Clinical Reasoning: A 62-Year-Old Woman With Transient Vision Loss
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Abstract:

Transient visual loss (TVL) is a common complaint in the Emergency Department, with numerous possible etiologies. Prompt evaluation and management of TVL can potentially prevent progression to permanent visual loss. In this case, a 62-year-old female presented with acute, painless, unilateral TVL. Two weeks prior to presentation, the patient reported bitemporal headaches and paresthesia of the distal extremities. A review of systems revealed chronic fatigue, cough, diffuse arthralgias, and decreased appetite for the previous six months. This case highlights the diagnostic approach to patients with TVL. Some common and rare causes associated with this clinical manifestation are briefly reviewed.

Section 1

A 62-year-old female with a medical history of asthma presented to the emergency department with painless transient vision loss (TVL) of the left eye lasting 15 minutes with complete resolution. Similar symptoms were reported in the contralateral eye six days before presentation. In the past two weeks, she complained of persistent dull bitemporal headaches associated with photophobia and worsening numbness of bilateral hands and feet. A review of systems revealed fatigue, cough, arthralgias, and decreased appetite for the past six months. She denied any focal weakness, weight loss, preceding infectious illness, or trauma. Her medication regimen included inhaled ipratropium, intranasal fluticasone, and oral montelukast.

Neurologic examination showed an awake and oriented woman with prosodic and fluent speech. Pupils were symmetric and reactive to bright light. No afferent pupillary defect, ophthalmoplegia, or nystagmus were detected, visual fields were full to confrontation, visual acuity was 20/20, intraocular pressure was 23, and extraocular movements were full without pain or diplopia on the far gaze, bilaterally. On dilated fundoscopic examination, both eyes vitreous, macula, vessels, and periphery were within normal limits. The disk had sharp margins and normal color, without edema, and a cup-to-disc ratio of 0.8 with a cupped appearance. Pinprick, vibration, and proprioception were mildly decreased at the toes and hands bilaterally. Manual motor testing revealed grade 5/5 strength in proximal and distal upper and lower extremities. Finger-to-nose and heel-to-shin revealed no ataxia or dysmetria. Reflexes were preserved.

Skin examination revealed erythematous maculopapular lesions with central purple involving the right dorsal foot and ankle. There were scattered erythematous papules on the bilateral lower extremities and right arm.

Questions for consideration

1. What is the differential diagnosis?
2. What diagnostic work-up should be done?
The patient in this vignette presented with painless unilateral TVL and bitemporal headache. Causes of TVL can be divided into ischemic or non-ischemic. The most common cause of TVL is ischemic optic neuropathy (arteritic or nonarteritic). Therefore, patients presenting with these symptoms require urgent evaluation since delay can quickly lead to infarction. Risk factors for nonarteritic optic nerve ischemia include older age, hypertension, and diabetes. Carotid imaging duplex ultrasound, magnetic resonance angiography, or computed tomographic angiography) is required, especially if vascular risk factors are present. Computed tomography angiography of the head and neck showed no evidence of large vessel arteritis, normal superficial temporal artery bilaterally, and no atherosclerotic disease.

Optic nerve ischemia can be attributed to embolism, coagulopathy, vasospasm, or vasculitis. A detailed funduscopic examination could reveal the implicated mechanism and is part of the diagnostic workup for TVL. In patients older than 50 years of age, it is recommended to obtain erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR) and C-reactive protein to screen for Giant Cell Arteritis (GCA), the most common cause of arteritic ischemic optic neuropathy, and a treatable cause of otherwise permanent visual loss. Risk factors for arteritic ischemic optic neuropathy include female sex and older age. Headache is more common in GCA than in carotid vascular disease and could help differentiate these two conditions; temporal artery biopsy can be diagnostic.

Non-ischemic etiologies for optic neuropathies include infectious (West Nile virus, Syphilis), inflammatory (post viral optic neuritis, sarcoidosis, autoimmune disease), toxins (methanol, ethambutol), or nutritional deficiency (vitamin B1, B12). Brain lesions can also cause compression of the optic nerve, typically identified in neuroimaging and usually presenting as chronic, progressive vision loss. Transient visual loss and headache, particularly positional headaches, could be a manifestation of idiopathic intracranial hypertension. Common MRI findings include optic nerve tortuosity and bulging, empty sella turcica, posterior globe flattening, and transverse sinus stenosis. Lumbar puncture with opening pressure can be both diagnostic and therapeutic, although not pathognomonic.

Demyelinating etiologies for optic neuropathy causing optic neuritis are Multiple Sclerosis (MS), Myelin Oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease (MOGAD), or Neuromyelitis Optic Spectrum Disorder (NMOSD). The vision loss in these disorders is painful and not transient, lasting days to weeks. MRI findings and associated antibody testing are diagnostic for these conditions.

Initial workup included an MRI brain (Figure 1), cerebral spinal fluid (CSF), autoimmune panel, inflammatory markers, urinalysis, and vitamins B12 and B9 levels. The MRI revealed retrobulbar, and perioptic nerve sheath enhancement associated with mild leptomeningeal enhancement and extensive sinus disease. She underwent lumbar puncture with normal opening pressure, CSF analysis showed increased IgG (3.6) and white blood cell count 9/mm³, with increased eosinophils (11% neutrophils, 33% lymphocytes, 22% monocytes, 33%
eosinophils), normal protein (42 mg/dL), and glucose (56 mg/dL). Immunological workup was positive for antinuclear antibodies (1:160) and myeloperoxidase anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (MPO-ANCA). ANCA-PR3 was negative. Her ESR (46 mm/hr.) was mildly elevated. Peripheral cell blood count demonstrated significant eosinophilia (6440/mm³). Urinalysis showed microhematuria (6 RBC/HPF). Vitamin B12 and B9 were within normal limits.

Questions for consideration

1. What additional test can narrow the differential diagnosis?
2. How would you treat the patient?

Go to Section 3

Section 3

The inflammation was confined to the optic nerve sheath, conferring optic perineuritis as opposed to optic neuritis that presents with inflammation of the optic nerve axons. Some etiologies for optic perineuritis include Grave’s disease, sarcoidosis, rheumatoid arthritis, scleroderma, gout, tuberculosis, sparganosis, ocular toxoplasmosis, bacterial and fungal meningitis, IgG4 related diseases, CMV neuroretinitis, Behcet’s disease, and granulomatosis with polyangiitis. Most cases of optic perineuritis are associated with systemic autoimmune diseases, in contrast to optic neuritis that is more commonly associated with central nervous system (CNS) autoimmune disorders such as MS, MOGAS or NMOSD.7

Optic perineuritis and systemic symptoms with sinuses/lung involvement suggests an autoimmune/inflammatory or infectious etiology. Work-up included negative blood testing for syphilis, HIV, hepatitis, tuberculosis, strongyloidiasis, parasites, meningoencephalitis panel, and negative CSF gram stain/cultures. Serum levels of angiotensin converting enzyme, copper, methylmalonic acid, and vitamin B6 were normal. Nerve conduction studies revealed peripheral sensorimotor axonal neuropathy affecting lower extremities more than upper extremities.

Considering the patient’s eosinophilia, neurologic symptoms (TVL and peripheral neuropathy), sinus abnormalities, optic perineuritis, history of asthma, cutaneous palpable purpura, arthralgias, and MPO-ANCA positivity; a diagnosis of Eosinophilic Granulomatosis with
Polyangiitis (EGPA) was made. Intravenous methylprednisolone was started and continued for three days followed by a daily oral maintenance dose of prednisone. On the second day of treatment, the patient denied new episodes of visual loss, headaches, paresthesias, or other neurological symptoms. Her eosinophil count reduced to 44/mm$^3$ after treatment. The rheumatology team recommended a course of cyclophosphamide. Montelukast was discontinued as there were reported cases of an association with EGPA.$^8$

The purpuric rash on the ankle (Figure 2A) was biopsied, showing eosinophilic and neutrophilic infiltration and vasculitis (Figure 2B, 2C, and 2D). Biopsy results were compatible with bullous eosinophilic vasculitis. The patient was discharged with oral prednisone 35 mg daily, that was tapered off as outpatient. After clinical remission, methotrexate was started as maintenance therapy. The patient continues to be in clinical remission to the publication of this case, approximately seventeen months after her admission.

Discussion:

This case illustrates TVL as a possible, but unusual, CNS manifestation of EGPA. EGPA is a rare necrotizing vasculitis of small and medium-sized vessels that involves multiple organs. Clinical clues included (1) the systemic and constitutional symptoms in combination with upper and lower airway dysfunction and (2) palpable purpura, a common dermatologic finding in many small-vessel vasculitis.

The American College of Rheumatology established a classification criterion for EGPA, after a diagnosis of small or medium vessel vasculitis has been made: maximum eosinophil count ≥1×10$^9$/L (+5), obstructive airway disease (+3), nasal polyps (+3), cytoplasmic antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody (c-ANCA) or anti-proteinase 3-ANCA positivity (-3), extravascular eosinophilic predominant inflammation (+2), mononeuritis multiplex/motor neuropathy not due to radiculopathy (+1) and hematuria (-1). A total risk score ≥6, as seen in this case, yielded a sensitivity of 84.9% and a specificity of 99.1% for EGPA.$^9$

In a 46-cases review of the ophthalmic manifestations of EGPA,$^{10}$ central retinal artery or vein occlusion, ischemic optic neuropathy, conjunctival nodules, orbital myositis, proptosis, dacryoadenitis, retinal vasculitis/infarcts/edema, cranial nerve palsy, and amaurosis were reported. On imaging, the most common findings include orbital mass or infiltration; enhancement or enlargement of rectus muscles; lacrimal gland involvement; and enhancement along the optic nerve sheath. The ophthalmic presentations were divided in two groups: idiopathic orbital inflammation-like or ischemic vasculitis. The most common presenting
symptoms in the idiopathic orbital inflammation-like group were redness, periocular swelling, and diplopia. In contrast, patients in the ischemic vasculitis group presented most frequently with acute-onset loss of vision. At least 6 patients with the ischemic vasculitis type reported episodes of unilateral TVL prior to permanent visual loss. Of the 8 patients with ischemic vasculitis, three had improvement in visual outcomes following intravenous steroids therapy, reinforcing the importance of correct identification and treatment of the ophthalmic manifestations of EGPA.

In this case, the patient presented with a chief complaint of TVL and clinical findings compatible with EGPA. Although rare, TVL is among the ophthalmic manifestations of EGPA. Knowledge of the ophthalmic manifestations of EGPA may yield prompt diagnosis and treatment, decreasing patient morbidity and mortality.\textsuperscript{7,11,10}
Figure 1. Brain MRI
A and B) Axial FLAIR brain MRI demonstrates hyperintensity of the periorbital soft tissue, temporalis muscle, and extensive ethmoid and maxillary sinus disease (green arrows). C) Coronal T1 without contrast. D) Coronal T1 sequence with contrast showing optic perineuritis and fat stranding (yellow arrow). Note the enlarged inferior turbinate (red arrow). E) Axial T1 without contrast. F) Axial T1 with contrast showing perioptic nerve enhancement (yellow arrows).
Figure 2. Histopathological features
A) Palpable non-blanching lesions suggestive of cutaneous vasculitis. B and C) Skin biopsy showing eosinophilic infiltration (green arrow) around vessels (black arrow). D) On direct immunofluorescence, skin vessels stained positive for antibodies against IgG, IgM, C3 and fibrinogen; intercellular basement membrane and superficial dermis stained negative for antibodies.
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