ubiquitous across all participants (median WMHvrel (IQR): WMH-PV= 46.6% (35.8-58.2%), WMH-Post=15.2% (8.4-24.3%)), while being less common for juxtacortical, deep frontal, and parietal WMH patterns (median WMHvrel (IQR): WMH-J=3.7% (1.7-7.5%), WMH-D=12.8% (6.8-21.3%), WMH-Pa=8.5% (3.1-15.7%)).

Associations with CSVD etiologies

In multivariable linear regression analysis, there were significant associations between age and relative WMH burden for all WMH spatial patterns, with highest coefficients for deep frontal and parietal patterns (WMH-D: $\beta=0.06$, $p<2e^{-16}$, WMH-Pa: $\beta=0.05$, $p<2e^{-16}$), whereas the proportion of periventricular WMH pattern decreased relative to the other spatial patterns with age ($\beta=-0.02$, $p<7.3e^{-5}$). In contrast, we found differential associations of the WMH spatial patterns with amyloid SUVR, CAA, and vascular risk factors. Higher relative WMH burden in the juxtacortical pattern was independently associated with probable CAA diagnosis ($\beta=0.37$, $p<5.9e^{-4}$) and amyloid SUVR ($\beta=0.45$, $p<2.3e^{-3}$). Consistent with this finding, regions of greatest SL-CMBs density overlapped spatially with the juxtacortical WMH pattern (Figure 5). In a similar multivariable linear regression model, amyloid SUVR was the only independent predictor associated with increased relative WMH burden in the parietal pattern ($\beta=0.59$, $p<9.2e^{-5}$). Greater relative WMH burden in the deep frontal pattern was independently associated with only HTN-S and diabetes mellitus ($\beta=0.06$, $p<3.9e^{-5}$ and $\beta=0.26$, $p<5.0e^{-3}$, respectively).
WMH burden in both periventricular and posterior patterns were not related to any CSVD risk factors in multivariable regression models. The number of APOE ε2 and ε4 alleles was not associated with relative WMH burden in any of the identified WMH spatial patterns. The results are summarized in Figure 3 and diagnostic regression plots for the separate models are shown in eFigure 5. The associations of WMH-J with probable CAA, WMH-D with HTN-S, and WMH-Pa with amyloid SUVR remained significant after adjustments for CDR (n=930, eTable 7). No significant interaction effects were observed between individual CSVD-related risk factors and the WMH spatial patterns (eTable 8).

**Associations with cognitive impairment**

Higher global WMH burden was associated with increased cognitive impairment measured by CDR (median WMHv (IQR) in cm³: CDR 0=12.7 (7.8-19.9), CDR 0.5=13.0 (7.7-23.2), CDR ≥1=20.5 (13.8-28.2); \( p=2.3e^{-6} \)) (eFigure 6). However, we found location-specific effects of WMH on cognitive decline in the subset of study cohort (n=945) with CDR assessments completed near time of MRI (Table 2). Increased relative WMH burden in both juxtacortical and parietal patterns were independently associated with dementia (CDR ≥1: \( \beta=0.32, \ p<6.4^{-3} \) for WMH-J; \( \beta=0.35, \ p<3.0^{-3} \) for WMH-Pa). Higher relative WMH burden in the deep frontal pattern was associated with mild cognitive impairment (CDR =0.5: \( \beta=0.21, \ p<8.1^{-3} \); CDR ≥1: \( \beta=0.59, \ p<1.2^{-7} \)). We did not find an association between WMH burden in either periventricular or posterior patterns with cognitive impairment. In a sensitivity analysis, the pattern of associations was unchanged with inclusion of education years as a marker of brain resilience (eTable 9).

**DISCUSSION**

We applied multivariate voxel-based spectral clustering to FLAIR MRIs of a cohort of well-characterized elderly, stroke-free participants from ADNI with mixed pathologies of CSVD and AD to identify disease-dependent WMH distribution patterns. Using an unbiased approach, we identified five distinct WMH spatial patterns: (i) juxtacortical, (ii)
deep frontal, (iii) periventricular, (iv) parietal, and (v) posterior, and demonstrated that these data-driven WMH spatial patterns reflected regional susceptibility to different underlying disease etiologies. While we found a shared influence of age on all WMH spatial patterns, specific CSVD risk factors were independently associated with different WMH spatial patterns. Increased juxtacortical WMH pattern was independently associated with a greater likelihood of probable CAA and brain amyloid accumulation, suggesting juxtacortical WMH as a spatially-specific marker of cerebrovascular amyloid load or CAA-related leukoaraiosis. Elevated composite hypertension score and diabetes mellitus, risk factors highly associated with arteriolosclerosis, were both related to increased deep frontal WMH pattern. Elevated brain amyloid load had the greatest influence on increased parietal WMH pattern. In contrast, periventricular and posterior WMH patterns were not associated with any risk factors except for age. Further, greater relative WMH burden within the juxtacortical, deep frontal, and parietal patterns were all associated with increased likelihood of cognitive impairment whereas periventricular and posterior WMH patterns did not influence cognitive status.

Our findings that spatially distinct patterns of WMH distribution are associated with different underlying WMH etiologies are consistent with circumstantial evidence from prior studies. Using predefined qualitative WMH patterns, Charidimou et al.\textsuperscript{11} noted a divergence in WMH pattern topography between patients with primary intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) due to hypertension (HTN) versus CAA. Peri-basal ganglia WMH were more prevalent amongst patients with HTN-ICH, whereas multiple subcortical spots pattern of WMH was more common in the CAA-ICH group.\textsuperscript{11} Recently, several studies separately suggested an association between frontally located WMH with hypertension,\textsuperscript{29} increased vascular risk,\textsuperscript{30} and vascular dementia.\textsuperscript{31} Other studies have noted an association between posteriorly located WMH with both AD-related cognitive dysfunction,\textsuperscript{32} and PET-based amyloid positivity.\textsuperscript{30} In a longitudinal study investigating the relationship between WMH by lobar regions and incident dementia, only parietal WMH was associated with increased risk of AD.\textsuperscript{5} This posterior WMH predilection was also seen in familial AD. A study involving asymptomatic autosomal dominant AD mutation carriers demonstrated greater WMH burden in the posterior periventricular regions involving both parietal and occipital lobes compared to noncarrier controls.\textsuperscript{33}
With a single exception, these studies all utilized empiric WMH categories or arbitrarily-defined regional boundaries that varied in anatomical definitions between studies. Indeed, the traditional deep and periventricular WMH showed discordant associations with various risk factors and cognitive correlates across studies in part due to inconsistent definitions of deep and periventricular subdivisions. Our study instead utilized an unbiased method to partition WMH and systematically define WMH pattern distributions, whose boundaries were specified by the spatial localizations of highly correlated clusters of WMH probability voxels across individuals.

A particularly striking finding was that several of these data-driven WMH patterns specified spatial locations which were consistent with known anatomic localizations of distinct disease pathologies, strengthening their robustness and specificity as discriminative biomarkers of different WMH etiology. Previous studies reported an association between CAA and posterior WMH. In contrast, the juxtacortical pattern of CAA-related WMH in our study is more consistent with neuropathological studies that showed similar topography of the leptomeningeal microvasculature. These vessels, most affected by CAA, penetrate cortical-subcortical regions in the frontal, parietal, occipital, and lateral temporal lobes. Indeed, this juxtacortical location overlapped with areas of high SL-CMBs density (Figure 5), the radiological hallmark of CAA, and observed spatial increase in PET-based amyloid burden in individuals with probable CAA. Studies using high-resolution MRI also demonstrated co-localization of enlarged centrum semiovale perivascular spaces, another CAA imaging marker, with greater CAA severity by imaging and histopathology also within the juxtacortical region. The positive association between juxtacortical WMH pattern and cognitive impairment is also consistent with findings from autopsy and prospective cohort studies of CAA patients that suggest high prevalence of cognitive dysfunction in CAA with a cognitive profile of impaired processing speed and executive dysfunction, distinct from AD.

Likewise, deep frontal WMH pattern was strongly associated with risk factors for arteriolosclerosis (hypertension and diabetes mellitus) in our study. Several studies which examined regional rather than global WMH load independently observed positive associations between frontally located WMH with traditional vascular risk factors,
particularly driven by hypertension, and risk of vascular-related cognitive decline.\textsuperscript{29-31} This location share the largest spatial overlap with our observed arteriolosclerosis-related WMH spatial pattern predominantly involving the frontal subcortical white matter. The distinct vulnerability of frontal subcortical white matter to hypertension\textsuperscript{29,31} may be a consequence of the regional vascular blood supply, comprising territories of the distal branches of the superficial perforating arteries of the anterior and middle cerebral arteries, which are prone to development of arteriolosclerosis. Moreover, a recent study investigating regional variation in WMH pathology using human postmortem brain tissue observed that arteriolosclerosis was the main driver of frontal WMH development,\textsuperscript{42} which corresponds with our observation of a distinct arteriolosclerosis-related WMH distribution pattern involving the frontal subcortical white matter.

We also identified a parietal WMH pattern that was strongly associated with increased brain cortical Aβ load and cognitive impairment, biomarkers characteristic of preclinical amyloid pathology and AD.\textsuperscript{43} Although several studies have suggested an association between global WMH burden and increased Aβ, many others showed no relationship between total WMH\textsubscript{v} and brain amyloid uptake.\textsuperscript{44} Conversely, studies which explored regional WMH effects showed convergent observations of WMH located in posterior brain regions being associated with elevated brain Aβ deposition in sporadic AD.\textsuperscript{32,33} Our observation of an AD-associated WMH pattern involving the parietal white matter corresponds with prior studies demonstrating association of parietal WMH with both AD risk and progression.\textsuperscript{5,33} Further support for parietal WMH as an AD-related leukoaraiosis pattern is evidenced by histological findings of degenerative axonal loss secondary to AD pathology in the absence of arteriolosclerosis specifically within parietal white matter lesions in AD.\textsuperscript{45} It is interesting that our proposed AD-related WMH location involving the parietal subcortical white matter overlap with the stereotypical temporoparietal cortical pattern\textsuperscript{46} of amyloid pathology in AD, and supports the suggestion that white matter tracts originating from neurons affected by Aβ deposition in the overlying cortex may be vulnerable to Wallerian degeneration-associated axonal damage triggered by the cortical AD pathology.\textsuperscript{45}
An interesting finding was the delineation of periventricular WMH pattern as periventricular caps around the frontal and posterior horns of the lateral ventricles with a thin lining along the lateral ventricles,\textsuperscript{47} which was not associated with any disease risk factors examined or cognitive impairment. The periventricular cap pattern of WMH is a common finding on cranial MRI in the elderly,\textsuperscript{47} postulated to be distinct from CSVD-related WMH and related to normal aging,\textsuperscript{48} consistent with our observation of a singular association with age. WMH in this region has characteristic histology of spongiotic, finely textured myelin and accumulation of interstitial fluid within the adjacent white matter and sub-ependymal widening of the extracellular space around the lateral ventricle horns.\textsuperscript{48} A quantitative MRI study measuring brain water content identified the periventricular caps as having significantly higher water content compared to normal white matter,\textsuperscript{47} further supporting our periventricular WMH pattern as a distinct white matter region. Similarly, we also identified a posterior WMH pattern associated with age but not with any disease risk factor or cognitive impairment. Given that this white matter region is immediately adjacent posteriorly to the periventricular caps, we speculate that the corresponding WMH may represent a late-stage progression of periventricular caps expanding into the potential space surrounding the occipital horn of the lateral ventricles. Of note, this hypothesis may be borne out by the opposing relationship of relative WMH burden within these two patterns with time (represented as age). Relative WMH burden within the periventricular pattern decreased with age, but increased over time in the posterior pattern, suggesting that periventricular WMH occurrence likely preceded development of posterior WMH.

This analysis expands on prior studies examining WMH spatial heterogeneity by utilizing a data-driven approach to define distinct WMH spatial patterns which represent different WMH etiologies: arteriolosclerosis, CAA, AD, and normal aging. Similar studies using unbiased definitions of regional WMH are rare – a single prior study\textsuperscript{29} used advanced structural covariance analysis and produced WMH subdivisions with anatomic localizations which were less specific for CSVD subtypes. In contrast, our study identified WMH regional definitions which demonstrated significant correspondence with various radiologic, histopathologic, and epidemiologic correlations across the spectrum of CSVD and AD pathology in existing literature. Additional
strengths of our work include our inclusion of a large, well-phenotyped population-based cohort with mixed CSVD etiologies and AD, which allowed for more comprehensive definition of distinct WMH localizations, and quantification of lobar CMBs for assessment of CAA.

Despite the above strengths, our study has several limitations. First, our study cohort from ADNI creates a relatively biased sample of older individuals with increased preponderance of amyloid-related pathology and less traditional vascular risk factors, especially hypertension, which may be less representative of the general population. However, bias towards amyloid-related pathology were reduced by the mixed nature of our study cohort that included cognitively normal individuals, as reflected by a similar prevalence in CAA-related imaging markers in our study population as compared to the estimated prevalence in the general population. Second, our analysis did not include assessment of MRI-visible perivascular space burden as another CSVD biomarker, which were previously reported to have topographically-specific distributions representing different CSVD microangiopathies. Third, we did not perform external validation on an independent dataset with different population characteristics. Further confirmation of our findings through repeated assessments in other, diverse populations comprising ischemic and/or hemorrhagic stroke, AD and CAA cohorts will be needed to demonstrate generalizability of our findings. Fourth, we did not explore cognitive profiles for the WMH spatial patterns in this study. We hypothesize that specific WMH spatial patterns are associated with different cognitive correlates, which would be the basis for future work. Fifth, study variables were restricted to those collected by the relevant ADNI study phases, and as such we did not include other modifiable risk factors for WMH such as inflammation or tau PET, which were not performed for ADNI GO/2. Sixth, we assumed that the WMH occurrence can be decomposed into highly nonoverlapping clusters, where each cluster groups voxels with high similarities across the population. As typical for all clustering methods, it was crucial to specify the number of clusters, $k$, for grouping. For this unsupervised approach, this was the only decision that we have imposed, and this number was subsequently rigorously examined to ensure the optimal $k$ was selected.
In this work, we performed an unbiased classification of WMH into five, non-overlapping, spatial patterns using voxel-based spectral clustering of WMH probability maps. We observed differential associations between each WMH spatial pattern and vascular and amyloid-related risk factors. We demonstrated that the development of WMH burden across the supratentorial brain is not homogenous, and spatial specificity of WMH reflect differential regional white matter vulnerability to injury from different disease pathologies. We observed WMH pattern-specific correspondence with cognitive impairment, a novel finding. We showed that our data-driven WMH spatial patterns may represent WMH spatial signatures that can distinguish between arteriolosclerosis-related CSVD, CAA, Alzheimer’s disease, and normal aging. This opens the path for new investigations using these WMH spatial patterns as etiology-specific imaging markers to help resolve WMH heterogeneity and improve understanding of the underlying mechanisms driving WMH development, help inform interpretation of clinical MRI scans as to the dominant underlying pathological process, and predict clinically-relevant trajectories that may impact disease and cognitive outcomes.
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FIGURES

Figure 1. Methodological sequence for identifying data-driven WMH spatial patterns.

A Image analysis pipeline include standard preprocessing of both FLAIR and corresponding T1-weighted MRI data, B image-level harmonization to account for batch effects, C automated WMH segmentation using a custom deep learning network (U-Net), D alignment to standard brain template to ensure cohort-level spatial correspondence of the WMH probability maps, and E voxel-based spectral clustering of aligned WMH probability maps.
Figure 2. Flowchart of study participants and analysis framework.

Abbreviations: ADNI, Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative public database; CSVD, cerebral small vessel disease; WMH, white matter hyperintensities; GRE, T2*-gradient echo sequence; CMB, cerebral microbleeds; cSS, cortical superficial siderosis; SBP, systolic blood pressure; HTN, hypertension; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio; WMHv, WMH volume; INT, inverse normal transformation; CDR, clinical dementia rating scale.
Potentially eligible ADNI GO/2 participants (N = 1,067)

- Age ≥ 18 years old
- Stroke-free individuals
- Baseline 3T FLAIR brain MRI
- 2D FLAIR scanning protocol
- Completed at least 3 years of follow-up

Excluded (n = 8):
- Missing MRI sequence and insufficient image quality (5)
- Presence of infarct (3)

Eligible participants with T1w and FLAIR MRI (n = 1,059)

Excluded (n = 3):
- Lack of follow-up data (3)

Eligible participants (n = 1,056)

Excluded (n = 10):
- Disqualified post QC for failed image registration (11)

Participants (n = 1,046)

Quantitative image analysis of FLAIR and T1w MRI

- Automated WMH segmentation (WMH probability maps)
- Spectral clustering (Data-driven WMH localizations)
- Regional WMH normalized by total WMHr; INT (relative WMH burden)

Multivariable linear regression

CSVD-related risk factors

Vascular risk factors

Detailed medical history for cardiovascular risk factors; medication history (use of antihypertensive drugs); and serial SBP measurements (composite HTN score)

Cognitive impairment (CDR)

Amyloid-related biomarkers

- APOE genotype
- T2+ GRE MR images (quantify lobar CMRs; cSS for CAA diagnosis)
- F-florbetapir AV-45 PET (brain amyloid SUVR)
Figure 3. Data-driven WMH spatial patterns define distinct disease etiologies.

A Topographical distribution of the data-driven WMH spatial patterns identified using spectral clustering of study cohort WMH probability maps. B Associations between data-driven WMH spatial patterns and vascular and amyloid-related risk factors. Multivariable linear regression models were used with relative WMH burden for each data-driven WMH spatial pattern as outcomes, composite hypertension score, diabetes mellitus, amyloid SUVR, and CAA diagnosis as predictors, adjusted for age, race, sex, smoking status, current alcohol use, cardiovascular disease, atrial fibrillation, hyperlipidemia, and APOE genotype.

*significance (bold) at Bonferroni-corrected level $p<0.01$.

\(\alpha\) composite hypertension score

Abbreviations: CAA, cerebral amyloid angiopathy; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio; CDR, clinical dementia rating scale; CSVD, cerebral small vessel disease; WMH, white matter hyperintensities; \(\beta\), beta-coefficient.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>E3HD and AD risk factors (N = 967)</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
<th>( \beta )</th>
<th>( p )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2e-15*</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2e-14*</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>7.3e-07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2e-13*</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.1e-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male)</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>1.9e-03*</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>1.2e-02</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>4.6e-04</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (White)</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race (Black)</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension(^b)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>2.0e-05</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-1.9e-01</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>4.9e-05</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>8.0e-09</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.14</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.9e-03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable CAA</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>5.9e-06</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.08</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE e4 allele</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE e2 allele</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyloid SUVR</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.4e-07</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>9.2e-06</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 (% )</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Distribution of the data-driven WMH spatial patterns.

A-E Density plots showing the distribution of relative WMH burden ($\text{WMHv}_{\text{rel}}$) for each WMH spatial pattern.
Figure 5. Spatial correspondence of the juxtacortical WMH spatial pattern and strictly lobar cerebral microbleeds.

The strictly lobar cerebral microbleeds (SL-CMBs) frequency map has a range of values from 0 to 4.4%. Areas of highest SL-CMBs density were located within the topographical distribution of the juxtacortical WMH pattern (green).
Table 1. Clinical characteristics of study population from ADNI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>n = 1,046</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, mean (SD)</td>
<td>72.9 (7.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex, Female, n (%)</td>
<td>499 (47.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>976 (93.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>50 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>20 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HTN-S, median (IQR)</td>
<td>4 (3 – 6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mmHg, median (SD)</td>
<td>133.0 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antihypertensive medication, n (%)</td>
<td>463 (44.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
<td>328 (31.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia, n (%)</td>
<td>448 (42.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus type 2, n (%)</td>
<td>117 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular disease, n (%)</td>
<td>143 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation, n (%)</td>
<td>39 (3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
<td>421 (40.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol use, n (%)</td>
<td>48 (0.05)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total WMH volume, mL, median (IQR)</td>
<td>13.2 (7.9 – 22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAA, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable (≥ 2 lobar CMB or 1 lobar CMB plus cSS)</td>
<td>51 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible (1 lobar CMB or cSS)</td>
<td>85 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE ε4, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 allele</td>
<td>287 (36.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 allele</td>
<td>73 (9.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE ε2, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 allele</td>
<td>77 (9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 alleles</td>
<td>3 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amyloid SUVR, mean (SD)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal amyloid PET (SUVR ≥1.1), n (%)</td>
<td>505 (48.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>321 (34.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>540 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥1</td>
<td>84 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education, y, median (SD)</td>
<td>16.0 (2.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis in ADNI, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitively normal</td>
<td>346 (33.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild cognitive impairment</td>
<td>529 (50.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dementia</td>
<td>166 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a APOE genotype was available for 967 (92.4%) participants
Amyloid PET and CDR were available for 945 (90.3%) participants.

Abbreviations: ADNI, Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative; HTN-S, composite hypertension score; IQR, interquartile range; WMH, white matter hyperintensity; CAA, cerebral amyloid angiopathy; CMB, cerebral microbleed; cSS, cortical superficial siderosis; SUVR, standardized uptake value ratio; CDR, clinical dementia rating.

Table 2. Associations between the data-driven WMH spatial patterns and cognitive status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cognitive status: Clinical Dementia Rating scale, CDR</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Juxtacortical localization</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>6.38e-3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep frontal localization</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.15e-7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular localization</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal localization</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>3.03e-3*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior localization</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 1</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Models were adjusted for age, sex, race, amyloid-related and vascular risk factors including hypertension score, diabetes mellitus, hyperlipidemia, cardiovascular disease, atrial fibrillation, smoking, alcohol use, CAA diagnosis, APOE genotype, amyloid SUVR.

*significance (bold) at Bonferroni-corrected level p<0.01

Abbreviations: β, beta-coefficient; SE, standard error.
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